1	JHG-18-627 R1
2	ARTICLE
3	A homozygous splice site ROBO1 mutation in a patient with a novel syndrome with
4	combined pituitary hormone deficiency
5	
6	
7 8 9	Sumito Dateki ^{1*} , Satoshi Watanabe ¹ , Hiroyuki Mishima ² , Toshihiko Shirakawa ¹ , Minoru Morikawa ³ , Eiichi Kinoshita ⁴ , Koh-ichiro Yoshiura ² , and Hiroyuki Moriuchi ¹
10 11	¹ Department of Pediatrics, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki 852-8501, Japan
12 13 14 15	² Department of Human Genetics, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki 852-8102, Japan
16 17	³ Department of Radiology, Nagasaki University Hospital, Nagasaki 852-8501, Japan
18 19	⁴ Kinoshita Children's Clinic, Nagasaki 852-8154, Japan
20 21	Running title: A ROBO1 mutation in a patient with hypopituitarism
22 23	Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
24 25 26 27 28	This work was supported by a grant for the Initiative on Rare and Undiagnosed Diseases in Pediatrics (no. 18gk0110012h0101) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), Tokyo, Japan, and by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (25860871) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.
29 30 31 32	Text 1,486 words, abstract 153 words, references 11, tables 2, figures 2, and supplemental file 1.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	*Correspondence to: Sumito Dateki, M.D. Department of Pediatrics, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences Address: 1-7-1 Sakamoto, Nagasaki, 852-8501 Japan E-mail: sdateki1@nagasaki-u.ac.jp Phone: +81-95-819-7298, FAX: +81-95-819-7301
40	

42 Abstract

The genetic causes of combined pituitary hormone deficiency remain elusive in most patients. 43 Recently, incompletely penetrant heterozygous mutations in *ROBO1* have been described in 44 patients with pituitary stalk interruption syndrome. Herein, we identified a novel homozygous 45 slice site mutation in *ROBO1* (c.1342+1G>A) using a trio whole-exome sequencing strategy 46 in a five-year-old Japanese boy who had combined pituitary hormone deficiency, 47 psychomotor developmental delay, severe intellectual disability, sensorineural hearing loss, 48 strabismus, and characteristic facial features, including a broad forehead, micrognathia, and 49 arched eyebrows. Magnetic resonance imaging delineated anterior pituitary hypoplasia, 50 ectopic posterior pituitary, invisible pituitary stalk, thinning of the corpus callosum, and 51 hypoplasia of the pons and midbrain. The phenotypically normal parents (first cousins) were 52 heterozygous for the mutation. The results provide further evidence of ROBO1 being 53 involved in the development of the pituitary gland. A recessive mutation of ROBO1 is a 54 potential novel cause of a syndromic disorder associated with combined pituitary hormone 55 deficiency. 56

58 Introduction

Normal pituitary development requires a complex genetic cascade of transcription factors and 59 signaling molecules, either intrinsic or extrinsic to the developing Rathke's pouch [1]. 60 Mutations of genes involved in these processes, including POU1F1, PROP1, HESX1, LHX3, 61 62 LHX4, OTX2, GLI2, and SOX2, are associated with a wide range of pituitary phenotypes, such as isolated growth hormone (GH) deficiency and combined pituitary hormone 63 deficiency (CPHD), which is defined as the presence of hormone deficits affecting at least 64 two anterior pituitary hormone lineages [1, 2]. However, the definitive genetic causes remain 65 66 obscure in the majority of patients with CPHD [2, 3].

67 Congenital hypopituitarism is frequently associated with other extrapituitary 68 abnormalities, such as anophthalmia/microphthalmia, optic nerve hypoplasia, dysgenesis of 69 the corpus callosum, absence of the septum pellucidum, and holoprosencephaly, suggesting 70 that defects in signaling molecules or transcription factors involved in the development of the 71 forebrain result in such syndromic disorders [4].

The roundabout guidance receptors (ROBOs) and their Slit guidance ligands play critical roles in axonal guidance, which is essential for the formation of the neuronal network in the central nervous system. ROBO1 acts as the gatekeeper controlling the midline crossing of axons [5].

In the present study, we identified a homozygous splice-acceptor site mutation in the *ROBO1* gene in a patient with a characteristic syndromic disorder associated with CPHD. Our study implies that recessive *ROBO1* null mutations cause a novel neurodevelopmental syndrome associated with CPHD.

80

81 Materials and methods

82 Case reports

This Japanese male patient was born at 38 weeks of gestation as the first child of consanguineous phenotypically normal parents (first cousins) with no other significant family history. At birth, his length was 50.0 cm (+0.9 standard deviation [SD]), his weight 3.28 kg (+1.2 SD), and his head circumference 37.5 cm (+3.4 SD). He had distinct facial features with a broad forehead, micrognathia, a broad philtrum, and arched eyebrows (Fig. 1a). He also had hypotonia, micropenis, cryptorchidism, strabismus, and sensorineural deafness. Brain magnetic resonance imaging delineated hydrocephalus, anterior pituitary hypoplasia, 90 ectopic posterior pituitary, invisible pituitary stalk, thinning of the corpus callosum, and91 hypoplasia of the pontine and midbrain (Fig. 1b, c).

At 20 days of age, he developed recurrent hypoglycemia and conjugated 92 hyperbilirubinemia. A hormonal examination for critical samples obtained at the time of 93 spontaneous presentation of hypoglycemia showed central hypothyroidism and low serum 94 cortisol and plasma ACTH levels, suggesting the presence of CPHD (Table 1). He was 95 therefore started on thyroid hormone and hydrocortisone replacement therapies. At 18 96 months of age, his height was 64.5 cm (-5.1 SD). Endocrine studies at that time confirmed 97 98 the diagnosis of CPHD (associated with deficiencies of GH, TSH, prolactin, LH, FSH, and ACTH) (Table 1), and recombinant human GH therapy was started. 99

At the final examination at 5 years of age, his motor and mental development was severely retarded. He was unable to speak any meaningful words and sit alone. Diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tractography, performed after the genetic diagnosis, demonstrated thinning of the corpus callosum and the anterior commissure but showed the presence of transverse pontine fibers (Fig. 1d).

105

106 Molecular studies

107 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences. Trio whole-exome sequencing was performed using a 108 109 SureSelect Human All Exon V5 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) on a HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). DNA was obtained from peripheral blood 110 111 samples of the patient and the parents after written informed consent was obtained from the parents. The reads in the FASTQ files were aligned to the human reference genome using 112 Novoalign version 3.0 (http://www.novocraft.com/). The mean depth of the RefSeq coding 113 region was 140.54 with 97.2% of total coding sequences covered by 20 reads or more in the 114 proband. Trio-based genomic variation information was detected by the Genome Analysis 115 Toolkit software version 3.4-46 [6]. Subsequently, de novo, homozygous, compound 116 heterozygous, and X-linked variations in exons and canonical splice sites (±2 bp) were 117 extracted and annotated by the ANNOVAR software [7]. This process excluded variants with 118 allele frequencies >0.5% in any of the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) 119

- 120 (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/), NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project
- 121 (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/), Human Genetic Variation Database
- 122 (http://www.hgvd.genome.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp), the 1KJPN database of Tohoku Medical

123 Megabank (http://www.dist.megabank.tohoku.ac.jp), and in-house exome data. Heterozygous

- variations sharing the same GENCODE v19 genes were also extracted to detect compound
- heterozygous mutations. The candidate variants identified in the strategy were confirmed via
- 126 Sanger sequencing.
- 127

128 Results

- Using the trio-based strategy and the filtering methods, we identified eight candidate variants
- 130 consisting of six homozygous and two X-linked hemizygous variants (Supplemental Table 1).
- 131 Of these, a homozygous splice-acceptor site mutation in *ROBO1* (c.1342+1G>A,
- 132 NM_002941) was proposed as the best candidate by the Online Mendelian Inheritance in
- 133 Man database information of known diseases (www.omim.org) (Fig. 2a, b). This splice
- 134 mutation is predicted to cause exon skipping and frameshift mediating nonsense-mediated
- mRNA decay (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/splice.html) [8]. The father and the mother
- 136 of the proband were heterozygous for the mutation. The patient had no other pathogenic
- 137 mutations in genes known to cause CPHD [2].
- 138

139 Discussion

We identified a homozygous ROBO1 splice-acceptor site mutation in a patient with 140 syndromic CPHD and summarized the genetic and clinical features of patients previously 141 142 reported to have ROBO1 mutations (Table 2). To our knowledge, the combination of his clinical manifestations has not been reported thus far. Therefore, we propose the null 143 144 homozygous mutation of ROBO1 as the likely genetic cause of a novel syndrome associated with CPHD, based on the following: First, Robol null mice, which die shortly after birth, 145 show defects in axon pathfinding with dysgenesis of the corpus callosum and the 146 hippocampal commissure [5], phenotypically similar to those of patients with biallelic 147 ROBO1 mutations (the present patient and Case 6 in Table 2). Second, heterozygous 148 mutations in ROBO1 have been recently reported in five patients (Cases 1 through 5 in Table 149 2) from three independent families with pituitary stalk interruption syndrome [9] and variable 150 pituitary phenotypes ranging from isolated GH deficiency to CPHD, indicating that ROBO1 151 is involved in the pituitary development and function (Table 2). However, the penetrance of 152 the dominant ROBO1 mutations seems to be incomplete, as phenotypically normal members 153 in the pedigrees also had the same mutation. Indeed, the present parents, harboring a 154 155 heterozygous ROBO1 mutation, seem phenotypically normal. Furthermore, heterozygous

ROBO1 loss-of-function variants, including nonsense, frameshift, and splice site mutations, 156 are described in the ExAC database. Third, not only homozygous but also heterozygous 157 patients exhibit various ophthalmological phenotypes, such as strabismus, optic nerve 158 hypoplasia, and hypermetropia (Table 2) [9, 10]. This may not be surprising, considering that 159 Robo/Slit signaling plays a critical role in the extension of the retinal ganglion cell axons 160 from the eye to the brain and formation of the optic chiasm [11]. Fourth, a patient with 161 biallelic compound heterozygous missense variants in ROBO shared some phenotypes with 162 the present patient, such as intellectual disability and thinning of the anterior commissure and 163 164 corpus callosum [10]. However, the previously reported patient did not exhibit any abnormalities of the pituitary gland, indicating that the pituitary phenotypes in patients with 165 biallelic *ROBO1* mutations may be variable. Taken together, these findings imply that the 166 homozygous ROBO1 null mutations cause a characteristic neurodevelopmental disorder with 167 CPHD and defects in axon pathfinding, and heterozygous mutations may also cause diverse 168 clinical features, ranging from nearly normal to pituitary stalk interruption syndrome and 169 showing a wide range of penetrance, with expressivity depending on other genetic and 170 environmental factors. 171

The pathological mechanisms of invisible stalk and pituitary dysfunction in patients with *ROBO1* mutations remain obscure. Since ROBO1 defects possibly lead to abnormal axon elongation of magnocellular neurons from the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus to the posterior pituitary, *ROBO1* mutations may affect close relationship and tissue interactions between oral and neural ectoderm, which are critical for development and differentiation of the pituitary gland. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that *ROBO1* mutations result in pituitary dysmorphogenesis and dysfunction [1].

In conclusion, the results provide further evidence of the involvement of ROBO1 in the pituitary development. Recessive null mutations of *ROBO1* may cause novel syndromic CPHD. At this time, however, the phenotypic spectrum and mechanisms underlying the development of pituitary dysfunction remain to be determined in patients with *ROBO1* mutations. These matters await further investigations.

184

185 Conflicts of interest

186 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

187

188 Acknowledgements

189 We thank the patient and his parents for participating in this study. We also thank Tadashi Matsumoto and Tatsuro Kondo from Misakaenosono Mutsumi Developmental, Medical, and 190 191 Welfare Center; and Akiko Nakatomi, Manami Ishibashi, Akinori Yanai, Tsutomu Ogata, Toshiharu Kihara, and Keishi Tsunoda from Nagasaki University Hospital for their help in 192 193 collecting clinical information and for participating in fruitful discussions. This work was supported by a grant for the Initiative on Rare and Undiagnosed Diseases in Pediatrics (no. 194 195 18gk0110012h0101) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), Tokyo, Japan, and by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (25860871) from the 196 Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan. 197

199 References

- Kelberman D, Rizzoti K, Lovell-Badge R, Robinson IC, Dattani MT. Genetic regulation
 of pituitary gland development in human and mouse. Endocr Rev. 2009;30:790–829.
- Fang Q, George AS, Brinkmeier ML, Mortensen AH, Gergics P, Cheung LY, et al.
 Genetics of combined pituitary hormone deficiency: Roadmap into the genome era.
 Endocr Rev. 2016;37:636–75.
- Dateki S, Fukami M, Uematsu A, Kaji M, Iso M, Ono M, et al. Mutation and gene copy
 number analyses of six pituitary transcription factor genes in 71 patients with combined
 pituitary hormone deficiency: identification of a single patient with LHX4 deletion. J Clin
 Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:4043–7.
- 4. Kelberman D, Dattani MT. Role of transcription factors in midline central nervous
 system and pituitary defects. Endocr Dev. 2009;14:67–82.
- 5. Andrews W, Liapi A, Plachez C, Camurri L, Zhang J, Mori S, et al. Robo1 regulates the
 development of major axon tracts and interneuron migration in the forebrain.
 Development. 2006;133:2243–52.
- 6. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The
 Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA
 sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20:1297–303.
- 7. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants
 from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:e164.
- 8. Holbrook JA, Neu-Yilik G, Hentze MW, Kulozik AE. Nonsense-mediated decay
 approaches the clinic. Nat Genet. 2004;36:801–8.
- Bashamboo A, Bignon-Topalovic J, Moussi N, McElreavey K, Brauner R. Mutations in
 the human ROBO1 gene in pituitary stalk interruption syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol
 Metab. 2017;102:2401–6.
- 10. Calloni SF, Cohen JS, Meoded A, Juusola J, Triulzi FM, Huisman TAGM, et al.
 Compound heterozygous variants in ROBO1 cause a neurodevelopmental disorder with
 absence of transverse pontine fibers and thinning of the anterior commissure and corpus
 callosum. Pediatr Neurol. 2017;70:70–4.
- 11. Thompson H, Barker D, Camand O, Erskine L. Slits contribute to the guidance of retinal
 ganglion cell axons in the mammalian optic tract. Dev Biol. 2006;296:476–84.

231 Titles and legends to figures

Figure 1. Clinical findings in the patient.

(a) A front view of the patient at one year of age showing distinct facial features with
strabismus, a broad forehead, micrognathia, a broad philtrum and arched eyebrows. T1weighted sagittal (b) and coronal (c) views of the brain magnetic resonance imaging show
anterior pituitary hypoplasia, ectopic posterior pituitary (white arrow), thinning of the corpus
callosum, and the pontine and the midbrain hypoplasia. (d) Diffusion tensor imaging and
fiber tractography showing the presence of transverse pontine fibers. The authors have
obtained informed consent from his parents to publication of these images.

240

Figure 2. Mutation analyses of *ROBO1* in this family.

(a) Electrochromatograms delineating the homozygous mutation in a splice-acceptor site
(c.1342+1G>A, NM_002941) in the patient (asterisk) and the heterozygous ones in the
parents. The mutation was confirmed by direct sequencing. (b) Pedigree of the family. The
black-painted square indicates the presence of the homozygous variant. Half-black, halfwhite symbols represent carriers of the variant in a heterozygous form.

		Patient		Pafaranca valuas	
	Stimulus (dosage)	Baseline	Peak	Kelefence values	
GH (ng/ml)	Arginine (0.5 g/kg)	0.17	0.68	>6p	
	L-Dopa (10 mg/kg)	0.2	0.27	>6 ^p	
LH (mIU/ml)	GnRH (2.5 µg/kg)	< 0.1	<0.1	$0.4-6.0^{b}$	
FSH (mIU/ml)	GnRH (2.5 µg/kg)	0.1	0.21	6.3-15.6 ^b	
TSH (µU/ml)	TRH (10 µg/kg)	0.01	0.01	>10 ^b	
Prolactin (ng/m	2.64	3.61	>2 times of the basal value ^b		
ACTH (pg/ml)	3.6 ^a		12.6-35.0		
Cortisol (µg/dl)	0.5^{a}		5-20		
IGF-I (ng/ml)	<0.1		14-148		
Free T4 (ng/dl)	0.7		1.01-1.95		

Table 1. Blood hormone values of the patient with a homozygous ROBO1 mutation

Hormone values have been evaluated by the age-and sex-matched Japanese reference data; low hormone data are boldfaced. Blood sampling during the provocation tests: 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes. ^aMeasured at two months of age in his critical samples obtained at time of spontaneous presentation of hypoglycemia (35 mg/dL). ^bPeak values during the provocation tests.

0	1	Calloni et al ¹⁰	Present case				
Case #	1 ^b	2 ^b	3	4 ^c	5°	6	7
Age (yrs)	2.6	2.6	1	3.9	27.7	9	5
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	Male	Male
ROBO1 mutations ^a							
Allele 1	c.2928_2929delG p.Ala977Glnfs*40	c.2928_2929delG p.Ala977Glnfs*4	c.3450G>T p.Tyr1114*	c.719G>C p.Cys240Ser	c.719G>C p.Cys240Ser	c.2204 G>A p.Ser735Asn	c.1342+1G>A
Allele 2	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	c.2914 G>A p.Ala972Thr	c.1342+1G>A
Birth measurements						•	
Gestational age (wks)	39	39	40	41	39	40	38
Weight (SD)	2800 g	2950 g	3580 g	3270 g	NA	2608 g (-1.35)	3280 g (+1.2)
Height (SD)	48 cm	49 cm	48.5 cm	49 cm	NA	47.6 cm (-0.91)	50.0 cm (+0.9)
OFC (SD)	34 cm	34 cm	36 cm	NA	NA	32.4 cm (-1.35)	37.5 cm (+3.4)
Clinical findings							. ,
Affected piutitary hormones	GH	GH	GH	GH, TSH	GH, TSH, ACTH,	N.D	GH, TSH, PRL, ACTH, LH/FSH
Short stature	+	+	+	+	+	N.D	+
Ophthalmologic defects	Strabismus, Hypermetropia	Strabismus, Hypermetropia	-	Strabismus	-	Optic tract defect	Strabismus
Developmental delay	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Intellectual disability	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Hypotonia	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Dysmorphic facial features	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Hearing loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Micropenis	-	N.A	-	N.A	N.A	-	+
Cryptorchidism	-	N.A	-	N.A	N.A	-	+
Other findings	-	-	Ptosis	Cardiomyopathy	-	Spasitic diplegia ataxia, dysmetria	-
MRI findings						•	
Anterior pitutitary hypoplasi	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Ectopic positeror pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Invisible pituitary stalk	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Hypoplastic corpus callosum	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Hypoplastic pontine	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Hypoplastic midbrain	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Hydrocephalus	-	-	-	-	-	-	+

Table 2. Clinical and genetic features of patients with ROBO1 mutations

Abbreviations: OFC, occipitofrontal circumference; WT, wild type; PRL, prolactin; +, Present; -, Absent; N.D., not described; N.A, not applicable.

^aNM_002941, NP_002932. ^b Cases 1 and 2 are nonidentical twins. ^cCase5 is a paternal aunt of Case 4.

Figure 1



Figure 2



0	Locus	Inheritanc	T T	Mutation			Allele Number of	Number of	Predicted Pathogenesity		
Gene		e pattern	Transcript ID	Annotaiton	cDNA	Protein	frequency ^a	homozygotes ^a	SIFT ^b	PolyPhen-2 ^c	Associated diseases
PKN2	1p22.2	AR	ENST00000370505.3	missense	c.2455G>C	p.Asp819His	0	-	0.001 (D)	0.998 (D)	Unknown
ROBO1	3p12.3	AR	ENST00000464233.5	splice site	c.1342+1G>A		0	-	-	-	PSIS (AD), Axonal guidance disorder (AR)
CCDC91	12p11	AR	ENST00000539107.1	missense	c.585T>A	p.His195Glu	0	-	0.015 (D)	0.555 (P)	Unknown
CYP1A2	15q24.1	AR	ENST00000343932.4	missense	c.130G>A	p.Glu44Lys	0.00001688	0	0.001 (D)	0.118 (B)	Unknown
IMP3	15q24.2	AR	ENST00000314852.2	missense	c.130G>T	p.Asp44Tyr	0.0000542	0	0 (D)	0.718 (P)	Unknown
TTLL12	22q13.2	AR	ENST00000343932.5	missense	c.1508A>G	p.Asn503Ser	0.0000167	0	0.056 (T)	0.042 (B)	Unknown
ACE2	Xp22.2	X linked	ENST00000252519.3	missense	c.1402A>G	p.IIe468Val	0.0007253	0	0.097 (T)	0.651 (P)	Unknown
DUSP9	Xq28	X linked	ENST00000342782.3	missense	c.521C>T	p.Ala174Val	0.0000704	0	0.257 (T)	0 (B)	Unknown

Supplemental Table 1. Candidate pathogenic variants in the patient.

AR, autosomal recessive; AD, autosomal dominant; PSIS, pituitary stalk interruption syndrome.

^a data from the Exome Aggregation Consortium database.

^b SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/); D: detleterious (≤0.05), T: tolerated (>0.05). ^c PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/); D: Probably damaging (≥0.957), P: possibly damaging (0.453≤, ≤0.956); B: benign (≤0.452)