Interaction between *Vibrio cholerae* and Other Microorganisms: with Reference to Host Defence Mechanism of Mice against Cholera

Masaaki IWANAGA, Akiyoshi UTSUNOMIYA, Toshiaki HAYASHI, Hideaki SHIGENO, Shigenori WATANABE and Tatsuro NAITO

Department of Bacteriology, Institute for Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University

Abstract : The interaction between Vibrio cholerae and other microorganisms including Escherichia coli, Enterococcus and Staphylococcus aureus was examined in vitro with reference to the defence mechanism of a host against cholera. When V. cholerae and another microorganisms were inoculated into fresh media at the same time, E. coli suppressed the growth of vibrio to some extent. In the mixed culture with E. coli, maximum cell population of V. cholerae was reduced to below 10^7 /ml. On the other hand, Enterococcus and Staphylococcus did not suppress the growth of vibrio, even when the inoculated proportion of V. cholerae to the cocci was 1 to 10^5 . Moreover, these cocci seemed to protect the growth of vibrio in the tryptosoy broth.

The bactericidal effect of acid against V. cholerae was confirmed in detail. In the nutrient broth with pH 5, the organism maintained the initial viable cell count $(2.5 \times 10^6/\text{ml})$ for 3 hours, and thereafter it went down. The same number of V. cholerae was completely killed within 2 hours in pH 4 and within 30 minutes in pH 3. V. cholerae, orally challenged to alkalinized stomach of mice, passed into the intestine, but it was soon and completely eliminated from the small intestine where pH was about 7.0.

Cholera toxin in the medium of 24 hour culture with V. cholerae (V86) was 2.6 mcg/ml, but it decreased when the other microorganisms were mixed in the culture.

INTRODUCTION

In the pathogenesis of infectious diseases, a host factor, as well as a pathogenic microorganism would play an important role to present clinical manifestations. In the experimental cholera in mice, it has been noticed that only infantile mice younger than 10 days of age were susceptible to oral challenge of V. cholerae (Ujiiye et al., 1968).

Contribution No. 890 from the Institute for Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University Received for publication, October 2, 1979

In the adolescent mice, however, neither disease nor multiplication of vibrio in the intestine at all. The mechanism of this resistance against invasion of V. *cholerae* in the adult and adolescent mice has not been clarified yet.

Therefore, we have investigated on the characteristics of the infantile mice in the relation to the pathogenesis of cholera, comparing with the adolescent mice in the viewpoints of bacterial flora, enzymes, morphology, histochemical composition and absorptive function of the intestine (Utsunomiya, 1969; Iwanaga, 1971). Many differences between infantile and adolescent mice were disclosed in these studies, but it was not clarified which factor was significant in the protective mechanism against the invasion of V. cholerae.

In the present study, the factors in eliminating the ingested vibrio from the gastrointestinal tract were studied on the action of the intestinal flora and gastro-intestinal hydrogen ion concentration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains: V. cholerae El Tor (V86), Staphylococcus aureus (209-P), Enterococcus (ITM-01) and E. coli (HAM-773) were used. The latter two strains were isolated from human stool, and all strains were stocked in soft agar media in our laboratory. This E. coli was negative in ileal loop test.

Cultures: V. cholerae and another microorganisms were mixed in various proportions, and cultured in three types of media, i. e., the nutrient broth (Eiken), the tryptosoy broth (Difco) and pepton water with yeast extract consisted of 3% Bacto peptone (Difco), 0.5% yeast extract, and 0.5% NaCl. Cultures were kept in water bath at 37° C. Another type of mixed culture was made by adding small amount of V. cholerae to the nutrient broth in which each of the three other microorganisms had been cultured for 20 hours in advance. A part of this 20 hour cultured media was filtered to eliminate the precultured microorganisms, and V. cholerae was inoculated into this cell free filtrate for the single culture.

Test for the influence of pH on V. cholerae: V. cholerae in the concentration of 2×10^{6} /ml were incubated at 37°C in the nutrient broth with various pH of 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 and 6.0, and living cells were serially counted on agar plates by ten-folds dilution method.

Measurement of gastro-intestinal pH in mice: Following laparotomy under light anesthesia, small incisions were made in the bowels. Glass microelectrode (1.2 mm sencer and 2.0 mm coat in diameter, product by Microelectrode Inc. U.S.A., Type MI-410) was inserted through the incisions into the bowels. Hydrogen ion concentration was measured by pH meter (Hitachi Horiba) at several sites of the mucosa.

Oral challenge of V. cholerae after alkalinization of the stomach: Adult mice of

ICR strain dehydrated for 24 hours prior to the challenge. Shortly before the challenge, 7% sodium bicarbonate was given freely for 15 minutes as drinking water. Then, V. *cholerae* suspension, 2×10^8 /ml in concentration, was given for 1 hour. The suspension was prepared in 2% sodium bicarbonate and 0.45% sodium chloride, from 12 hour culture on meat extract agar slant. The number of living vibrio in the intestine was counted 3, 6, 24 and 48 hours after the beginning of challenge.

Assay of cholera toxin: Pepton water with yeast extract (pH 7.3) was used for toxin production. In this media, *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus* and *Enterococcus* was respectively mixed with *V. cholerae*, and incubated at 30°C in the shaking water bath for 20 hours. Cholera toxin in the culture filtrates was assayed by rabbit skin test (Craig, 1965).

RESULTS

Growth curve of V. cholerae in various media: As shown in Figure 1, the viable cell count rapidly increased after a short period of resting phase. This logarithmic phase continued up to 6 hours from the beginning of incubation. When it was cultured in the nutrient broth or pepton water with yeast extract, the stationary phase continued for 24 hours at least. In the tryptosoy broth, however, viable cell count of vibrio decreased rapidly after reaching maximum number and no living vibrio was detected in the cultured medium in 24 hours. The pH of the former two media went down at the beginning of culture, and went up afterwards. After 24 hours, it became higher than that of the starting level. The pH of tryptosoy broth, however, steadily went down and was never restored. Growth curves of E. coli in the same conditions were shown in Figure 1 by dotted line, which indicated no decline even in the tryptosoy broth.

Interaction between V. cholerae and other microorganisms: When mixed cultures maintained in the tryptosoy broth (Fig. 2), a fall of final pH was not so marked as that in the single culture of vibrio. Although the pH after 24 hours was lower than the starting level, they were higher than that after 6 to 8 hours. In the mixed culture with $E. \ coli$,



Fig. 1. Growth curve of Vibrio cholerae and E. coli, single culture of each in various media.
The values of pH indicate those of the media when Vibrio cholerae was cultured.



Fig. 2. Mixed culture in the tryptosoy broth.

multiplication of vibrio at the beginning was as rapid as in the single culture. But soon, the number of living vibrio started to fall. At 8 hours, it became less than $10^2/ml$. When staphylococcus or enterococcus was mixed, the growth curve of vibrio was similar to that of the single culture in pepton water. The fall of viable cell count at 24 hours was not seen by mixing these microorganisms.

The growth curve of V. cholerae in the nutrient broth showed a different pattern from the culture in the tryptosoy broth, when it was cultured with E. coli. As shown in Figure 3-left, the growth of vibrio was about the same as that in the tryptosoy broth up to 4 hours. Although a fall of viable cell count was not seen, further multiplication seemed to be inhibited maintaining 10^7 /ml of living cells until at 24 hours. Moreover, mixing a large number of E. coli (10^9 /ml) against 3×10^4 /ml of V. cholerae (Fig. 3-right), the number of vibrio did not increase within 8 hours. The growth pattern of V. cholerae in the mixed culture with staphylococcus or enterococcus in the nutrient broth were shown in Figures 4 and 5. No inhibition of the growth was observed.

When 5×10^4 /ml of vibrio was added to nutrient broth in which each of the other three microorganisms had already been cultured for 20 hours prior to inoculation of vibrio (Fig. 6), multiplication of vibrio was partially suppressed, especially in the *E. coli* cultured



Fig. 3. Mixed culture in the nutrient broth, Vibrio cholerae and E. coli.



Fig. 5. Mixed culture in the nutrient broth, Vibrio cholerae and Enterococcus.



Fig. 4. Mixed culture in the nutrient broth, Vibrio cholerae and Staphylococcus aureus.



Fig. 6. Survival and growth of Vibrio cholerae inoculated to cultured media with the other organisms, and to the culture filtrates.

medium. Viable count of vibrio added to the *E. coli* cultured medium slowly went down for 8 hours. In the case of enterococcus, no decrease of vibrio was seen, but multiplication of vibrio was rather slow. The maximum number of vibrio in these three media during 24 hours were 1×10^{6} /ml, 3×10^{7} /ml, and 2×10^{7} /ml in *E. coli*, staphylococcus and enterococcus cultured media respectively. In this figure triangle spots connecting with dott-dash line indicate multiplication of vibrio in the cell-free filtrates of 20 hour culture with each of the other three microorganisms. The growth of vibrio in the filtrate of the staphyloccus culture was so fast and full as in the fresh medium. The number of vibrio at 24 hours of the culture was 1.2×10^{9} /ml. On the contrary, the growth of vibrio in the other two filtrates were rather slow, and the number of vibrio after 24 hours of culture was 4×10^{7} /ml and 2×10^{7} /ml respectively.

Gastrointestinal pH of mice: As shown in Table 1, gastric pH of five adult mice ranged from 1.5 to 5.0. Regarding the small intestine, it ranged from 6.7 to 8.1. While pH of the large intestine ranged from 6.4 to 7.2. Gastric pH of infantile mice was higher than that of adult ones, which showed a range from 4.2 to 5.5 (Table 2). Giving 0.5-1.0ml of 7% sodium bicarbonate to adult mice dehydrated for 24 hours in advance, gastric pH elevated to 7.0 and maintained the level for an hour at least (Table 3).

Survival and growth of vibrio in various pH: As shown in Figure 7, V. cholerae could grow without inhibition in the medium with pH 6.0. But no growth was seen in pH 5. The number of vibrio in the medium with pH 5.0 maintained the initial level until three hours after incubation, and thereafter it went down. When it was incubated in pH 3, all cells were killed within 30 minutes.

No. of Mice	Stomach	Small intestine	Large intestine
1	1.5-4.0	7.2-7.4	7.0-7.2
2	1.5 - 2.1	7.7 - 8.1	×
3	3.0-5.0	7.2-7.6	6.8 - 7.2
4	2.8-3.3	6.8-7.2	×
5	3.0-5.0	6.7-7.3	6.8-7.1

Table 1. Gastrointestinal pH of adult mice

Table 2. Gastric pH of infantile mice

Table 3. Change of gastric pH after giving 7% NaHCO₃ ad libitum for 15 minutes

No. of Mice	pH of stomach	Time after dose (Minutes)	Gastric pH
1	4.2-4.6	1	6.8-7.2
2	4.3-4.8	15	7.0-8.0
3	4.9-5.1	25	7.0 - 7.2
4	4.5 - 5.0	40	7.6-8.0
5	4.7-5.5	60	6.8-7.4

Fate of vibrio in the intestine of adult mice: By alkalinizing the stomach of the animals, orally challenged vibrio appeared in the intestine (Fig. 8). Three hours after challenge, the concentration of vibrio was highest in the large intestine. The vibrio in the small intestine decreased rapidly and was completely eliminated within a day.

Cholera toxin in mixed culture: Showing in Figure 9, V. cholerae strain V86 produced 2.6 mcg/ml of cholera toxin in the medium of 20 hour single culture. In the mixed culture with $E. \ coli$, however, 1.1 mcg/ml of toxin was detected. Less amount of toxin was detected in the mixed culture with staphylococcus or enterococcus.

log





Fig. 9. Toxin production of Vibrio cholerae (V86) in mixed culture with E. coli, Enterococcus, and Staphylococcus aureus.

DISCUSSION

A number of studies on interaction between enteropathogenic microorganisms and intestinal flora has been reported by Sarkar and Tribedi (1953), Ramson *et al.* (1961), Barua *et al.* (1963), Hattori *et al.* (1965), Bhattacharya and Mukerjee (1968), Mohan *et al.* (1969), Hentges (1970), Ozawa (1971) and Miller and Feeley (1975). In these studies, some of the resident flora such as $E.\ coli$ were found to play an important role in eliminating an invaded pathogen, especially shigella (Hentges, 1970; Ozawa, 1971). But as far as the interaction between $V.\ cholerae$ and enteric flora is concerned, any marked inhibition against $V.\ cholerae$ was not reported. Only Ramson *et al.* (1961) reported that the growth of $V.\ cholerae$ was partially suppressed in the presence of growing enterococci and lactobacilli; but the mechanism of this phenomenon is not understood. In our study, however, enterococci did not suppress vibrio at all. Although it has been reported by many workers that $E.\ coli$ did not suppress the growth of $V.\ cholerae$ in vitro or in vivo, present study did not completely coincide with the results of the previous workers.

Ramson *et al.* (1961) applied a continuously fed culture probably to maintain nutrition, pH and others constant. It must be important to study the bacterial interaction in an environment similar to the intestine as far as intestinal infections are concerned. There must be many workers who prefer continuous flow culture to study the interaction between intestinal flora and enteric pathogen, on the basis of this idea of similar environment. But the environment of the intestinal lumen must be far from constant. Ingested materials (foods) are variable in composition, amount, ingesting intervals, etc. Even pH must be ready to change. In short, these environments in vitro are quite different from that in vivo. And it may be said that the continuous flow culture method is not necessarily reflected the intestinal environment any better than other culture methods.

It has been well known that V. cholerae is readily killed by acid, but the detail knowledge of the survival rate in a certain pH has been poor (Reimann, 1973; Sarkar and Tribedi, 1954). Gastric pH of the adult mice was enough to kill V. cholerae in a short time, and alkalinization of the stomach permit the organism passing through the stomach and getting into the intestine. Although pH of the small intestine is about 7.0 or higher, V. cholerae in the small intestine was rapidly elminated from the site.

The present study and previous reports by many workers give us a speculation that the factor which eliminates V. cholerae from the small intestine is not likely related to the floral organism. Although V. cholerae can stay as an intestinal resident flora in germ free mice, this animal is not only "conventional mice without microorganisms" but also physiologically quite different from so called conventional ones (Sasaki, 1971). In these points of view, the host factor to eliminate V. cholerae should be studied not only on bacteriological action but also on intestinal physiology as a non-specific defence mechanism against infection.

This study was partly supported by a grant from Japanese cholera Panel, U.S. -Japan Cooperative Medical Science Committee.

REFERENCES

- Barua, D., Neogy, K. N. & Sanyal, S. N. (1963): Bacterial antagonism in vitro between V. cholerae and E. coli. Bull. Calcutta School Trop. Med., 11(2), 47-48.
- Bhattacharya, P. & Mukerjee, S. (1968): Interaction between *Escherichia coli* and vaccine strains of Vibrio El Tor. Indian J. Med. Res., 56(3), 275-281.
- Craig, J. P. (1965): A permeability factor (Toxin) found in cholera stool and culture filtrate and its neutralization by convalescent cholera sera. Nature (Lond.), 207, 614-616.
- Hattori, Z., Misawa, H., Igarashi, I. & Sugiya, Y. (1965): Effects of lactic acid-forming bacteria on Vibrio comma inoculated into intestinal segments of rabbits. J. Bacteriol., 90(2), 514-545.
- Hentges, D. J. (1970): Enteric pathogen-normal flora interaction. Amer. J. Clin. Nutrition, 23 (11), 1451-1456.
- Iwanaga, M. (1971): Characteristics of the intestine of infantile mice with reference to the pathogehy of experimental cholera. Trop. Med., 12(4), 179-209.
- 7) Miller, C. E. & Feeley, J. C. (1975): Competitive effects of intestinal microflora on Vibrio cholerae in gnotobiotic mice. Lab. Animal Sci., 25(4), 454-458.
- Mohan, K., Pal, S.C. & Raghavan, N.G.S. (1969): An in vitro study of interaction between V. cholerae biotype El Tor and Escherichia coli. Com. Dis., 1(1), 40-42.
- Ozawa, A. (1971): Continuous flow culture and antagonistic mechanism of intestinal flora. J. Japan. Med. Ass., 67(2), 151-158. (in Japanese)
- Ramson, J. P., Finkelstein, R. A., Ceder, R. E. & Formal, S. B. (1961): Interaction of Vibrio cholerae, Shigella flexneri, Enterococci and Lactobacilli in continuously fed cultures. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 107(2), 332-336.
- 11) Reimann, H.A. (1973): Vibrio comma and pH. Ann. Int. Med., 79(2), 290.
- Sarkar, J.K. & Tribedi, B.P. (1953): Antagonism between Vibrio cholerae and Bacterium coli. Indian J. Med. Sci., 7(8), 403-408.
- Sarkar, J.K. & Tribedi, B.P. (1954): Growth and survival of cholera vibrio in relation to pH. Indian Med. Gaz., 89(3), 139-141.
- Sasaki, S. (1971): Colonization of bacteria in germ-free animal. J. Japan. Med. Ass., 67(5), 691-702.
- Ujiiye, A., Nakatomi, M., Utsunomiya, A., Mitsui, K., Sogame, S., Iwanaga, M. & Kobari, K. (1968): Experimental cholera in mice. I. First report on oral infection. Trop. Med., 10(2), 65-71.
- 16) Utsunomiya, A. (1969): The Influence of the intestinal coli flora to the infection in mice by oral challenge with Vibrio cholerae. Trop. Med., 11(3), 170-182. (in Japanese)

大腸菌, ブドウ球菌, 腸球菌がコレラ菌におよぼす作用-コレラに対するマウスの防御機構に関 連して-

岩永正明, 宇都宮明剛, 林 敏明, 重野秀明, 渡辺繁徳, 内藤達郎(長崎大学熱帯医学研究所病 原細菌学部門)

コレラ菌の侵入を初めて受けた個体が、コレラの発症を免れる要因を解明するため、今回は腸 内先住菌とコレラ菌の相互作用、及び酸度がコレラ菌におよぼす影響などを主に検討した.

腸内先住菌として選定した大腸菌、ブドウ球菌、腸球菌をそれぞれ種々の培養条件で、コレラ 菌と混合培養を行った. その結果大腸菌はある程度までコレラ菌の増殖を抑制したが、バクテリ オシン様物質の存在は否定的であった.ブドウ球菌、腸球菌はコレラ菌の増殖を全く抑制せず、逆 に、トリプトソイブイヨン使用の場合、コレラ菌単独培養で8時間目以後にみられる死滅を抑制 し、発育増殖を保護する所見が得られた.

酸度がコレラ菌におよぼす影響は普通ブイヨンの pH を調整して検討した.その結果,pH 6.0 では充分増殖したが,pH 5.0では3時間まで接種菌量を維持し,その後減少して7時間目で消失 した.さらに pH4.0 では2時間で,pH3.0 では30分間で生菌は検出されなくなった.成熟マウ スの胃内容または胃粘膜の pH は平均して 3.0 前後という測定結果であった.そこで重炭酸ソー ダの前投与によって胃をアルカリ化した直後にコレラ菌を経口投与すると,菌は小腸に到達した が24時間以内に検出されなくなり,その後大腸において少なくとも48時間までは菌が検出された.

コレラ菌と他の細菌を混合培養することにより, 培地中から検出されるコレラ毒素は減少した. コレラ菌 (V86)単独培養20時間の培地からは2.6mcg/ml の毒素が 検出されたが, ブドウ球菌を 混合培養すると毒素量は0.68mcg/ml に減少した.

熱帯医学 第21巻 第3号, 105-113頁, 1979年11月