

An Approximation Theorem on Some Convex Domains

Kenzō ADACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,
Nagasaki University, Nagasaki 852, Japan

(Received Feb. 28, 1991)

Abstract

Let Ω be a convex domain with real analytic boundary which is a generalized type of the complex ellipsoid. Then the approximation theorem in the H^p -sense holds in Ω .

Introduction. Let G be a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in C^n with smooth boundary. Then Stout[3] proved that the approximation theorem in the H^p -sense, $1 \leq p < \infty$, holds in G . Beatrous[1] studied the approximation theorem in a weighted Bergman space.

In the present paper, we shall prove that the results of Stout are also true for some convex domain Ω with real analytic boundary. That is, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM. *If $f \in H^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $O(\bar{\Omega})$ that converges in the H^p -sense to f .*

Finally we shall adopt the convention of denoting by c any positive constant which does not depend on the relevant parameters in the estimate.

1. Preliminaries. Let s_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be real analytic functions in an interval $[0, a_i]$ such that

- (i) $s_i'(t) \geq 0$, $s_i'(t) + 2ts_i''(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < a_i$
- (ii) $s_i(0) = 0$, $s_i(a_i) > 1$.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in C^n of the type

$$\Omega = \{z: \rho(z) < 0\}$$

where

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(|z_i|^2) - 1 \text{ for } z = (z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

For example,

$$D^{(m)} = \{z: \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{m_i} < 1\}$$

is one of the above domains, where m_i 's are positive even integers. Bruna and Castillo [2] proved the following fundamental inequality.

$$(1) \quad \rho(z) - \rho(\zeta) + 2 \operatorname{Re} F(\zeta, z) \geq c(L_\rho(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^2 + |\zeta - z|^m) \quad (\zeta, z \in \bar{\Omega})$$

where m is a positive integer,

$$F(\zeta, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \zeta_i}(\zeta)(\zeta_i - z_i)$$

and

$$L_\rho(\zeta)(\zeta - z)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \zeta_i \partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(\zeta)(\zeta_i - z_i)(\bar{\zeta}_j - \bar{z}_j).$$

We set

$$H(\zeta, z) = \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\partial \rho(\zeta) \wedge (\bar{\partial} \partial \rho(\zeta))^{n-1}}{F(\zeta, z)^n}.$$

Let f^* be the boundary value of $f \in H^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $f^* \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Now we have

$$(2) \quad f(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f^*(\zeta) H(\zeta, z) \quad (z \in \Omega).$$

We define

$$\alpha_j(\zeta) = \frac{\partial^2 \rho(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_i \partial \bar{\zeta}_j}.$$

They by the fundamental inequality (1), we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha_j(\zeta)}{|F(\zeta, z)|} \leq \frac{c}{|\rho(z)| + |\zeta_j - z_j|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} F(\zeta, z)| + |\zeta - z|^m}.$$

Let γ be a C^∞ function in $\bar{\Omega}$, and $g \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We set

$$Tg(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(\zeta)(\gamma(\zeta) - \gamma(z))H(\zeta, z)$$

Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $g \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then*

$$\sup_{r < 1} \int_{\rho=r} |Tg(z)|^p d\sigma(z) < \infty,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the surface measure on $\{\rho=r\}$.

PROOF. First we prove that Tg is bounded, provided g is bounded. We set

$$\rho(z) = t_1, \operatorname{Im} F(\zeta, z) = t_2,$$

$$t_{2j-1} + it_{2j} = \zeta_j - z_j, j=2, \dots, n,$$

$$t' = (t_3, \dots, t_{2n}), dt' = dt_3 \dots dt_{2n}.$$

Then it holds that $|\zeta - z| \approx |t_1| + |t_2| + |t'|$.

We denote by $b(\zeta, z)$ each coefficient of $H(\zeta, z)$. Then we have

$$|Tg(z)| \leq c \int_{\partial\Omega} |b(\zeta, z)| |\zeta - z| d\sigma(\zeta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{(t_1 + |t_2| + |t'|) \alpha_{i_1}(\zeta) \dots \alpha_{i_{n-1}}(\zeta) dt_2 dt'}{|F(\zeta, z)|^a} \\ &\leq c \int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{(t_1 + |t_2| + |t'|) dt_2 dt'}{(t_1 + |t_2| + |t'|^m) \prod_{j=2}^n (t_1 + |t_2| + t_{2j-1}^2 + t_{2j}^2 + |t'|^m)} \end{aligned}$$

We set $w_j = t_{2j-1} + it_{2j}$. We choose $\delta (0 < \delta < 1)$ so small that $nm\delta < 1$. We set

$$P(t) = (t_1 + |t_2| + |t'|^m) \prod_{j=2}^n (t_1 + |t_2| + |w_j|^2 + |t'|^m).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{|t'|}{P(t)} dt_2 dt' \\ &\leq c \int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{|t'| dt_2 dt'}{|t_2|^{1-\delta} |t'|^{\delta m} \prod_{j=2}^n |w_j|^{2(1-\delta)} |t'|^{\delta m}} \\ &\leq c \int_{|t_2| \leq \delta_0} |t_2|^{\delta-1} dt_2 \int_{|t'| \leq \delta_0} \frac{|t'|^{1-nm\delta} dt'}{\prod_{j=2}^n |w_j|^{2(1-\delta)}} \\ &\leq c \prod_{j=2}^n \int_{|t'| \leq \delta_0} \frac{dt_{2j-1} dt_{2j}}{|w_j|^{2(1-\delta)}} < \infty. \\ &\int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{t_1 + |t_2|}{P(t)} dt_2 dt' \leq c \int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{dt_2 dt'}{\prod_{j=2}^n |w_j|^{2(1-\delta)} |t_2|^\delta} \\ &\leq c \int_{|t_2| \leq \delta_0} |t_2|^{-\delta} dt_2 \prod_{j=2}^n \int_{|w_j| \leq \delta_0} \frac{dt_{2j-1} dt_{2j}}{|w_j|^{2(1-\delta)}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Tg(z)$ is bounded. Next we prove proposition 1 when $p=1$. By the Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\int_{\rho=r} \int_{\partial \Omega} |Tg(z)| d\sigma(z) \leq c \int_{\partial \Omega} |g(\zeta)| \left(\int_{\rho=r} |b(\zeta, z)| |\zeta - z| d\sigma(z) \right) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\rho=r} |b(\zeta, z)| |\zeta - z| d\sigma(z) \\ &\leq c \int_{\substack{|t_2| \leq \delta_0 \\ |t'| \leq \delta_0}} \frac{(r + |t_2| + |t'|) dt_2 dt'}{(r + |t_2| + |t'|^m) \prod_{j=2}^n (r + |t_2| + |w_j|^2 + |t'|^m)} \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate above, we have

$$\sup_{r < 0} \int_{\rho=r} |b(\zeta, z)| |\zeta - z| d\sigma(z) < \infty.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\sup_{r < 0} \int_{\rho=r} |Tg(z)| d\sigma(z) \leq c \int_{\partial \Omega} |g(\zeta)| d\sigma(\zeta).$$

For $r < 0$ near 0, let $\Omega_r = \{z: \rho(z) < r\}$, and let $T^{(r)}: L^1(\partial \Omega) \rightarrow C(\partial \Omega_r)$ be the linear operator defined by $T^{(r)}g = Tg|_{\partial \Omega_r}$. From the above proof, there is a constant c , independent of r such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{(r)}g\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega_r)} &\leq c \|g\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)}, \\ \|T^{(r)}g\|_{L^1(\partial \Omega_r)} &\leq c \|g\|_{L^1(\partial \Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The Riesz-Thorin theorem implies that if $g \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$\|T^{(r)}g\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_r)} \leq c\|g\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Therefore proposition 1 is proved.

PROPOSITION 2. *If $f \in H^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and if γ is a C^∞ function on C^n , then function defined by*

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f^*(\zeta)\gamma(\zeta)H(\zeta, z)$$

belongs to $H^p(\Omega)$.

PROOF. From the formula (2), we have

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f^*(\zeta) (\gamma(\zeta) - \gamma(z))H(\zeta, z) + \gamma(z)f(z).$$

We write in the form $\tilde{f}(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)$, say. Then in view of proposition 1,

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega_r} |\tilde{f}(z)|^p d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_r} |f_1|^p d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_r} |f_2|^p d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c.$$

Therefore $\tilde{f} \in H^p(\Omega)$, which completes the proof.

2. **Proof of the theorem.** The proof of the theorem can be obtained by following proofs of Stout [3]. But we sketch the proof briefly. Let $U = \{U_1, \dots, U_q\}$ be an open cover of $\partial\Omega$ such that if $P_j \in U_j$ and ν_j is unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$ at P_j , then $z - \varepsilon\nu_j$ approach z nontangentially through Ω as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q\}$ be a smooth partition of unity on $\partial\Omega$ that is subordinate to U , and let

$$f_j(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f^*(\zeta)\gamma_j(\zeta)H(\zeta, z).$$

Then, by proposition 2, we have $f_j \in H^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, f_j is holomorphic on a neighborhood of the compact set $\partial\Omega|U_j$ and satisfies $f = f_1 + \dots + f_q$. Define

$$f_j^{(\varepsilon)}(z) = f_j(z - \varepsilon\nu_j).$$

Then it holds that $f_j^{(\varepsilon)} \in O(\bar{\Omega})$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} |f_j - f_j^{(\varepsilon)}|^p d\sigma = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] F. Beatrous, L^p estimates for extensions of holomorphic functions, Michigan Math. J. 32 (1985), 361-380.
- [2] J. Bruna and J. del Castillo, Hölder and L^p estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation in some convex domains with real analytic boundary, Math. Ann. 269 (1984), 527-539.
- [3] E.L. Stout, H^p -functions in strictly pseudoconvex domains, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 821-852.