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#### Abstract

The fully developed laminar heat transfer to modified power-law fluids flowing between parallel plates with one moving plate was analyzed taking into account the viscous dissipation of the flowing fluid. Applying the shear stress described by the modified power-law model, the energy equation together with the fully developed velocity profile is solved numerically for the thermal boundary condition of constant heat flux at the fixed wall with the moving wall insulated. The effects of the flow index, relative velocity of the moving plate, dimensionless shear rate parameter and Brinkman number on Nusselt numbers at the plate walls were discussed.


## 1. Introduction

In the previous study ${ }^{(1)}$, fully developed laminar heat transfer to modified power-law fluids flowing between parallel plates with the moving wall was analyzed numerically for the thermal boundary condition of constant wall heat flux at the moving wall together with the fixed wall insulated. This case was referred to as Case A.

In this paper, numerical solutions for another thermal boundary condition have been reported. Applying the shear stress described by the modified power-law model and the fully developed velocity profile obtained from the previous study ${ }^{(1)}$, the energy equation including the viscous dissipation term is solved numerically for the thermal boundary condition of constant heat flux at the fixed wall with the moving wall insulated. This case is referred to as Case B. The effects of the relative velocity of the moving plate, flow index, dimensionless shear rate parameter and Brinkman number on Nusselt numbers at the plate walls are discussed.

## Nomenclature
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| $A$ | area normal to the flow direction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Brinkman number for Case B |
| $c_{\mathrm{p}}$ | specific heat at constant pressure |
| $D_{\mathrm{h}}$ | hydraulic diameter $\equiv 2 L$ |
| $k$ | thermal conductivity |
| $L$ | distance between the parallel plates |
| $m$ | consistency index |
| $n$ | flow index |
| $N u_{0}$ | Nusselt number at the fixed wall |
| $q_{0}$ | wall heat flux at the fixed wall |
| $T$ | temperature |
| $T_{\mathrm{B}}$ | bulk temperature |
| $u$ | axial velocity of the fluid |
| $u_{\mathrm{m}}$ | average velocity of the fluid |
| $u^{*}$ | dimensionless velocity $\equiv u / u_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| $U$ | axial velocity of the moving plate |
| $U^{*}$ | dimensionless relative velocity of the moving |
| $V$ | plate $\equiv U / u_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| $V_{\text {dimensionless parameter }}$ |  |
| $y$ | coordinate normal to the fixed plate |
| $y^{*}$ | dimensionless coordinate $\equiv y / D_{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| $z$ | axial coordinate |

Greek Symbols
$\beta$ dimensionless shear rate parameter
$\eta_{a} \quad$ apparent viscosity
$\eta_{a}^{*} \quad$ dimensionless apparent viscosity $\equiv \eta_{a} / \eta^{*}$
$\eta_{0} \quad$ viscosity at zero shear rate
$\eta^{*}$ reference viscosity
$\rho$ density
$\tau \quad$ shear stress
$\theta$ dimensionless temperature
$\theta_{\mathrm{B}} \quad$ dimensionless bulk temperature
$\theta_{0} \quad$ dimensionless temperature at the fixed wall

## 2. Analysis

The physical model for the analysis is shown in Fig.

1. The lower plate is axially moving at a constant
velocity, $U$. The assumptions used in the analysis are:
2. The flow is incompressible, steady-laminar, and fully developed, hydrodynamically and thermally.
3. The fluid is non-Newtonian and the shear stress may be described by the modified power-law model ${ }^{(2)}$, and physical properties are constant except viscosity.
4. The body forces and axial heat conduction are neglected.

## Heat transfer

The energy equation together with the assumptions above is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \frac{d^{2} T}{d y^{2}}+\tau\left(\frac{d u}{d y}\right)=\rho c_{\mathrm{p}} u \frac{d T_{\mathrm{B}}}{d z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity, $u$, and its gradient, $\frac{d u}{d y}$, have been obtained in the previous report ${ }^{(1)}$.
The thermal boundary conditions:
Case B (constant heat flux at the fixed plate with the moving plate insulated):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
-k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}=q_{0} \quad \text { at } \quad y=0  \tag{2}\\
k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad y=L
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\tau$ in Eq.(1) is the shear stress defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\eta_{a} \frac{d u}{d y} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the apparent viscosity defined by
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Fig. 1 Schematic of parallel plates with one moving plate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{a}}=\eta_{0}\left(1+\frac{m}{\eta_{0}}\left|\frac{d u}{d y}\right|^{n-1}\right) \quad \text { for } n>1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dimensionless apparent viscosity, $\eta_{a}^{*}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{a}^{*} \equiv \frac{\eta_{a}}{\eta^{*}}=\frac{1+\beta}{1+\beta\left|\frac{d u^{*}}{d y^{*}}\right|^{1-n}} \text { for } n<1 \\
\eta_{a}^{*} \equiv \frac{\eta_{a}}{\eta^{*}}=\frac{\beta+\left|\frac{d u^{*}}{d y^{*}}\right|^{n-1}}{\beta+1} & \text { for } n>1 \\
\eta^{*}=\frac{\eta_{0}}{1+\beta} & \text { for } n<1 \\
\eta^{*}=\eta_{0}\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right) & \text { for } n>1 \\
\beta=\frac{\eta_{0}}{m}\left(\frac{u_{\mathrm{m}}}{D_{\mathrm{h}}}\right)^{1-n} . & \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

Bulk temperature, $T_{\mathrm{B}}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv \frac{\iint_{A} u T d A}{\iint_{A} u d A} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d T_{\mathrm{B}} / d z$ in Eq.(1) is evaluated, from an energy balance, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T_{\mathrm{B}}}{d z}=\frac{q_{0}}{\rho c_{\mathrm{p}} u_{\mathrm{m}} L}\left[1+\frac{\int_{0}^{L} \tau\left(\frac{d u}{d y}\right) d y}{q_{0}}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average fluid velocity, $u_{\mathrm{m}}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{m}} \equiv \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} u d y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing dimensionless temperature, $\theta$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \equiv T /\left[q_{0} D_{\mathrm{h}} / k\right], \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the energy equation and the boundary conditions may be expressed in the dimensionless forms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d y^{* 2}}=2 u^{*}+B r_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot V \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case B: $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\frac{d \theta}{d y^{*}}=-1 & \text { at } & y^{*}=0 \\ \frac{d \theta}{d y^{*}}=0 & \text { at } & y^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{gather*}
B r_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv \frac{\eta^{*} u_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}{D_{\mathrm{h}} q_{0}}  \tag{17}\\
V=2 u^{*}\left\{\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \eta_{a}^{*}\left(\frac{d u^{*}}{d y^{*}}\right)^{2} d y^{*}\right\}-\eta_{a}^{*}\left(\frac{d u^{*}}{d y^{*}}\right)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Nusselt number, $N u_{0}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N u_{0} \equiv \frac{\left[q_{0} /\left(T_{0}-T_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right]}{k} \frac{D_{\mathrm{h}}}{\theta_{0}-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dimensionless bulk temperature, $\theta_{\mathrm{B}}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv T_{\mathrm{B}} /\left[q_{0} D_{\mathrm{h}} / k\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}=2 \int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{*}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta\right) d y^{*} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Results and discussion

In order to examine the reliability of the numerical solutions obtained in this study, the solutions computed for the energy equation together with the boundary conditions were compared with the analytical solutions determined at the extremes at $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Both solutions were in good agreement.

Temperature difference $\left(\theta-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ for Case B is shown in Figs.2, 3 and 4 for $\beta=10^{-5}, 1.0,10^{5}$ respectively. Parameter $\beta$ defined by Eq. (10) represents dimensionless average shear rate under the specified fluid flow condition. For pseudoplastic fluids ( $n<1$ ) the extreme at $\beta \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to a Newtonian fluid and that at $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ to a power law fluid. For dilatant fluids $(n>1)$ the extreme at $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to a Newtonian fluid and that at $\beta \rightarrow 0$ to a power law fluid. This correspondence at the extreme can be examined from Figs. 2 and 4. As expected for $\beta \rightarrow 10^{-5}$ the temperature difference is almost same between pseudoplastic ( $n=0.5$ ) and Newtonian ( $n=1.0$ ) fluids (see Fig 2). It is seen from Fig. 4 that when $\beta$ approaches infinity ( $10^{5}$ ) the temperature difference is almost same between dilatant ( $n=1.5$ ) and Newtonian ( $n=1.0$ ) fluids.

For $U^{*}=-1.0$ and $U^{*}=0.0,\left(\theta-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ increases near the walls with an increase in $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ and decreases in the middle region of the channel. This is attributed to the heat generated by viscous dissipation near the walls and large axial heat convection in the middle region. For the case of $U^{*}=1.0,\left(\theta-\theta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ increases with an increase in $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ near the fixed wall. This is also owing to the heat generated by viscous dissipation
near the fixed wall.
The numerical values of Nusselt number on Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluids are tabulated in Table 1. The results of this study were compared with the numerical values obtained by the analytical studies on Newtonian ${ }^{(3)}$ and power law ${ }^{(4)}$ Nusselt numbers, respectively. Both solutions were in good agreement.

Nusselt numbers for Case B, $N u_{0}$, are shown in Fig. 5. $N u_{0}$ decreases with an increase in $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ for $U^{*}=$ $-1.0, U^{*}=0.0$ and $U^{*}=1.0$. It is due to viscous dissipation near the fixed wall. It can be seen from Eq. (12) that the bulk temperature always increases when viscous dissipation effects increase. With a decrease in relative velocity, $U^{*}$, the difference between bulk temperature, $\theta_{\mathrm{B}}$, and the heated wall temperature, $\theta_{0}$ in Eq. (19) decreases and Nusselt number, $N u_{0}$, increases (see Fig.5). For the case of $U^{*}=-1.0$, it is seen that the relationship between $N u_{0}$ and $\beta$ is different for small values of Brinkman number ( $B r_{\mathrm{B}}=0 \sim$ 0.01 ) for both pseudoplastic ( $n<1$ ) and dilatant ( $n$ $>1)$ fluids. It may be explained by how $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ influences on $N u_{0}$. It is seen from Fig. 6 for $B r_{\mathrm{B}}=0$ we have higher values of $N u_{0}$ for fluids with higher $n$. In the case of $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ $=0.01$ for greater values of $n, N u_{0}$ tends to increase for pseudoplastic fluids but it decreases for dilatant fluids. For $U^{*}=0.0$ and 1.0 , Nusselt number, $N u_{0}$, decreases with an increase flow index, $n$ (see Fig. 6 and Table 1).

## 4. Conclusions

In this report the results on heat transfer for Case B only are discussed. The counterpart for Case A have been discussed in the previous report ${ }^{(1)}$.

In this study the energy equation together with the boundary conditions of constant heat flux at the fixed wall with the moving wall insulated was solved numerically taking into account viscous dissipation effect. Nusselt number, $N u_{0}$ behaviour corresponding to small values as well as higher values of Brinkman number, $B r_{\mathrm{B}}$ for different relative velocities, $U^{*}$ is made clear.

In the analyses of heat transfer of non-Newtonian fluids, the results calculated by adopting the simple power-law fluid model do not predict correctly the values of Nusselt number in the region of lower shear rate. In order to calculate the whole region of shear rate from zero to infinity, the modified power-law model as adopted in this study should be used.



| $n=0.5$ $B_{r}=0.00$ <br> $\beta=10^{-5}$ $B_{B}=0.01$ <br> $B_{B}=0.0$.  <br> $U^{*}=1.0$ $B_{B}=0.10$ |
| :---: |








Fig. 2 Dimensionless temperature difference for Case B $\left(\beta=10^{-5}\right)$ with constant heat flux at the fixed wall


Fig. 3 Dimensionless temperature difference for Case $\mathbf{B}(\beta=1)$


Fig. 4 Dimensionless temperature difference for Case B $\left(\beta=10^{5}\right)$
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Fig. 5 Nusselt numbers for Case B

Table 1 Nusselt number values for Case B

| $\overline{N u}{ }_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U^{*}$ | $B r_{B}$ | Values | Flow index, $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| -1 | 0.00 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 6.269 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 6.169 | 6.195 | 6.217 | 6.237 | 6.254 | 6.269 | 6.282 | 6.294 | 6.304 | 6.314 | 6.323 |
|  | 0.01 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 6.209 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 6.159 | 6.180 | 6.195 | 6.206 | 6.211 | 6.209 | 6.201 | 6.186 | 6.160 | 6.123 | 6.071 |
|  | 0.05 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 5.983 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 6.119 | 6.120 | 6.109 | 6.084 | 6.043 | 5.983 | 5.899 | 5.788 | 5.643 | 5.461 | 5.237 |
|  | 0.10 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 5.722 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 6.070 | 6.047 | 6.004 | 5.939 | 5.847 | 5.722 | 5.560 | 5.357 | 5.107 | 4.810 | 4.469 |
| 0 | 0.00 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 5.385 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 5.492 | 5.460 | 5.436 | 5.416 | 5.399 | 5.385 | 5.373 | 5.362 | 5.353 | 5.345 | 5.338 |
|  | 0.01 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 5.170 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 5.411 | 5.362 | 5.316 | 5.270 | 5.222 | 5.170 | 5.112 | 5.046 | 4.970 | 4.882 | 4.781 |
|  | 0.05 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 4.459 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 5.110 | 5.003 | 4.887 | 4.760 | 4.618 | 4.459 | 4.280 | 4.082 | 3.864 | 3.627 | 3.373 |
|  | 0.10 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 3.804 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 4.779 | 4.616 | 4.440 | 4.246 | 4.034 | 3.804 | 3.557 | 3.295 | 3.023 | 2.744 | 2.465 |
| 1 | 0.00 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 4.516 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 4.787 | 4.708 | 4.645 | 4.594 | 4.552 | 4.516 | 4.486 | 4.460 | 4.437 | 4.417 | 4.399 |
|  | 0.01 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 4.330 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 4.690 | 4.598 | 4.520 | 4.452 | 4.389 | 4.330 | 4.272 | 4.214 | 4.155 | 4.093 | 4.028 |
|  | 0.05 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 3.717 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 4.339 | 4.207 | 4.083 | 3.962 | 3.841 | 3.717 | 3.588 | 3.454 | 3.314 | 3.166 | 3.012 |
|  | 0.10 | Newtonian |  |  |  |  |  | 3.158 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Power law | 3.967 | 3.802 | 3.642 | 3.483 | 3.322 | 3.158 | 2.990 | 2.819 | 2.644 | 2.468 | 2.290 |



Fig. 6 Nusselt numbers vs. $B r_{B}$

