Colonoscopic follow-up following Surgery for colon cancers

Masao Tomita, Yutaka Fukuda, Hideki Ikari, Soei Rhin and Hiroshi Ishikawa

> First Department of Surgery Nagasaki University School of Medicine

Received for publication, December 26, 1987

ABSTRACT: Endoscopic examination following surgery for the treatment of carcinoma of the colon and rectum in the postoperative follow-up is evaluated on the basis of clinical experience.

- 1) Postoperative constriction at anastomosis was remarkable in the rectum rather than in the colon. However, there was no clinical significance in terms of symptoms.
- 2) Surgeons should pay attention to new growth of second tumor as well as to the presence of local recurrence at early stage by means of periodic intervals of colonoscopy.

INTRODUCTION

Colonoscopic examination has developed and has been prevalent in precise diagnosis of the diseases of the colon. Needless to say, it is essential not only to determination of precise diagnosis for various diseases of the colon but also to follow-up after surgery for colon cancers in the postoperative course.

Postoperative colonoscopic examination is of great value to detect recurrence of carcinoma in an early stage or evolution of newly growing carcinomas which are more often arising from polyps.

In this study, we aimed at evaluating the clinical values of postoperative colonoscopic examination for follow-up study on the basic of our clinical experience.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

From January 1981 to December 1988, 89 cases who underwent surgical excision for carcinoma of the colon and rectum (52 : colon cancers, 37 : rectal cancers) were followed up by a total of 211 colonoscopic examinations in the First Department of Surgery, Nagasaki University School of Medicine. The frequency of colonoscopic examination was shown in Fig 1.



Fig. 1. Frequency of colonoscopy performed

The frequency ranged from 1 to 6. In most patients it is indicated that the follow-up study by colonoscopic examination is clinically prevalent from year to year.

The results of endoscopic findings in combination with histologic examinations were as shown in Table 1.

	colon cancers	rectal cancers
no abnormal finding polyp carcinoma constricture	25 (48 %) 23 (44 %) 4 (7.6%) 2 (3.8%)	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \ (41\%) \\ 12 \ (32\%) \\ 5 \ (14\%) \\ 5 \ (14\%) \end{array}$

Table.Eligible cases 52 in colon cancers37 in rectal cancers

About half of them had no abnormal finding. Carcinomas were found in 4(7.6%) of postoperative colon cancers and in 5 (14%) of postoperative rectal one. Postoperative constrictions, which corresponded to being narrow as small as less than one third of conventional lumen at anastomosis, without symptom, were noted in 2 (3.8%) who underwent surgery for colon cancers and in 5 (14%)surgery for rectal cancers. On the other hand, polyps were detected in 23 (44%) who underwent surgery for colon cancers and in 12 (32%) surgery for rectal cancers.

When compared between cancers arising from the colon and the rectum, the differences were shown in Table 2 and 3.

The first operations for rectal cancers were local excision in 1, anterior resection in 3 and pull through in 1. The locations of recurrent carcinomas were Rb in 2, Rp in 1, Ras in 1, Ra in 1 respectively. The disease-free intervals ranged from 6 months to 4 years and 9 months.

All but one, in whom surgery was refused, were operated upon including one with pelvic exenteration.

Meanwhile, recurrences arising from colon cancers were seen in 4. The locations of the tumor detected were the descending colon and the transverse colon in 3, the sigmoid colon in 1. There was no relation in the locations between the intial and the recurrent tumors.

The disease-free intervals ranged from 10 months to 5 years and 9 months. All tumors were resected and the patients are doing well.

DISCUSSION

Recently colon cancers are increasing in

Fin case age sex	st operation op da op me	n te ethod	endoscopic diagnosis Disease tree interval		reoperation
MK 63 M	Nov 1985 T resect A resect	pm e m e m	Nov 1987 2 yrs	Dec 1987 RHC	SS C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
MK 70 M	Jun 1984 S resect		Aug 1986 2.1yrs	Sep 1986 RHC	€ Sm
TO 60 M	Aug 1981 S resect	C s	May 1987 5.9yrs	Jun 1987 RHC	(SS)
HU 60 M	May 1985 S resect	Sm	March 1987 10 m	March 1987 endoscop polypectomy	adeno ca in adenom

 Table 2. Carcinoma detected by colonoscopyfollowing surgery for colon cancers

3

First operation		endoscopic diagnosis		reoperation
case age sex	op date op method	Diseas	se tree interval	
TM 39 F	Fev 1984 low ant r.	May 1985 1.3 yrs	non	
YK 60 M	Aug 1985 low aut r $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	May 1987 1.9 yrs	Sep 1987 pelvic exenterat	
SO 47 F	Nov 1986 low ant. r	Oct 1987 2.2 yrs	Oct 1987 Miles op	
TY 64 F	Jul 1979 pull through (Ro	May 1987 6 M	Jun 1987 Miles op l-lat hepat	
TY 64 F	Jul 1979 pull-through (Rop)	Apr 1984 4.9 yrs	Aug 1985 Miles op	

 Table 3. Carcinoma detected by colonoscopy following surgery for rectal cancers

occurrence in accordance with advances in nutritional life style in which Western style food has become more preferred.

Furthermore, it is accepted that multiple cancers frequently develop in the large intestine.¹⁾ Physicians are paying attention to the presence of adenomatous polyp as a precancerous neoplasma. In general, simultaneous coexisting cancers are liable to be missed on the basis of the fact that colonoscopic examination can not be conducted in the stenotic lesions which is a barrier to pass through the colonoscopic tube to the proximal colon so as to make a precise diagnosis.

To overcome this handicaps and to minimize overlooking the residual lesions, intraoperative colonoscopy is commonly used for correct examination of the residual portion of the large intestine.^{2), 3)} Surgerons look for simultaneous coexistence of colon cancers to prevent a residue of cancerous lesion to the residual colon. Usually an advanced cancerous lesion produces so severely stenotic lesion that other lesions arising the proximal colon tend to be overlooked. Postoperative follow-up observation by using colonoscopy is of great benefit to know the postoperative state at anastomosis, changes in the sizes of residual polyps and/or appearance of newly growing cancer or polyp.

A presence of stenosis to some extent at anastomosis in the rectum was noted, although not so often in the colon. However, it did not arouse any symptom referable to stenosis. Ischemic affection at anastomosis is one of the causes of stenosis in the rectum. Inverted suture line by stapler which was often used for low anterior resection causes stenosis at anstomosis in the rectum. However, these lesions did not require reoperation due to difficulty in defecation. It is generally accepted that most of colon cancers may arise from adenomatous. polyp except for a few de novo carcinomas and also colon cancers concomitantly accompany polyps. Therefore, obligatory preoperative examination for residual polyp is recommended. Endoscopic polypectomy is now prevalent for the treatment of polyps arising from the colon. Needless to say, complication related to endoscopy should be reduced.^{4), 5) On the other}

hand, stenotic lesion caused by carcinoma prevent the introduction of colonoscopic tube to conduct endoscopic polypectomy and to examine endoscopic examination. Therefore application of colonoscopy intraoperatively and its clinical usefulness have been reported and it is defined that this method has become greatly valid.⁶ It is documented that when polypoid lesions of the colon by intraoperative endoscopy are incidentally detected, successful removal has been recommended.⁷ This procedure also is effective in avoidance of early appearance of recurrence including new growth from polypoid lesions.

As far as postoperative colonoscopy is concerned, it is necessary to know the healing state at anastomosis and to detect recurrence in early stage. Surgeons should be aware of being multicentric in the genesis of colon cancers. Therefore, in the postoperative follow-up study of carcinoma of the colon, periodic colonoscopy is a clinical need and of great benefit. Wayc⁸⁾ cited that at least 10% of early carcinoma will be overlooked by colonoscopy and Williams⁹⁾ also reported that 5 (8%) out of 63 cases which had a 7mm sized abnormal polyps were overlooked by endoscopic examination although they were detected by fluoroscopic examination. In particular, recurrence is more often seen in those who had the treatment for rectal cancers than colon cancers. The frequency of postoperative colonoscopy should be increased much more after surgery for rectal cancers than for colon cancers.

REFERENCE

- DEVITT, JE. ROTH-MOYO LA and BROWN FN : The significance of multiple adenocarcinoma of the colon and rectum, Ann. Surg. 169 : 364-367, 1969.
- 2) MIYANO Y., TANAKA K., KAWAGUCHI H., TAKEDA R., SASAKI T., NISHIDOI H., KIMURA O, et al : Simultaneous multiple cancers of the large bowel. Geka Shinryo 22 : 52-55, 1980.
- HEALD, RJ and LOCKHART MUMMERY HE : The lesion of the second cancer of the large bowel Brit J. surg 59 : 16-19. 1972.
- 4) SMITH LE : Complication of colonoscopy and polypectomy Dis Col. Rect 19 : 407-412, 1976.
- 5) SILVIS, E: Endoscopic complications. Results of the 1974 American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Survey JAMA 235: 928-930, 1976.
- 6) BOWDEN TA Jr., HOODS VH. and MANSBERGER AR Jr : Intraoperative gastrointestinal endoscopy. Ann. Surg. 191: 680-687, 1980.
- 7) ESPINER HJ. SALMON PR. TEAGUE RH and READ AE: operative colonoscopy. Br, Med. J. 1: 453-454, 1973.
- 8) WAYE JD, BRAUNFELD S: Surveillance intervals after colonoscopic polypectomy. *Endoscopy* 14: 79-81, 1982.
- 9) WILLIAMS CB, MACRAE FA. BARTRAM CI : A prospective study of diagnostic method in adenoma follow-up endoscopy 14: 74-68, 1982.