Virological and Epidemiological Studies on Encephalitis in Chiang Mai Area, Thailand, in the Year of 1982

IV. Serological examination on hospitalized patients

Nobuya FUJITA Department of Microbioloyg, Kobe University School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

Akira IGARASHI, Keiko BUNDO Department of Virology, Institute for Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

Takayuki OGATA

Department of Virology and Rickettsiology, National Institute of Health of Japan, Tokyo, Japan

Jiraporn SUPAWADEE, Supatra PEERAKOME, Pranee LEECHANACHAI, Kampol PANASAMPOL Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine,

Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

Charnchudhit CHANYASANHA, and Kanai CHATIYANONDA Virus Research Institute, Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract: One-hundred and eight paired sera including 40 encephalitis and 54 dengue hemorrhagic fever(DHF), and 71 single sera including 15 encephalitis and 25 DHF cases, were examined by the hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) against Japanese encephalitis (JE) and dengue antigens, as well as by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay(ELISA) against JE antigen. By the HI test, 10 encephalitis and 3 DHF cases showed primary type antibody response, while there were 7 encephalitis and 28 DHF with secondary responses. Only 7 encephalitis and 10 DHF cases with paired sera showed monospecific HI antibody reponse to JE and dengue antigens, respectively, and there were 7 encephalitis cases with monospecific HI antibody response to dengue antigens. IgM-ELISA against JE antigen appeared to be a more specific indicator of recent infection by JE virus, and could detect 27 positive cases. Twenty two of them were the cases with paired sera and 16 of them did not give positive and monospecific HI antibody response to JE antigen. Remaining 5 case were with single serum specimen. Serological tests suggested that at least 4 encephalitis case were due to dengue virus infections.

Key words: Encephalitis, Thailand, Serology, Chiang Mai.

Received for publication, September 26, 1983. Contribution No.1375 from the Institute for Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University.

INTRODUCTION

Since 1969, many encephalitis cases have been reported from Chiang Mai Area, Northern Thailand, and most of the cases were observed during rainy season of June, July, and August (Grossman *et al.*, 1973; Statistics of the Ministry of Public Health of Thailand). Although some of the patient's sera were tested by the HI, only one-third of them were confirmed as infection by JE virus, mainly because of the cross-reactions between JE and dengue viruses. During our study period from July 19 to August 17, 1982, we examined hospitalized patients of encephalitis, DHF, and some other diseases (Igarashi *et al.*, 1983a; Uzuka *et al.*, 1983). Serum specimens from these patients were examined by the HI and ELISA and the results are presented in this paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Serum specimens and the HI test: Sampling and collection of patient's sera were described in the preceeding paper (Uzuka et al., 1983). Sera were treated with kaolin to remove nonspecific inhibitors, followed by absorption with goose red blood cells. The procedure of Clarke and Casals (1958) was followed with modification to microtiter system using goose red blood cells. Sucrose-acetone extracted antigen of JE virus, JaGAr-01 strain, was kindly supplied by Chemoserotherapeutic Institute, Kumamoto, Japan. The antigens of dengue virus, type 1 (D1), Mochizuki and Hawaiian strains; type 2 (D2), TR1751 strain; type 3 (D3), H87 strain; and type 4 (D4), H241 strain; were prepared from infected suckling mouse brains by sucrose-acetone extractions.

ELISA procedures: Indirect micromethod of Voller et al. (1976) was used with modifications as described before (Igarashi et al., 1981; Bundo et al., 1981), except that the incubations were performed at room temperature (25-30°C). Peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG (heavy and light chains) and anti-human IgM (μ -chain specific) goat IgG were obtained from Cappel Laboratories, Pa. USA. Formalin-inactivated and purified JE vaccine concentrate (Takaku et al., 1968) was kindly supplied by the Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University and was used as ELISA antigen.

RESULTS

The numbers of patients with paired serum specimens were 40 encephalitis, 54 DHF, 6 fever of unknown origin (FUO), 4 meningitis and myelitis, and 4 other disease or without clinical diagnosis. While, the numbers of patients with single serum specimens were 15 encephalitis, 25 DHF, 6 FUO, 4 meningitis, and 21 other disease or without clinical diagnosis. These specimens were tested by the HI against JE and dengue

antigens, as well as by the ELISA against JE antigen. Some patterns of these reactions for encephalitis patients were shown in Table 1, and those for DHF in Table 2, respectively. Patients were classified according to their patterns of HI antibody responses using the guide line of World Health Organization (1983) as shown in Table 3. There were 10 primary and 7 secondary infections of encephalitis, with 1 presumptive secondary and 6 definite infections. On the other hand, there were 3 primary and 28 secondary infections of DHF, with 9 presumptive secondary and 2 definite infections. The age distribution of the primary and secondary infections of encephalitis and DHF cases were summarized in Table 4. The primary infection was observed in younger age groups compared with secondary infection, however, one encephalitis with primary response was observed at the age of 35, and 2 primary DHF cases were at the age of 15 and 16 years old.

Pati	ent	Date		imen			HI			JE-E	LISA	Virus
code	age sex	of onset		after t	JE	D1	D2	D3	D4	IgG	IgM	isolated (from)
P-2	56M	Jul 16	A C	5d 7d	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\640\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 320\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 160\\ 160\end{array}$	80 80	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 160 \end{array}$	8000 4000	100 50	
P-10	7M	Jul 18	A C	3d 12d	$10 \\ 80$	$\stackrel{\leq 20}{\gtrsim 20}$	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	250 1000	50 200	
P-19	$5\mathbf{M}$	Jul 18	A C	4d 8d	20 80	$\stackrel{\leq 20}{\gtrsim 20}$	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	${}^{<10}_{<10}$	$500 \\ 1000$	$50\\400$	JE (brain)
P-22	$12\mathrm{F}$	Jul 17	A C	3d 9d	≤ 55	$\stackrel{\displaystyle <20}{\displaystyle <20}$	$<\!$	$< \!\!\! \stackrel{10}{_{10}} $	$< 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	500 2000	$50\\1600$	
P-28	2M	Jul 22	A C	3d 12d	$<5 \\ 160$	$\substack{<20\\160}$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$< 10 \\ 80$	500 2000	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\1600\end{array}$	
P-39	$14\mathbf{M}$	Jul 16	A C	4d 11d	$<_{5}^{5}$	$\stackrel{\leq 20}{\gtrsim_{20}}$	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	\gtrsim^{10}_{10}	$\begin{array}{c} 250\\ 8000 \end{array}$	50 50	
P-90	26M	?	A C	? ?	${}^{<20}_{>2560}$	$^{40}_{>2560}$	$^{20}_{>2560}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 320\end{array}$	$^{20}_{>5120}$	$^{4000}_{>8000}$	$50\\100$	
P-95	13 F	?	A C -	? +13d	$\begin{array}{c} 80\\ 160\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 320 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 320 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 160 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\320\end{array}$	8000 8000	50 50	
P-97	14M	?	A C	? +7d	80 80	${}^{10}_{<10}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2000 \\ 8000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1600 \\ 800 \end{array}$	
P-103	$2\mathbf{M}$	Jul 30	A C	6d ?	$< \!\!\! \stackrel{10}{_{10}} $	$<\!$	$<\!$	$< \!\!\! \stackrel{10}{_{10}} $	$< 10 \\ 10$	$500 \\ 500$	50 50	
P–125	$30\mathbf{M}$	Jul 28	A C	9d 12d	20 80	80 80	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 320 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 160 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4000\\ 4000 \end{array}$	50 50	
P-127	10M	Aug 6	A C	5d 19d	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$< \!\!\! \stackrel{10}{_{10}} $	$<\!$	$\begin{array}{c} 500 \\ 500 \end{array}$	50 50	
PP-1	18M	Jul 3	A C	6d 13d	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 40 \end{array}$	nT* nT	nT nT	nT nT	$\begin{array}{c} 4000\\ 4000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 400\\ 800 \end{array}$	
PP-2	$35\mathrm{F}$	Jul 3	A C	6d 13d	$<\!$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 40 \end{array}$	nT nT	nT nT	nT nT	$\begin{array}{c} 2000\\ 8000 \end{array}$	${}^{<100}_{<100}$	
B-8	$67\mathrm{F}$	Jul 12	A C	7d 21d	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 10 \end{array}$	80 80	160 80	$\begin{array}{c} 8000\\ 4000 \end{array}$	$\stackrel{100}{\stackrel{100}\stackrel$	D1 (C)
B-17	12 F	Jul 5	A C	3d 15d	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 5120 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\1280\end{array}$	${<}^{10}_{2560}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 5120 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\20480 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1000\\ 8000 \end{array}$	50 200	

Table 1. Serological resonse of some encephalitis patients with paired sera, in Chiang Mai, Thailand, 1982

* nT : not tested

Patient	Date					HI			JE-EI	LISA	Virus isolated
code grade ^{ag} se		days onset		JE	D1	D2	D3	D4	IgG	IgM	(from)
P−13 [1	Jul 1	9 A C	2d 4d	$\gtrsim 55$	$\stackrel{\displaystyle <20}{\displaystyle <20}$	$\gtrsim 10$	$\stackrel{{<}10}{{<}10}$	$\stackrel{{<}10}{{<}10}$	500 500	50 50	D1 (A)
P-15 II 13	F Jul 1	8 A C	1d 4d	10 20	$^{\circ}<\!$	$<\!$	$<10 \\ 10$	$<\!$	$\begin{array}{c} 1000 \\ 2000 \end{array}$	50 50	D3 (A)
P-31 22	F Jul 1	7 A C	7d 10d	320 320	$^{320}_{>2560}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 5120 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\2560\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80\\ 2560\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4000\\ 8000 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50$	
P-58 15M	1?	A C -	⊦14d	$< 10 \\ 40$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$\begin{array}{c} 500 \\ 1000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$	
P-68 40M	I Jul 2	7 A C	3d 6d	$^{320}_{>2560}$	$^{320}_{>1280>}$	320 >10240	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 2560 \end{array}$	$^{320}_{>5120}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8000\\ 8000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}100\\50\end{array}$	D1 (A)
P-76 51	I Jul 2	7 A C	7d 15d	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$<\!$	$\begin{array}{c} 250 \\ 2000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50 \\ 100 \end{array}$	D1 (A)
P-100 10	F Jul 3	1 A C	4d 13d	$\begin{array}{c} 1280\\ 2560\end{array}$	$2560 \\ 2560$	$\begin{array}{c} 1280 \\ 1280 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 640 \\ 2560 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 640 \\ 640 \end{array}$	8000 8000	50 50	
P-112 Ⅱ 23	F Jul 2	8 A C	4d 13d	80 80	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 320 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 80\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 40\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1000\\8000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\100\end{array}$	

Table 2. Serological response of some DHF patients with paired sera, in Chiang Mai, 1982

Table 3. Patterns of HI response and clinical diagnosis

			Clini	cal diag	nosis*		- Total
	Pattern of HI response	Enc	DHF	FUO	Men	Other	10(a)
	Primary	10	3	1		2	17
	Secondary	7	28			1	. 37
sera	Presumptive secondary	1	3	1			5
	Definite infection	6	2				7
Paired	Uninterpretable	8	17	3			28
Pa	Negative	8	1	1	4	1	14
	Total	40	54	6	4	4	108
В	Presumptive secondary		6				6
Single serum	Uninterpretable	15	19	6	4	21	65
Sin	Total	15	25	6	4	21	71
Sum	of paired and single serum	55	79	12	8	25	179

* Enc: encephalitis;

DHF: dengue hemorrhagic fever

FUO: fever of unknown origin

Men: meningitis and myelitis

Other: Other diseases and without clinical diagnosis

One-hundred and eight cases with paired sera were classified into 13 groups according to their patterns of serological responses with combinations of positives and negatives in the HI and ELISA as shown in Table 5. The upper quarter of the Table (groups A, B, and C) contained patients showing positive HI responses both to JE and dengue antigens, and they are hard to differentiate by the HI whether they were infected with JE or dengue viruses. The specimens in group A showed positive IgG and IgM-ELISA to JE antigen, and there were 8 encephalitis, like P-28 and B-17 in Table 1, and

		atients by antibody		
Age	Ence	phalitis	D	HF
group	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
1 - 4	2			2
5-9	3	2	1	4
10 - 14	4	1		3
15 - 19		1	2	4
20 - 29		3		9
30 -	1			5
Total	10	7	3	27
Mean age	10.4	15.7	12	20.1

Table 4. Distribution of encephalitis and

Table 5. Relationship between clinical diagnosis and serodiagnosis paired serum specimens

Group		HI	JE-E	LISA		Clinic	cal Diag	nosis*			1
Group	JE	DEN	IgG	IgM	Enc	DHF	FUO	Men	Other	Tot	al
А	+	+	+	+	8				1	9	
В	+	+	+	_	5	27				32	45
С	+	+		-	1	2			1	4	
D	+		+	÷	3					3	
E	+		-	+	3					3	9
F	+			-	1		1		1	3	
G		+	+	+	3		1			4	
Н	-	+	+		3	6	1			10	20
I		+	—		1	4	1			6	
J		_	+	+	4			1		5	
K		armen.	+		1	8	2			11	34
L				+	1					1	54
М	-				6	7		3	1	17	
		Total			40	54	6	4	4	1	08

* Enc: encephalitis; DHF: dengue hemorrhagic fever; FUO: fever of unknown origin; Men: meningitis and myelitis:

Other: other disease or without clinical diagnosis

HI(+): 4-fold or more titer rise or the titer ≥ 2560

ELISA(+): 4-fold or more titer rise or IgG -ELISA ≥ 8000 IgM-ELISA ≥ 400 1 patient without clinical diagnosis in this group. Group B contained 5 encephalitis and 27 DHF cases, and they showed positive IgG-ELISA with negative IgM-ELISA to JE antigen, like PP-2 and P-90 in Table 1, and P-58, P-68, P-76, and P-100 in Table 2. One encephalitis, 2 DHF, and 1 patient without clinical diagnosis in group C showed negative IgG- and IgM-ELISA against JE. (P-125 in Table 1). The second quarter of Table 5 (groups D, E, and F) contained specimens showing positive HI response by JE antigen without showing significant HI responses to dengue antigens, and they could be diagnosed as JE infection by the HI. Three encephalitis, like P-10 in Table 1, in group Dishowed positive IgG- and IgM-ELISA to JE antigen, while 3 encephalitis in groupE, like P-19 in Table 1, with positive IgM-ELISA only. One encephalitis (P-127 in Table 1), 1 FUO, and 1 patient without clinical diagnosis in group F did not show positive ELISA. The third quarter (groups G, H, and I) in Table 5 contained specimens showing significant HI antibody response to any of the 4 types of dengue antigens without showing positive HI antibody response to JE antigen, and they could be diagnosed as dengue infection by the HI test. Three encephalitis, like P-97 in Table 1, and 1 FUO patients showed positive IgG- and IgM-ELISA and were classified into group G. Group H consisted of 3 encephalitis, like P-95 in Table 1, 6 DHF, like P-31 in Table 2, and 1 FUO patients, and they showed positive IgG- and negative IgM-ELISA against JE antigen. Group I consisted of 1 encephalitis (P-103 in Table 1), 4 DHF, like P-15 in Table 2, and 1 FUO patients, and they did not show positive ELISA. The bottom quarter of Table 5 (groups J, K, L, and M) contained specimens which did not show significant HI antibody response either to JE or dengue antigens. There were 4 ence-

]]	HI	JE-E	LISA		Clinic	cal Diag	nosis*		Tot	al
Group	JE	DEN	IgG	IgM	Enc	DHF	FUO	Men	Other		
В	+	+	+			1				1	1
Н		+	+		1	5			1	6	7
I	-	+							1	1	
J	_		+	+	4					4	
ĸ		_	+		1	3	2	2	5	13	63
L			_	+	1					1	
М	-				9	16	3	3	14	45	
		Total			15	25	5	5	21		71

Table 6. Relationship between clinical diagnosis and serodiagnosis single serum specimens

* Enc: encephalitis; DHF: dengue hemorrhagic fever;

FUO: fever of unknown origin; Men: meningitis and myelitis;

Other: other disease or without clinical diagnosis

HI(+): HI titer ≥ 2560

ELISA(+): IgG-ELISA \geq 8000, or IgM-ELISA \geq 400

phalitis, like P-22 in Table 1, and 1 meningitis patients in group J, which showed positive IgG- and IgM-ELISA. Group K consisted of 1 encephalitis (P-39 in Table 1), 8 DHF, like P-112 in Table 2, and 2 FUO patients, which showed positive IgG- and negative IgM-ELISA. Only one encephalitis patient, PP-1 in Table 1, belonged to group L, which showed positive IgM- with negative IgG-ELISA. Finally, group M consisted of 6 encephalitis, 7 DHF, 3 meningitis, and 1 patient without clinical diagnosis, and they did not show any significant responses by any of the serological tests used in this study. However, one of the DHF patients in this group, P-13 in Table 2, was found to be infected with dengue virus type 1 as shown by virus isolation (Igarashi et al., 1983b). The results in Table 5 showed that none of the DHF cases showed positive IgM-ELISA against JE antigen, thus it appears that positive IgM-ELISA is a more reliable indicator of recent infection by JE virus. Seventy one patients with single serum specimens were classified according to the same grouping used in Table 5, and the results were summarized in Table 6. Again none of the DHF patients showed significant levels of IgM-ELISA against JE antigen. Thus, the patients with positive JE-IgM-ELISA appears to have been infected with JE virus recently, like 4 encephalitis in group J and

1 encephalitis in group L. So that total number of encephalitis patients with positive IgM-ELISA is 27 (22 paired serum and 5 single serum specimens, respectively). On the other hand, 3 encephalitis in group H and 1 encephalitis in group I in Table 5 could probably have been infected with dengue virus as shown by their HI antibody response and negative IgM-ELISA against JE antigen. The age distribution of these patients is shown in Table 7.

Table	7.	Age distribution of encephalitis	
		patients with positive $JE-IgM$	
		ELISA and those with possible	
		infection by dengue viruses	

1	infection by dengue viruses								
Age group	(+) JE IgM–ELISA	Possible dengue							
1-4	4	2							
5 - 9	7								
10 - 14	9	1							
15 - 19	4								
20 - 29	1								
30 -	2	1							
Total	27	4							
	·								

DISCUSSION

By the HI test, only 7 of the 40 encephalitis patients with paired sera were shown to have monospecific antibody response to JE antigen, while only 10 out of the 54 DHF cases showed monospecific HI antibody response to dengue antigens. Thus, serodiagnosis by the HI test was quite inefficient to differentiate JE from dengue infections, mainly because of the cross-reactivity between these viruses as shown in groups A, B, and C in Tables 5 and 6. Although typical DHF symptoms are quite different from those of encephalitis, sometimes encephalopathy due to dengue virus infections have been reported (Sumarmo *et al.*, 1978), and the etiological agent is not always easy to guess from clinical pictures, especially for encephalitis.

The result of IgM-ELISA appears to help in the differentiation between dengue and JE as shown in this paper. Edelman and Pariyanonda (1973) showed that assay of IgM antibody by the HI test combined with sucrose gradient sedimentation helped to detect recent infection with JE virus even when secondary type of HI antibody response was observed. Recently, Burke and Nisalak (1982), and Burke et al. (1982) showed that IgMassay is diagnomostic for JE by using antibody capture radioimmunoassay on patient's sera or cerebrospinal fluids. Bundo et al. (1981; 1982) showed that IgM-ELISA is a good indication of recent JE virus infections both in apparent patients and inapparent infections among healthy inhabitants in Japan, The criterion of positive IgM-ELISA used in this study was quite stringent compared with that in Japan, using 4-fold higher titer as the positive limit. This is because some DHF cases also showed certain levels of IgM-ELISA to JE antigen. We have not determined IgM-ELISA against dengue antigens in this study, however, Burke et al. showed that the ratio of dengue IgM to JE-IgM in the ELISA could give clear-cut differentiation between JE and dengue infections (Burke personal communication). More critical works should be performed in the assay of IgM-ELISA using all the 4 types of dengue antigens in order to set up critical diagnostic criteria on JE and dengue infections.

As suggested in this paper and also by the virus isolation in the preceeding paper (Igarashi *et al.*, 1983b), some of the encephalitis patients appeared to have been infected with dengue virus. These possible "dengue encephalopathy" cases (Sumarmo *et al.*, 1978) should further be analyzed more carefully including the findings of cerebrospinal fluids.

References

- Bundo, K., Matsuo, S., & Igarashi, A. (1981): Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on Japanese encephalitis virus. II. Antibody levels in the patient sera. Trop. Med., 23, 135-148.
- Bundo, K., Igarashi, A., Morita, K., Hayashi, K., Yamada, A., Goto, I., Douke, S., Sakai, S., Katsuki, K., Watanabe, K., & Ishii, K. (1982): Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay on Japanese encephalitis virus. V. Antibody levels among inhabitants in endemic and nonendemic areas. Trop. Med., 24, 139-150.
- Burke, D. S., & Nisalak, A. (1982): Detection of Japanese encephalitis virus immunoglobulin M antibodies in serum by antibody capture radioimmunoassay. J. Clin. Microbiol., 15, 353-361.
- Burke, D. S., Nisalak, A., & Ussery, M. A. (1982): Antibody capture immunoassay detection of Japanee encephalitis virus immunoglobulin M and G antibodies in cerebrospinal fluid. J. Clin. Microbiol., 16, 1034-1047.
- Clarke, D. H., & Casals, J. (1958): Techniques for hemagglutination and hemagglutinationinhibition with arthropod-borne viruses. Amer. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 7, 561-573.
- 6) Edelman, R., & Pariyanonda, A. (1973): Human immunoglobulin M antibody in the serodia-

gnosis of Japanese encephalitis virus infections. Amer. J. Epidemiol., 98, 29-38.

- Grossman, R. A., Edelman, R., Chiewanich, P., Voodhikul, P., & Siriwan, C. (1973): Study of Japanese encephalitis virus in Chiangmai Valley, Thailand. II. Human clinical infections. Amer. J. Epidemiol., 98, 121-132.
- Igarashi, A., Bundo, K., Matsuo, S., Makino, Y., & Lin, W-J. (1981): Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on Japanese encephalitis virus. I. Basic condition of the assay on human immunoglobulin. Trop. Med., 23, 49-59.
- 9) Igarashi, A., Srisukrit, A., & Tuchinda, P. (1983a): Virological and epidemiological studies on encephalitis in Chiang Mai Area, Thailand, in the year of 1982. I. Introduction and study design. Trop. Med., 25, 129-138.
- Igarashi, A., Chiowanich, P., Leechanachai, P., & Supawadee, J. (1983b): Virological and epidemiological studies on encephalitis in Chiang Mai Area, Thailand, in the year of 1982. III. Virus isolation from hospitalized patients. Trop. Med., 25, 149-154.
- Sumarmo, Wulur, H., Jahja, E., Gubler, D. J., Sutokenggolo, T. S. Sulianti Saroso, J. (197 8): Encephalopathy associated with dengue infection. Lancet, 1. 449-450.
- 12) Takaku, K., Yamashita, T., Osanai, T., Yoshida, I., Kato, M., Goda, H., Takagi, M., Hirota, T., Amano, T., Fukai, K., Kunita, N., Inoue, K., Shoji, K., Igarashi, A., & Ito, T. (1968): Japanese encephalitis purified vaccine. Biken J., 11, 25-39.
- Uzuka, Y., Igarashi, A., Chiowanich, P., Sathapanakul, C., Guyer, J. J., & Supawadee, J. (1983): Virological and epidemiological studies on encephalitis in Chinag Mai Area, Thailand, in the year of 1982. II. Hospitalized patients. Trop. Med., 25, 139-148.
- 14) Voller, A., Bidwell, O., & Bartlett, A. (1976). Microplate enzyme immunoassay for the immunodiagnosis of viral infections. p506-512. In N. R. Rose and N. Friedman (ed.). Manual of Clinical Immunology. ASM. Washington, D. C.
- 15) World Health Organization (1983): Technical guides for diagnosis, treatment, surveillance, prevention and control of dengue haemorrhagic fever. Technical Advisory Committee on Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever for the South-east Asian and Western Pacific Regions.

1982年タイ国チェンマイ地区における脳炎のウィルス学的疫学的調査. N. 入院患者の血清学的 検査

藤田宣哉(神戸大学医学部微生物学教室)

五十嵐 章,分藤桂子(長崎大学熱帯医学研究所ウイルス学部門)

緒方隆幸(国立予防衛生研究所ウイルスリケッチア部)

Jiraporn SUPAWADEE, Supatra PEERAKOME, Pranee LEECHANACHAI, Kampol PANASAMPOL (タイ国チェンマイ大学医学部微生物学教室)

Charnchudhit CHANYASANHA, Kanai CHATIYANONDA (タイ国公衆衛生省医科学局ウイルス研究所)

40名の脳炎と54名のデング出血熱(DHF)を含む108名の患者の対血清と,15名の脳炎と25名の DHF を含む71名の患者の単一血清について,日本脳炎(JE)とデング抗原に対する血球凝集 抑制反応(HI)と JE 抗原に対する免疫酵素測定法(ELISA)を実施した。HIの結果,10名 の脳炎と3名の DHF は初感染の抗体反応を示したが,7名の脳炎と28名の DHF は二次感染 の反応を示した。 HI で JE 抗原にのみ抗体反応を示した脳炎は7例であり, デング抗原にの み抗体反応を示したものは脳炎で7例, DHF で10例存在した. JE 抗体に対する IgM-ELISA は JE ウイルスの新鮮感染を示す良い指標である事がわかり, この方法で27名の脳炎患者が陽 性と判定された. このうち22名は対血清の得られたもので,そのうち16名は JE 抗原のみに陽 性の HI 反応を示していなかった。残る5例は単一血清しか得られなかった患者である。 血清 学的検査の結果, 脳炎患者のうち少なくとも4名はデング感染によると推察された。

熱帯医学 第25巻 第3号, 155-164頁, 1983年9月

164