

Hölder Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem in some Convex Domains with Real Analytic Boundary

Kenzō ADACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,
Nagasaki University, Nagasaki 852, Japan

(Received Oct. 31, 1990)

Abstract

Let Ω be a convex domain which is a generalized type of the real ellipsoid. Then there is a solution for the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in Ω that satisfies the Hölder estimates.

1. Introduction. Let D be a real ellipsoid, i.e.,

$$D = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^N : \sum_1^N x_i^{2n_i} + \sum_1^N y_i^{2m_i} < 1\}$$

where $n_1, \dots, n_N, m_1, \dots, m_N$ are positive integers. Then Diederich-Fornaess-Wiegerinck [3] obtained $\frac{1}{q}$ -Hölder estimates for solutions of $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in D , where $q = \max_j \min\{2n_j, 2m_j\}$. On the other hand, Range [4] obtained $(\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon)$ -Hölder estimates, $\varepsilon > 0$, in the complex ellipsoid E , i.e.,

$$E = \{z : \sum_1^N |z_j|^{2n_j} < 1\}$$

where $p = \max_j 2n_j$. In the paper [3], it is shown that Range's solution satisfies $\frac{1}{p}$ -Hölder estimates. Further, Bruna-Castillo [2] generalized Range's results to more general convex domains. In the present paper, we shall prove the existence of a solution that satisfies Hölder estimates in the domain Ω which is a somewhat generalized type of the real ellipsoid.

Finally we shall adopt the convention of denoting by c any positive constant which does not depend on the relevant parameters in the estimate.

2. Preliminaries.

Let $s_i(x_i), t_i(y_i), i=1, \dots, N$, be real analytic functions on $[0, a]$. We set

$$\phi_i(x_i) = s_i(x_i^2), \phi_i(y_i) = t_i(y_i^2)$$

Suppose that $\phi_i, \psi_i, i=1, \dots, N$, satisfy the following conditions (i), (ii), (iii);

- (i) $\phi_i''(x_i) \geq 0, \psi_i''(y_i) \geq 0$
- (ii) $\phi_i(0) = \psi_i(0) = 0, \phi_i(a) > 1, \psi_i(a) > 1$
- (iii) $\phi_i''(x_i) + \psi_i''(y_i) > 0$ for $(x_i, y_i) \neq (0, 0)$.

We set

$$\rho_j(z_j) = \phi_j(x_j) + \psi_j(y_j) \text{ for } z_j = x_j + iy_j$$

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N \rho_j(z_j) \text{ for } z = (z_1, \dots, z_N),$$

and

$$\Omega = \{z: \rho(z) < 0\}.$$

For $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small, we define

$$\Omega_\eta = \{z: \rho(z) < \eta\}.$$

Then Ω, Ω_η are convex domains in C^N with real analytic boundary. We define

$$h_j(x_j, \xi_j) = \rho_j(x_j) - \rho_j(\xi_j) - \rho_j'(\xi_j)(x_j - \xi_j)$$

Then we have

LEMMA 1. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

- (1) $h_j(x_j, \xi_j) > 0$ for $|x_j| < \varepsilon, |\xi_j| < \varepsilon, x_j \neq \xi_j$.

PROOF. In some neighborhood of 0, $\phi_j(x_j)$ can be written in the following form.

$$\phi_j(x_j) = b_1^{(j)} x_j^{2n_j} + b_2^{(j)} x_j^{2n_j+2} + \dots + (b_l^{(j)}) > 0, n_j \geq 1.$$

Therefore we have for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\phi_j'(x_j) > 0, \phi_j''(x_j) > 0 \text{ for } 0 < |x_j| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we obtain the equality (1).

In view of lemma 2 of Adachi[1], we have the following.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j, z_j = x_j + iy_j$. Then there exist positive constant ε and c such that*

$$(2) \phi_j(x_j) - \phi_j(\xi_j) - \phi_j'(\xi_j)(x_j - \xi_j) \\ \geq c[\phi_j''(\xi_j)(x_j - \xi_j)^2 + (x_j - \xi_j)^{2n_j}]$$

$$(3) \phi_j(y_j) - \phi_j(\eta_j) - \phi_j'(\eta_j)(y_j - \eta_j) \\ \geq c[\phi_j''(\eta_j)(y_j - \eta_j)^2 + (y_j - \eta_j)^{2m_j}]$$

$$\text{for } |\zeta_j| < \varepsilon, |z_j| < \varepsilon.$$

We set

$$q = \max_j \min\{2n_j, 2m_j\}.$$

Let $f = \sum f_\nu(z) d\bar{z}_\nu$ be a $(0, 1)$ -form on Ω , and u be a function on Ω . We define

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \max_\nu \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f_\nu(z)|, \|u\|_{\alpha, \Omega} = \sup_{\substack{x \neq y \\ x, y \in \Omega}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

Then we shall prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let Ω and q be as above. Then there exists a constant c such that for every bounded $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ form f on Ω , there exists a $\frac{1}{q}$ -Hölder continuous function u on Ω such that*

$$\bar{\partial}u = f \text{ and } \|u\|_{\frac{1}{q}, \Omega} \leq c \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

3. Proof of the theorem. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for $f \in C_{(0, 1)}^1(\bar{\Omega})$. We assume $m_i \leq n_i$ for $i=1, \dots, N$. We set for $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$, $z_j = x_j + iy_j$,

$$p_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = 2 \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial \zeta_j}(\zeta_j) + \gamma [(\phi_j''(\eta_j) - \phi_j''(\xi_j))(\zeta_j - z_j) + (\zeta_j - z_j)^{2m_j - 1}]$$

and

$$F_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = p_j(\zeta_j, z_j)(\zeta_j - z_j).$$

Taking account of the equalities (2), (3), if we choose $\gamma > 0$ sufficiently small, we have (see Adachi[1]),

$$(4) \quad -\rho_j(\zeta_j) + \rho_j(z_j) + \operatorname{Re} F_j(\zeta_j, z_j) \geq c [(\phi_j''(\zeta_j) + \phi_j''(\eta_j)) |z_j - \zeta_j|^2 + |z_j - \zeta_j|^{2m_j}] \\ \text{for } |\zeta_j| < \varepsilon, |z_j| < \varepsilon, j=1, \dots, N.$$

We set

$$F(\zeta, z) = \sum_{j=1}^N F_j(\zeta_j, z_j) \text{ for } \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N), z = (z_1, \dots, z_N).$$

Thus we obtain from the equalities (4),

$$(5) \quad -\rho(\zeta) + \rho(z) + \operatorname{Re} F(\zeta, z) \\ \geq c \sum_{j=1}^N \{(\phi_j''(\xi_j) + \phi_j''(\eta_j)) |z_j - \zeta_j|^2 + |z_j - \zeta_j|^{2m_j}\} \\ \text{for } |\zeta| < \varepsilon, |z| < \varepsilon.$$

Since we cannot construct the support function $\Phi(\zeta, z)$ which depends holomorphically on z , we apply the same method as the proof of Bruna-Castillo[2]. We set

$$G_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = -2 \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial \zeta_j}(\zeta_j)(\zeta_j - z_j) - \frac{\partial^2 \rho_j}{\partial \zeta_j^2}(\zeta_j)(\zeta_j - z_j)^2.$$

Then from the condition (iii), we have for some $\delta > 0$,

$$(6) \quad -\rho_j(\zeta_j) + \rho_j(z_j) + \operatorname{Re} G_j(\zeta_j, z_j) \geq c |\zeta_j - z_j|^2 \\ \text{for } |\zeta| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, |z - \zeta| < \delta.$$

Let $\phi(\zeta)$ be a C^∞ function in the complex plane with the properties that, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$,

$\phi(\zeta) = 1$ for $|\zeta| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $\phi(\zeta) = 0$ for $|\zeta| \geq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$. We define

$$\tilde{F}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = \phi(\zeta_j) F_j(\zeta_j, z_j) + (1 - \phi(\zeta_j)) G_j(\zeta_j, z_j)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = \phi(\zeta_j) P_j(\zeta_j, z_j) + (1 - \phi(\zeta_j)) \left(-2 \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial \zeta_j}(\zeta_j) - \frac{\partial^2 \rho_j}{\partial \zeta_j^2}(\zeta_j)(\zeta_j - z_j) \right)$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad \tilde{F}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = \tilde{P}_j(\zeta_j, z_j)(\zeta_j - z_j),$$

$$(8) \quad -\rho_j(\zeta_j) + \rho_j(z_j) + \operatorname{Re} \tilde{F}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) \\ \geq c[(\phi_j''(\xi_j) + \phi_j''(\eta_j)) |z_j - \zeta_j|^2 + |z_j - \zeta_j|^{2m_j}] \\ \text{for } |\zeta_j - z_j| < \delta.$$

We define

$$\tilde{F}(\zeta, z) = \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{F}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) \text{ for } (\zeta, z) \in \Omega \times \Omega.$$

Then it holds from (8) that

$$-\rho(\zeta) + \rho(z) + \operatorname{Re} \tilde{F}(\zeta, z) \\ \geq c \sum_{j=1}^N \{(\phi_j''(\xi_j) + \phi_j''(\eta_j)) |z_j - \zeta_j|^2 + |z_j - \zeta_j|^{2m_j}\} \\ \text{for } |\zeta - z| < \delta.$$

To complete the Hölder estimates, we apply the elementary methods by Range[5] in order to construct the integral solution operator for the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. Choose $\chi \in C^\infty(C^N \times C^N)$ such that, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(\zeta, z) = 1$ for $|\zeta - z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$, and $\chi(\zeta, z) = 0$ for $|\zeta - z| \geq \delta$. For $j=1, \dots, N$, we define

$$Q_j(\zeta_j, z_j) = \chi \tilde{P}_j(\zeta_j, z_j) + (1 - \chi)(\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j)$$

and

$$\Phi(\zeta, z) = \sum_{j=1}^N Q_j(\zeta_j, z_j)(\zeta_j - z_j).$$

Then, from the equality (7), we have

$$\Phi(\zeta, z) = \chi \tilde{F}(\zeta, z) + (1 - \chi) |\zeta - z|^2.$$

There exist positive numbers η and c such that

$$|\Phi(\zeta, z)| \geq c \text{ for } \zeta \in \partial\Omega, \rho(z) < \eta, |\zeta - z| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

For $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$, we set

$$w_j(\zeta, z, t) = t \frac{Q_j(\zeta, z)}{\Phi(\zeta, z)} + (1 - t) \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j}{|\zeta - z|^2}$$

and

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^N w_j d\zeta_j.$$

Then $w_j(\zeta, z, t)$ is well defined for

$$z \in \Omega \cup \{z: \rho(z) \leq \eta, |z - \zeta| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\}.$$

For $q=0, 1$, and $f \in C_{(0, 1)}^{(N-1)}(\bar{\Omega})$, define

$$K_q(W) = (2\pi i)^{-N} \binom{N-1}{q} W \wedge (\bar{\partial}_{t, \lambda} W)^{N-q-1} \wedge (\bar{\partial}_z W)^q,$$

$$T_0 f = \int_{\partial\Omega \times \{0, 1\}} f \wedge K_0(W) - \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} f \wedge K_0(W),$$

$$E f = \int_{\partial\Omega \times \{1\}} f \wedge K_1(W).$$

Then we have

$$(9) \quad f = Ef + \bar{\partial} T_0 f.$$

Moreover Ef has the following properties (see Range[5]).

- (a) Ef is C^∞ on $\bar{\Omega}_\eta$
- (b) $\|Ef\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\eta)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

For $(\zeta, z) \in \partial\Omega_\eta \times \Omega_\eta$, we define

$$\Gamma(\zeta, z) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \zeta_k}(\zeta) (\zeta_k - z_k).$$

Then the convexity of Ω_η implies

$$\Gamma(\zeta, z) \neq 0 \text{ for } (\zeta, z) \in \partial\Omega_\eta \times \Omega_\eta.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} S_j(\zeta, z) &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \zeta_j}(\zeta), \\ u_j(\zeta, z, \lambda) &= \lambda \frac{S_j(\zeta, z)}{\Gamma(\zeta, z)} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j}{|\zeta - z|^2} \\ &\text{for } (\zeta, z, \lambda) \in \partial\Omega_\eta \times \Omega_\eta \times [0, 1], \\ U &= \sum_{j=1}^N u_j d\zeta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since S_j is holomorphic in z , we have $K_1(u) = 0$. We define for $g \in C_{(0,1)}^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta)$,

$$(10) \quad T_\eta g = \int_{\partial\Omega_\eta \times \{0,1\}} g \wedge K_0(U) - \int_{\partial\Omega_\eta \times \{0,1\}} g \wedge K_0(U)$$

Then we have $\bar{\partial}(T_\eta g) = g$ provided $g \in C_{(0,1)}^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta)$, $\bar{\partial}g = 0$. We define the operator

$$(11) \quad S = T_\eta E + T_0.$$

Then, for $f \in C_{(0,1)}^1(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$, we have from the equality (9), (11),

$$(12) \quad \bar{\partial}(Sf) = f.$$

Since the first integral in (10) is C^∞ in Ω_η and the kernel of the second integral is the Bochner-Martinelli kernel, we have

$$(13) \quad \|T_\eta(Ef)\|_{\phi, \Omega} \leq c(\alpha) \|Ef\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\eta)} \text{ for } \alpha < 1.$$

Therefore Sf is the desired solution of the theorem if we can prove the following inequality.

$$(14) \quad \|T_0 f\|_{\frac{1}{q}, \Omega} \leq c \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Since the denominator of $K_0(W)$ does not vanish for $\zeta \neq z$, it is sufficient to estimate the integral near the diagonal. If $|\zeta - z| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, then $Q_i(\zeta, z) = \tilde{P}_i(\zeta, z)$. Therefore if we take

$$L_j = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \bar{z}_N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_N} \quad (j=1, \dots, N-1)$$

as a base for the $(0, 1)$ tangential vector fields on $\partial\Omega \cap B$, B being a small ball with center on $\partial\Omega$, we have for $i, j=1, \dots, N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_j Q_i| &\leq \delta_{ij} c [|\phi_i''(\xi_i)| + |\phi_i''(\eta_i)| + (|\phi_i'''(\eta_i)| + |\phi_i'''(\xi_i)|) |z_i - \zeta_i|] \\ |L_j Q_N| &\leq c (|\xi_j|^{2n_j-1} + |\eta_j|^{2m_j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate in lemma 4 of Adachi[1], we can prove that $T_0 f$ satisfies the inequality

(14), which completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] K. Adachi, *Extending H^p functions from subvarieties to real ellipsoids*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 317 (1990), 351-359.
- [2] J. Bruna and J. Castillo, *Hölder and L^p -estimates for the ∂ equation in some convex domains with realanalytic boundary*, Math. Ann., 269 (1984), 527-539.
- [3] K. Diederich, J.E. Fornæss and J. Wiegnerinck, *Sharp Hölder estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ on ellipsoids*, Manuscripta Math., 56 (1986), 399-417.
- [4] R.M. Range, *Hölder estimates for $\bar{\partial}u=f$ on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Proc. Int. Conf. Cortona, Italy 1976-77, (1978), 247-267.
- [5] R.M. Range, *An elementary integral solution operator for the Cauchy-Riemann equations on pseudoconvex domains in C^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 274(1982), 809-816.