

## On the Multiplicative Cousin Problem for $A(D)$

Kenzō ADACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,  
Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

(Received Oct. 1, 1978)

**Abstract.** Let  $D$  be a strictly convex domain in  $C^n$  with  $C^2$ -class boundary. Let  $A(D)$  be the space of functions holomorphic in  $D$  that are continuous on  $\bar{D}$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that the multiplicative Cousin problem for  $A(D)$  is solvable.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S_n$  be the class of bounded domains  $D$  in  $C^n$  with the properties that there exists a real function  $\rho$  of class  $C^2$  defined on a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\partial D$  such that  $d\rho \neq 0$  on  $\partial D$ ,  $D \cap W = \{z \in W : \rho(z) < 1\}$  and the real Hessian of  $\rho$  is positive definite on  $W$ . E. L. Stout [4] proved that the domain  $D \in S_n$  is strictly convex and that if  $0 \in D$ , then  $D$  can be defined by a globally defined function which has a positive definite real Hessian on  $C^n - \{0\}$ . From now on, when we consider  $D \in S_n$ , we assume that the defining function of  $D$  is globally defined.

Let  $F(D)$  be the sheaf of germs of continuous functions on  $\bar{D}$  that are holomorphic in  $D$ . I. Lieb [2] proved that  $H^q(\bar{D}, F(D)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ , provided  $D$  is a strictly pseudoconvex domain with  $C^5$ -boundary. Let  $D \in S_n$  and let  $D$  have a  $C^5$ -boundary. Then, from the above Lieb's result and  $H^2(D, Z) = 0$ , by applying the standard exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow F(D) \xrightarrow{\exp} F(D)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

one can solve Cousin II problems with data from the sheaf  $F(D)$ .

In this paper, without using the above cohomology theory, we can prove directly that the multiplicative Cousin problem for  $A(D)$  is solvable. Explicitly, our result is the following :

**THEOREM.** *Let  $D \in S_n$ . Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be an open covering of  $\bar{D}$ , and for each  $\alpha$ ,  $f_\alpha \in A(V_\alpha \cap D)$ . If for all  $\alpha, \beta \in I$ ,  $f_\alpha f_\beta^{-1}$  is an invertible element of  $A(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \cap D)$ , then there exists a function  $F \in A(D)$  such that for all  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $F f_\alpha^{-1}$  is an invertible element of  $A(V_\alpha \cap D)$ .*

In the case when  $D$  is an open unit polydisc in  $C^n$ , the theorem has been proved by E. L. Stout [3].

**2. Proof of theorem.** Let  $D \in S_n$ . By the Cauchy-Fantappiè integral formula, if  $f \in$

$A(D)$ , then for  $w \in D$ ,

$$f(w) = \int_{\partial D} f(z) \frac{k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle^n}$$

where  $k$  is a continuous function,  $dS$  is the element of surface area on  $\partial D$ ,  $\rho$  is a defining function of  $D$  and  $\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n (w_j - z_j) \frac{\partial \rho(z)}{\partial z_j}$ .

We have the following lemma proved by G. M. Henkin [1] for the Ramírez-Henkin integral. The proof of the lemma is essentially the same as the proof of G. M. Henkin [1], so we omit the proof.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $D \in S_n$  and let  $f \in A(D)$ . If  $\phi$  is defined and satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $C^n$ , then  $f\phi$  defined by*

$$f\phi(w) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(z)\phi(z)k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle^n}$$

*belongs to  $A(D)$ .*

Let  $D \in S_n$ . Let

$M = \max \{x_{2n} : \text{for some } z \in \bar{D}, z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), x_{2n} = \text{Im } z_n\}$ , and let  $m$  be the corresponding minimum. Let  $\varepsilon_0$  satisfy  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{12}(M-m)$ . Let  $\eta_i, i=1,2$ , be real valued functions of a real variable such that

- (1)  $\eta_i$  is of class  $C^2, i=1,2$ .
- (2)  $\eta_1(t) = 0$  if  $t \leq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$ ,  
 $\eta_2(t) = 0$  if  $t \geq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$ ,
- (3)  $\eta_1(t) \geq 2$  if  $t \geq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + 3\varepsilon_0$ ,  
 $\eta_2(t) \geq 2$  if  $t \leq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - 3\varepsilon_0$ ,
- (4)  $\eta_1''(t) > 0$  if  $t > \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$ ,  
 $\eta_2''(t) > 0$  if  $t < \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$ ,

Let  $\rho$  be a defining function of  $D$ , and let  $D_1 = \{z : \rho(z) + \eta_1(x_{2n}) < 1\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{z : \rho(z) + \eta_2(x_{2n}) < 1\}$ . Then it is easily verified that  $D_1, D_2$  and  $D_1 \cap D_2$  are elements of  $S_n$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $D, D_1, D_2$  be as above. If  $f \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$ , then there exist functions  $f_1 \in A(D_1)$  and  $f_2 \in A(D_2)$  satisfying  $f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)$  for  $z \in D_1 \cap D_2$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\psi$  be a function on  $C^n$  which satisfies a Lipschitz condition and which has the properties that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= 0 \text{ on } \{z \in \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) : x_{2n} < \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \varepsilon_0\}, \\ \psi &= 1 \text{ on } \{z \in \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) : x_{2n} > \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \varepsilon_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tilde{\rho}$  be a defining function of  $D_1 \cap D_2$ , Write  $f$  as a Cauchy-Fantappiè integral. For  $w \in D_1 \cap D_2$ , we have

$$f(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \tilde{\rho}(z) \rangle^n} = f_1(w) + f_2(w)$$

where

$$f_1(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)\psi(z)k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}$$

$$f_2(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)(1-\psi(z))k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}.$$

By lemma 1,  $f_1 \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$ ,  $f_2 \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$ . Moreover we can write

$$f_1(w) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)\psi(z)k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}$$

where  $\Gamma = \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) \cap \{x_{2n} \geq \frac{M+m}{2} - \varepsilon_0\}$ . If  $E = \{z \in D : x_{2n} \leq \frac{M+m}{2} - 2\varepsilon_0\}$ , then the distance between  $E$  and the tangent plane of  $\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)$  at  $z$  is positive, where  $z$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Therefore  $f_1 \in A(D_1)$ . Similarly  $f_2 \in A(D_2)$ . Therefore lemma 2 is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose that no  $F$  with the stated properties exists. Suppose there exist  $F_1 \in 0(D_1)$  and  $F_2 \in 0(D_2)$  such that for all  $\alpha$ ,  $F_1 f_\alpha^{-1}$  and  $F_2 f_\alpha^{-1}$  are invertible elements of  $A(V_\alpha \cap D_1)$  and  $A(V_\alpha \cap D_2)$ , respectively. Then  $f_0 = F_1 F_2^{-1}$  is an invertible element of  $A(D_1 \cap D_2)$ . If  $f_0 = \exp(f)$ , then  $f \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$ . By lemma 2, we can write  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in A(D_1)$  and  $f_2 \in A(D_2)$ . Define  $G$  on  $D$  by  $G = F_1 \exp(-f_1)$  on  $D_1$ ,  $G = F_2 \exp(f_2)$  on  $D_2$ . Then  $G f_\alpha^{-1}$  is an invertible element of  $A(V_\alpha \cap D)$ . We have supposed that no such function  $G$  exists, so either  $F_1$  or  $F_2$  does not exist. Say  $F_1$ . The  $x_{2n}$ -width of  $D_1$ , i. e., the number  $\max |x'_{2n} - x''_{2n}|$ , the maximum taken over all pairs of points  $z'$ ,  $z''$  in  $D_1$ , is not more than three fourths of the  $x_{2n}$ -width of  $D$ . We now treat  $D_1$  as we treated  $D$ , using the coordinate  $x_{2n-1}$  rather than  $x_{2n}$ , and we find a smaller set  $D_{11} \subset D_1$  on which the problem is not solvable and which has the property that the  $x_{2n-1}$ -width of  $D_{11}$  is not more than three fourths that of  $D_1$ . We iterate this process, running cyclically through the real coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and we obtain a shrinking sequence of sets on which our problem is not solvable. The sets we obtain eventually lie in some element  $V_\alpha$ , and on  $V_\alpha$ , the function  $f_\alpha$  is a solution to the induced problem. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore theorem is proved.

#### References

- 1) G. M. Henkin, *Integral representations of functions holomorphic in strictly pseudoconvex domains and some applications*, Math. USSR Sb., 7(1969), 597-616.
- 2) I. Lieb, *Die Cauchy-Riemannschen Differentialgleichungen auf streng pseudokonvexen Gebieten: Stetige Randwerte*, Math. Ann., 199(1972), 241-256.
- 3) E. L. Stout, *The second Cousin problem with bounded data*, Pacific J. Math., 26(1968), 379-387.
- 4) E. L. Stout, *On the multiplicative Cousin problem with bounded data*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup., XXVII(1973), 1-17.