# Study on the Mechanism of Vapor Film Collapse in Film Boiling around a Vertical Finite-Length Cylinder 
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## Abstract

Saturated and subcooled film boiling heat transfer around a vertical finite-length cylinder has been investigated analytically to predict the local heat transfer coefficients at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. Correlations of heat transfer for the vertical finite length cylinder with top and bottom horizontal surface has been already analyzed by Momoki et al.(2007) and this correlations equations for heat transfer are in good agreement with the experimental data in prediction the average heat transfer rate of the cylinders in saturated and subcooled water. In the present study, experimental observation results on vapor film collapse start from the lower corner of the silver vertical finite-length cylinder is focused to discuss with the local heat transfer characteristic and we would like to applied this correlations to estimate the local and average heat transfer rate at the corner of the horizontal bottom and vertical lateral surfaces of the cylinder. However, these correlations on local heat transfer rate at the end of the bottom surface gives infinite value and its cannot apply in discussion of film collapse start. So, to examine the local heat transfer characteristic at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface, Shigechi et al.(1999) analysis for film boiling heat transfer on horizontal bottom surface was modified in order to get finite vapor film thickness at the end of the bottom surface to predict finite value of local heat transfer coefficient at this end. In this modification, the vapor film thickness at the end of the bottom surface can be predicted to estimate the local heat transfer rate and the prediction of the average heat transfer rate are in good agreement with the results of the previous method. Moreover, the local heat transfer coefficient at the end of the bottom surface can be predicted and discussed local heat transfer characteristic at the edge of the vertical cylinder.

The local heat transfer rate through the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface are described in terms of local Nusselt number with degree of superheat and by applying average heat transfer rate through on each surface of the cylinder, total heat transfer rate of the cylinder can be predicted and its match well with the experimental total heat transfer rate with $\pm 15 \%$.The results on local heat transfer coefficient shows the highest value at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface and it can be confirmed the experimental results of the vapor film collapse start at the corner of silver vertical cylinder on saturated film boiling.

In order to predict and investigate the local heat transfer performance on the horizontal bottom and vertical lateral surfaces in subcooled film boiling, we apply the average heat transfer enhancement factor by the liquid subcooling to the estimated local values by the methods for saturated film boiling on each surfaces. Local heat transfer rate at the lower
end of the vertical lateral surface are found higher than any other area of the cylinder surface in subcooled film boiling and it suggests the lowest temperature at that corner to be film collapse start. Furthermore, the temperature field inside the vertical cylinder is treated as the two dimensional unsteady heat conduction problem and the boundary condition at the cylinder surfaces are given as the local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. It was also found that the lowest temperature point is at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface and it agrees with the vapor film collapse start at the lower corner of the vertical silver cylinder on saturated and subcooled film boiling.

## List of Symbol

| A | : Total heating surface of the cylinder $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A_{r_{\text {in }}}$ | : Inside wall area of the element [ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ] |
| $A_{\text {rout }}$ | : Outside wall area of the element $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ |
| $A_{z}$ | : Top or bottom surface area of the element [ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ] |
| Bi | : Biot number [-] |
| c | : Specific heat of the cylinder $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ |
| ${ }_{\text {ctil2, }, \ldots}$ | : Coefficient for slip and non-slip condition [-] |
| $c_{p}$ | : Specific heat of water at constant pressure [ $\mathrm{J} /(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{K})$ ] |
| $-d T / d \tau$ | : Cooling rate [ $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{s}$ ] |
| D | : Diameter of the cylinder [m] |
| $F_{\mathrm{A}_{1}}$ | : Dimensionless parameter |
| $F_{\mathrm{A}_{2}}$ | : Dimensionless parameter |
| $g$ | : Gravity accelaration $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$ |
| Gr | : Grashof number [-] |
| $h$ | : Local heat transfer coefficient [W/( $\left.\left.\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~K}\right)\right]$ |
| H | : Height of cylinder [m] |
| $J$ | : Dimensionless parameter [-] |
| $J_{0}$ | : Non-dimensionless parameter for saturated liquid [-] |
| $J_{\text {A }}$ | : Dimensionless parameter |
| $J_{\mathrm{A}_{0}}$ | : Dimensionless parameter for saturated liquid $J_{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| $J_{B}$ | : Dimensionless parameter |
| $J_{B_{0}}$ | : Dimensionless parameter for saturated liquid for vertical surface $J_{\mathrm{B}}$ |
| $k$ | : Thermal conductivity [W/(m•K)] |
| $\ell$ | : Latent heat [ $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kg}]$ |
| $L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$ | : Vertical length for smooth vapor-liquid interface [m] |
| $L_{B_{2}}$ | : Vertical length for wavy vapor-liquid interface [m] |
| $\dot{m}$ | : Mass flowrate per unit circumference $[\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}]$ |
| $\dot{M}$ | : Mass flowrate [ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
| Nu | : Nusselt number [-] |
| Pr | : Prandtl number [-] |
| $q$ | : Average wall heat flux $\left[\mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ |
| $Q$ | : Heat transfer rate [W] |
| $r$ | : Radial position [-] |
| $R$ | : Radius of cylinder [m] |


| $r^{*}$ | : r-R [-] |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S$ | : Total heat transfer area of the cylinder [ $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ] |
| Sc | : Dimensionless degree of liquid subcooling [-] |
| Sp | : Dimensionless degree of superheating [-] |
| $S p^{*}$ | : Modified dimensionless degree of superheating [-] |
| $T$ | : Temperature [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| $T_{\text {sat }}$ | : Saturated temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| $T_{\text {w }}$ | : Wall temperature [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| $T_{\infty}$ | : Bulk temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| $\Delta T_{\text {min }}$ | : Degree of superheating at lower limit of film boiling |
| $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}$ | : Degree of superheating ( $=T-T_{\text {sat }}$ ) $\quad[\mathrm{K}]$ |
| $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ | : Degree of subcooling ( $=T_{\text {sat }}-T_{\infty}$ ) [K] |
| $u$ | : Fluid velocity [m/s] |
| V | : Volume of the cylinder $\left[\mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ |
| $w$ | : Density ratio [-] |
| $x$ | : Vertical position along the vertical surface [mm] |
| $y$ | : Position normal to the bottom surface [mm] |
| $\alpha$ | : Volumetric thermal expansion coefficient [1/K] |
| $\beta$ | : Dimensionless parameter [-] |
| $\delta$ | : Vapor film thickness [m] |
| $\Delta r$ | : Radial length of the element [m] |
| $\Delta z$ | : Width of the element [m] |
| $\lambda$ | : Vapor-film unit length [m] |
| $\lambda_{0}$ | : Capillary length [m] |
| $\lambda_{\text {cr }}$ | : Critical wave length [m] |
| $\mu$ | : Dynamic viscosity [Pa•s] |
| $\nu$ | : Kinematic viscosity [ $\left.\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$ |
| $\rho$ | : Density [kg/m ${ }^{3}$ ] |
| $\sigma$ | : Surface tension [ $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ ] |
| $\tau$ | : Times [sec] |
| $\Phi$ | : Dimensionless parameter [-] |

## Superscripts

\author{

- : Average value <br> $\sim$ : Dimensionless
}


## Subscripts

A : Downward facing bottom surface
B : Vertical lateral surface
$B_{1}$ : Vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface
$B_{2} \quad$ : Vertical lateral surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface
C : Upward facing horizontal surface
col : Vapor film collapse point
L : Liquid at film temperature
LS : Saturated water
V : Vapor at film temperature
VS : Saturated steam
min : Lower limit of film boiling
sat : Saturated
sub : Subcooled
$\infty \quad$ : Bulk liquid

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Film Boiling Heat Transfer

Boiling heat transfer is defined as a mode of heat transfer that occurs with a change in phase from liquid to vapor. Film boiling is the one of the several boiling regimes in pool boiling as well as in flow boiling in which the heating surface is covered by a stable vapor film formed by uniformed surface temperature and the heat transfer rate reaches a minimum. Figure 1.1 shows pool boiling regimes of A-B, natural convection; B-C, nucleate boiling; C-D, partial film boiling; D-E, stable film boiling. Film boiling regime is generally


Fig. 1.1: Boiling curve
characterized during the heating or cooling process when the object is completely covered with the vapor film which leads to low heat transfer rate than others regimes. Film boiling occurs in cooling process of high temperature body such as metal quenching in manufacturing process of material, emergency core cooling of nuclear reactor and during normal operation in boiler tubes, and so on. However, the mechanism of transient film boiling heat transfer are complicated due to thermal and hydrodynamic behaviors of the
vapor and configuration of the heating surface during film boiling regime. Ther are so many research on film boiling heat transfer to investigate the minimum heat flux condition, lower limit of film boiling, film boiling heat transfer, film collapse phenomena.

Correlation of film boiling heat transfer from the single surface such as a vertical surface, a horizontal cylinder, a sphere and upward facing horizontal surface have been carried out and a lot of practical correlation of film boiling heat transfer coefficient have been proposed for the saturated and subcooled film boiling. There exist discrepancy in estimation the characteristics of the film boiling such as heat transfer coefficient, heat flux and lower limit of film boiling till now.

In our laboratory, film boiling heat transfer is studied by doing quenching experiment with the vertical cylinders with different materials and different configuration. The analysis concern with the lower limit of film boiling, average and local heat transfer performance of the cylinder, collapse mechanism of the film boiling.

Previous research on film boiling heat transfer concerned with the cooling rate of the three dimensional bodies and focus on the average heat transfer rate and the wall superheat at the lower limit of film boiling of a vertical finite-length cylinders were determined experimentally by quenching method for saturated and subcooled water at atmospheric pressure. Later, vapor film collapse mechanism or film collapse mode or stability of vapor film are area of interest in film boiling heat transfer for the safety in the cooling process of high temperature bodies. There are many experimental and theoretical studies on the film collapse mechanism or vapor film collapse behaviour on the high temperature surfaces or bodies.

### 1.2 Background of the Research

Since 1989, film boiling heat transfer from a horizontal circular plate facing downward have been studied by Shigechi et al. in our laboratory [28]. The two-dimensional, steadystate, laminar boiling heat transfer from a horizontal circular plate facing downward to a sagnant saturated liquid is studied theoretically based on that the flow of vapor beneath the circular plate is induced by the hydrostatic pressure gradient due to the change in the vapor film thickness. At 1998, a finite-size horizontal plate facing downward to a saturated liquid was analyzed with the same assuming and the resulting boundary-layer equations for the vapor flow were solved by an integral method, by taking into account the effect of the plate edge [29]. For the heat transfer on the vertical lateral surface, Bromley's solution was modified to accommodate the continuity of vapor mass flowrate around the lower corner of the vertical cylinder and the effect of horizontal bottom surface on film boiling heat transfer from a vertical cylinder is studied by Shigechi et al. [30]. In actual application, the subcooled fluid is used in cooling process, subcooled film boiling heat transfer has been studied by Momoki et al.(1995) on efect of subcooling on the film boiling heat transfer from a finite-size horizontal plate facing downward[11]. Film boiling heat transfer around a three-dimensional bodies have been studied by Shigechi et al. in effect of horizontal bottom surface on film boiling heat transfer from a vertical finite-length cylinder. For the vertical finite-length cylinders with different aspect ratio $(L / D)$, film boilng heat transfer have been correlated and predicted the heat transfer rate of the cylinder in terms of boiling curve, cooling curve, cooling rate and compared with the experiment. Aspect ratio (Length to Diameter) on lower limit of film boiling for saturated water was discussed for the vertical finite-length cylinder[11].

Study of film boiling around a three-dimensional body has been analyzed by Shigechi et al.(1999) based on the Bromley's solution for a vertical surface to accommodate the continuity of vapor mass flow rate around the lower corner of the vertical cylinder. To predict the cooling rate of the vertical cylinder in the film boiling region, Momoki et al.(2003) established the correlation for the heat transfer from the surfaces of the cylinder. In this correlations, average heat transfer rate from the bottom and lower vertical surface is correlated by applying Shigechi analysis and for the vertical surface with wavy interface, heat transfer correlation for natural-convection film boiling with a wavy interface focused by Nishio and Ohtake (1992) was applied. An analytical expression for the film boiling heat transfer coefficient from a horizontal surface was derived by the Berenson (1961) and which is applied in correlation of heat transfer on the upper surface of the vertical cylinder. In 2004, Yamada et al. have reported a correlation of convective heat transfer for the saturated film boiling around a finite-length vertical silver cylinder, which can
correlate the experimental data within $\pm 20 \%$ for 18 kinds of silver cylinder.
Above mention research on pool film boiling are on saturated liquid and in actual application, to enhance cooling rate of the heating object, the liquid which is used in cooling process are subcooled condition, i.e., its temperature is lower than saturated temperature of liquid. Therefore, subcooled film boiling plays practical significance in quenching techniques. A theoretical study of subcooled film boiling on horizontal cylinders were analyzed by Nishikawa and Ito (1975). Hamill and Baumeister (1967), theoretically analyzed effect of subcooling and radiation on film boiling heat transfer from a horizontal plate. Most experimental studies on film boiling heat transfer focus on the effect of subcooling for the degree of superheat with a variety of fluid and proposed semi-empirical correlation to predict film boiling heat transfer rate. Further, Momoki et al.(2007) proposed the correlations of heat transfer for saturated and subcooled film boiling and these correlation equations for heat transfer and lower limit of film boiling are in good agreement with the experimental data.

Furthermore, experimental study on effect of the material thermophysical properties upon quench point in transient film boiling around a vertical cylinder have been studied with the five kinds of metal cylinder, silver, aluminum, carbonsteel (S35C and S45C), and stainless steel. This one is the initial investigation of the film collapse mechanism of the vertical cylinder, its state that the start times of the vapor film collapse based on the visual observation are about some seconds behind the time at the minimun point of the cooling rate and the collapse of the vapor film occurs at the lower corner of the silver and aluminum cylinders of high thermal conducitvity and at the upper corner of low thermal conducitivy materials such as carbon and stainless steels on saturated film boiling [10].

Most of the previous research on film boiling heat transfer of our laboratory target upon the cooling rate of the three-dimensional bodies and the lower limit of film boiling of the different materials and configuration of the vertical finite-length cylinders are experimentally determined to focus on minimum heat flux.

Later, more investigation on vapor film collapse is done with the higher speed video camera and detail examination on cooling rate near the lower limit of film boiling and observation of numbers and position of solid liquid contacts were reported as the confirmation of film collapse start point [6], [16].

### 1.3 Aims and Objectives

The aims of the research is to study the vapor film collapse phenomena and the local heat transfer performance during film boiling.

The objectives of the research are
(1) to investigate the film collapse behaviours around the vertical finite-length cylinder in saturated and subcooled film boiling.
(2) to predict heat transfer rate near the region of the vapor film collapse start.
(3) to predict the local heat transfer rate near the lower limit of film boiling in saturated and subcooled film boiling.
(4) to predict the surface temperature distribution of the vertical finite-length cylinder during film boiling.
(5) to discuss the local surface temperature different with the location of vapor film collapse start.

## Chapter 2

## Correlations of Local Heat Transfer Coefficient

### 2.1 Film Boiling Models

The previous authors on film boiling heat transfer have been already analyzed the film boiling around a three-dimensional body with horizontal surface such as a vertically placed cylinders. Based on the laminar film boiling heat transfer performance from a downwardfacing horizontal surface, the effect of the vapor-mass flow on the film boiling heat transfer of the vertical lateral surface, the film boiling model is proposed for a vertical finite-length cylinder of diameter D and height H. The physical model for film boiling heat transfer for saturated and subcooled film boiling are shown in Fig. 2.1 (a) and (b) for the correlation of heat transfer coefficient on each regimes of the surfaces. Although vertical cylinder has three heat transfer surfaces such as downward facing horizontal surface (A), vertical surface (B) and upward facing horizontal surface (C), in consideration heat transfer regimes, vertical lateral surface is divided into two regimes as vertical lateral surface with smooth and wavy vapor liquid interface based on observation of vapor-liquid interface in experiment. From the lower end of the vertical surface to the length $\left(L_{\mathrm{B} 1}\right)$, the vapor-liquid

(a) Saturated film boiling

(b) Subcooled film boiling

Fig. 2.1: Physical model for film boiling
interface is smooth and to be considered as laminar $\left(B_{1}\right)$ and beyond this regime, the vapor-liquid interface is wavy and to be considered as turbulent $\left(B_{2}\right)$. In subcooled film boiling, the length of the smooth vapor-liquid interface increased as increased in degree of liquid subcooling. The value of $L_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ is calculated by the empirical equation based on the critical wave length [11]. Individual correlation which are applied to predict heat transfer rate on each regimes of the vertical cylinder are described in Fig. 2.1 (a) for saturated film boiling and Fig. 2.1 (b) for subcooled film boiling.

### 2.2 Saturated Film Boiling

Saturated film boiling heat transfer around a vertical-finite-length cylinder has been investigated analytically to predict the local heat transfer coefficients on horizontal bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor liquid interface. Correltions of heat transfer for the vertical cylinder with top and bottom horizontal surface has been already analyzed by Momoki et al. (2007) and this correlations equations for heat transfer are in good agreement with the experimental data for the cylinders in saturated water. In present study, this correlations are applied to estimate the local and average heat transfer rate through each surfaces of the cylinder. To predict the local heat transfer coefficient at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface, Shigechi et al. (1999) analysis for film boiling heat transfer on horizontal bottom surface was modified in order to get finite vapor film thickness at the end of the bottom surface to predict finite value of local heat transfer coefficient at this end.

### 2.2.1 Prediction of heat transfer coefficient on horizontal bottom surface

The two-dimensional, steady-state, laminar film boiling heat transfer from the downwardfacing horizontal plate of a finite size was already analyzed by Shigechi et al.(1989) by assuming that the flow of vapor beneath the heated plate of finite size was driven by a hydrostatic pressure gradient due to change in the thickness of vapor film. The resulting boundary-layer equations for the vapor flow were solved by an integral method, taking into account the effect of the plate edge and contribution of the radiation heat transfer. In 1999, Shigechi et al. examined the effect of the heat transfer rate on the vertical laterical surface of the three-dimensional body with horizontal bottom surface such as a vertically placed cylinder due to film boiling under the bottom surface. As the vapor produced beneath the horizontal bottom surface and results in thickening the vapor film that coats the vertical lateral surface of the body. In this work, for the heat transfer on the vertical lateral surface, Bromley's solution was modified to accomodate the continuity of vapor
mass flowrate around the corner of the vertical cylinder and the effect of vapor mass flow generated under the downward-facing horizontal surface is to decrease the film boiling heat transfer rate that would have been produced by the vertical lateral surface in the absence of the downward-facing horizontal surface [30].

However, in solving the boundary layer equations for the vapor at the bottom surface by an integral method, the resulting second order boundary value problem was solved by analytically by giving the boundary condition at $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R}$ (which is at the end of the bottom surface, the radius $R$ ), the gradient of the vapor film thickness is $-\infty$. In this analytical solution, the amount of heat transfer rate near the end of the bottom surface become infinity as the film thickness approach to zero at this point and the local value of heat transfer coefficient at that corner gives infinity value. Moreover, experimental study on film boiling heat transfer shows that the film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder and the lower corner of the cylinder is the area of interest as the high heat transfer region. However, infinity value of heat transfer coefficient cannot be applied in analysing the heat transfer performance of the vertical cylinder. In order to eliminate such inconvenience to apply in prediction of local heat transfer coefficient, we modified the position of the boundary condition to be the value of local heat transfer coefficient at lower corner of the vertical cylinder finite. The following section described about the modification on the position of the boundary condition on horizontal bottom surface of the vertical finite-length cylinder.

### 2.2.2 Modification on the position of the boundary condition on horizontal bottom surface to predict local heat transfer coefficient at the corner

In order to predict local heat transfer coefficient at the horizontal bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor liquid interface, we modified the position of the boundary condition on orginal physical model and coordinate system for downward facing horizontal surface, as shown in Fig. 2.2. The following assuming are considered as


Fig. 2.2: Physical model and coordinate system for downward facing horizontal surface
previously as, two-dimensional, steady state, film boiling heat transfer from a downward facing horizontal surface with a width of D at a uniform temperature $T_{w}$ to a stagnant saturated liquid at temperature $T_{s}$, the surface is covered with a stable vapor film having a maximum thickness at the center. The common assumings are also adopted as

1. The vapor film beneath the surface is treated as a laminar boundary-layer with a smooth vapor-liquid interface.
2. The effect of surface tension can be neglected and the saturation temperature of the liquid is constant.
3. Physical properties are constant.
4. Radiation heat transfer is neglectd.

So in this prediction, it was assumed that the bottom surface of the cylinder is extended to some amount of heated surface that is equal to the film thickness at the lower end of the vertical surface and the boundary condition is changed to this virtual end as the gradient of the film thickness is minus infinity at the radius $r_{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{D} / 2+\delta_{B, 0}$. The physical model and coordinate system (r,y) are shown in Fig. 2.2 to clarify the modification.

For the horizontal bottom surface, the conservation of momentum and energy equations in $y$-direction for the vapor are obtained as by neglecting the inertia and convective terms,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g \frac{d \delta_{A}}{d r}+\mu_{\mathrm{v}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} & =0  \tag{2.1}\\
\lambda_{V} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}} & =0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions are given at the cylinder wall, At $\mathrm{y}=0$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{\mathrm{W}}: u=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and at the vapor-liquid interface, $\mathrm{y}=\delta_{A}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{\mathrm{sat}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity profile is considered as the non-slip condition at the vapor-liquid interface corresponding to Case (A-ns) and the slip condition at the vapor-liquid interface corresponding to Case (A-s). For the Case (A-ns), $u=0$ and for the Case (A-s), $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0$. There is one more boundary condition at the vapor-liquid interface as the rate of heat conduction through the vapor film to the interface is balanced to the rate of latent heat of vaporization and it can be expressed as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left.\lambda_{V} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right|_{\delta_{A}}=\ell \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left[\int_{0}^{\delta_{A}} r \rho_{\mathrm{v}} u d y\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the momentum equation, Eq.(2.1), together with the velocity boundary conditions, the equation for the velocity profile can be obtained as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g}{\mu_{\mathrm{v}}} \delta_{A}{ }^{2} \frac{d \delta_{A}}{d r}\right]\left[c_{\mathrm{A} 1}\left(\frac{y}{\delta_{A}}\right)-\left(\frac{y}{\delta_{A}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numerical values of coefficient $c_{A 1}$ depends upon the boundary condition at the vapor liquid interface and for Case (A-ns), the value $c_{A 1}$ is 1 and for Case (A-s), the value of $c_{A 1}$ is 2 . Also, solving energy equation of Eq.(2.2), together with temperature boundry condition, the equation for temperature profile can be obtained as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{\mathrm{w}}-\Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}}\left(y / \delta_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta T_{\text {sat }} \equiv T_{\mathrm{w}}-T_{\text {sat }}$. By applying the velocity and temperature profile equation of Eq.(2.6), and Eq.(2.7), to heat balance equation at the vapor-liquid interface, Eq.(2.5), differential equations for the vapor film thickness $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ is obtained as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left[r \delta_{\mathrm{A}}^{3} \frac{d \delta_{A}}{d r}\right]=-c_{A 2}\left[\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{v}}}{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g} \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}}}{\ell}\right] \frac{1}{\delta_{A}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducting the following dimensionless variables; $\tilde{r} \equiv r / D, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}} \equiv\left(\delta_{A} / D\right)\left[\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{Sp}\right]^{1 / 5}$ and dimensional parameters $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and Sp . Here $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Grashof number which is equalvalent to the $\left(g D^{3} / \nu_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}\right)\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{l}} / \rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right)-1\right]$ and Sp is the dimensionless degree of superheating which is equalvalent to $c_{\mathrm{pv}} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}} / P r_{\mathrm{v}} \ell$. The differential equation of the vapor film thickness is transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \frac{d}{d \tilde{r}}\left[\tilde{r} \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3} \frac{d \tilde{\delta}_{A}}{d \tilde{r}}\right]=-c_{A 2}\left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{A}}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the function $\phi \equiv \frac{d \tilde{\delta}_{A}}{d \tilde{r}}$ in Eq.(2.9), and the form of the differential equations is transformed to following ordinary-diferential equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \phi}{d \tilde{r}}=-\left[\left(\frac{3}{\tilde{\delta}_{A}}\right) \phi^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}}\right) \phi+\left(\frac{c_{A 2}}{\tilde{\delta}_{A}^{4}}\right)\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of numerical coefficient, $c_{\mathrm{A} 2}$ used in Eq.(2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) corresponding to the slip and non-slip condition at the vapor liquid interface at surface (A) are described as 12 for Case (A-ns) and 3 for Case (A-s) respectively.

Applying the Runge-Kutta method to the above Eq.(2.10), with the assumption of $\tilde{\delta}_{A}$ at $\tilde{r}=0$, that is $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}$ and the profile of vapor film thickness, $\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r}$ and the value of $\tilde{r}_{E}=\mathrm{D} / 2+\delta_{B, 0}$ can be determined. The vapor film thickness at the lower end of the vertical surface, $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ is calculated by the following method, which is based upon the continuity of the mass of the vapor at the corner between the vertical and downward facing surface. Applying the continuity condition of the vapor mass flow rate around the
corner of the cylinder i.e at $\tilde{r}=1 / 2$ and at the lower end of the vertical surface, $\tilde{x}_{\mathrm{B}}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{M}_{A}\right|_{\tilde{r}=1 / 2}=\left.\dot{M}_{B}\right|_{\tilde{x}_{B}=0}=\int_{0}^{\delta_{B}} \rho_{\mathrm{v}} u 2 \pi\left(R+r^{*}\right) d r^{*} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that compare to the radius of the cylinder, R , the value of $r^{*}$ is much smaller, (i.e $\mathrm{R} \ggg r^{*}$ ), and ( $\mathrm{R}+r^{*}$ ) also approach to R and we can transform the vapor mass flow rate at the lower corner to be $\left.\int_{0}^{\delta_{B}} \rho_{\mathrm{v}} u d r^{*}\right|_{\tilde{x}_{B}=0} \times 2 \pi R$. The amount of generated vapor passed through the circumference of the cylinder bottom with vapor velocity, u is defined as local mass flowrate of vapor, $\dot{M}_{A}[\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}]$ and it can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{M}_{A}\right|_{\tilde{r}_{A}=1 / 2}=2 \pi\left(\rho_{\mathrm{v}} \nu_{\mathrm{v}} D\right)\left[G r_{A} S p^{4}\right]^{1 / 5} \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting the effect of curvature of a cylinder, the vertical lateral surface of the cylinder is treated as a plane surface and by applying momentum and energy balance upon the vertical surface and specified boundary condition at the vapor liquid interface as in the analysis of the bottom surface, the velocity profile on vertical surface can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g}{\mu_{\mathrm{v}}} \delta_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right]\left[c_{B 1}\left(\frac{r^{*}}{\delta_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)-\left(\frac{r^{*}}{\delta_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of the Eq.(2.13), $c_{B 1}$ depends upon the boundary condition of slip (B-s) and non-slip (B-ns) at the vapor-liquid interface of the vertical surface and the values are 1 and 2 for Case (B-ns) and Case (B-s) respectively. By substitution equation of velocity profile at the vertical surface, Eq.(2.13), into Eq.(2.11), in their respective cases, the value of $\delta_{B}$ can be determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{m}_{B} \equiv \frac{1}{c_{B 2}}\left[\frac{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g}{\nu_{\mathrm{v}}}\right] \delta_{B}^{3}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient $c_{B 2}=12$ for case (B-ns) and $c_{B 2}=3$ for case (B-s). The numerical result of $\left(\delta_{B}\right)$ calculated from the Eq.(2.14), is the film thickness at the bottom of the vertical surface and it can be designated as $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$. The above procedure is looped from the assumption of the $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}$ to satisfy the specified condition of Eq.(2.10), to the prediction of $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}$ in Eq.(2.14), till the value of the $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ addition to the radius of the cylinder is equivalent to the radius $r_{E}$, where the gradient of the vapor-film thickness approach to $-\infty$. Table 2.1 shows example of the film thickness of the $\delta_{B, 0}$ at degree of superheat $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300$ K predicted by above method for four cases. These numerical results are obtained by repeated assuming of the $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}$ at $\tilde{r}=0$ to satisfy the Eq. (2.10), and the resultant value of $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ was rechecked by substitution in the predetermined value of $\tilde{r}_{E}$. When the value of $r_{E}$ is equal to the radius of the cylinder plus the value of $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ predicted by the continuity condition of the vapor mass flow rate around the corner , the film thickness at the bottom

Table. 2.1: Example of predicted film thickness of water at the bottom and vertical surface of the cylinder at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}$

| Case | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}$ | $\tilde{r}_{E}$ | $\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ans-Bns | 1.27315 | 0.50524 | 0.12424 | 0.167697 |
| Ans-Bs | 1.27120 | 0.50331 | 0.12549 | 0.105992 |
| As-Bns | 0.96524 | 0.50573 | 0.16357 | 0.183795 |
| As-Bs | 0.96361 | 0.50360 | 0.16531 | 0.116193 |

of the vertical surface ( $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ ) at respective degree of superheat is obtained. Table 5.1 in appendix shows the results of the vapor film thickness at the bottom and vertical lateral surfaces of the cylinder $(\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm})$ at degree of superheat $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=200$ to 500 K during film boiling. Appendix Table 5.2 also described the vapor film thickness at the bottom and vertical lateral surface of the different diameter of the cylinder for the case Ans-Bns at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}$.

Profile of the vapor film thickness upon the vertical surface, can be calculated by the following method. For the vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, the assumption are the same as the bottom surface and the boundary equation were solved by integral method. And also the differential equation of the film thickness on vertical lateral surface can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \delta_{\mathrm{B}}^{4}}{d x_{\mathrm{B}}}=c_{B 3}\left[\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{v}}}{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{v}}\right) g} \frac{k_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta T_{\text {sat }}}{\ell}\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduction the following dimensionless variables $\tilde{x}_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv x_{B} / L, \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv\left(\delta_{B} / L\right)\left[G r_{\mathrm{B}} / S p\right]^{1 / 4}$ and parameters for the vertical surface, $G r_{B}$, the differential equation for the dimensionless film thickness ( $\tilde{\delta}_{B}$ ) can be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}}^{4}}{d \tilde{x}_{B}}=c_{B 3} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this Eq.(2.15), and (2.16), $c_{B 3}=16$ for Case (B-ns) and 4 for Case (B-s). Solving Eq. (2.16), together with the initial condition of $\tilde{\delta}_{B}=\tilde{\delta}_{B, 0}$ at $\tilde{x}_{B}=0$, the exact solution for $\tilde{\delta}_{B}$ is obtained with the coefficient $c_{B 4}=2$ for Case (B-ns) and $\sqrt{2}$ for Case (B-s) as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\delta}_{B}=c_{B 4}\left[\tilde{x}_{B}+\left(\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}, 0} / c_{B 4}\right)^{4}\right]^{1 / 4} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consideration the represented length for the L is equal to $L_{B 1}$ for the vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. The value of $L_{B 1}$ is calculated by the following empirical equation based on the critical wave length, $\lambda_{c r}$ and the relation is $L_{B 1}=S \lambda_{c r}=$ $S 2 \pi \lambda_{0}$. In which $\lambda_{0}$ is the capillary length defined by $\lambda_{0} \equiv\left[\sigma /\left(g\left(\rho_{\text {Lsat }}-\rho_{\text {vsat }}\right)\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$. The
value of empirical parameter $S$ was obtained as 0.5 using observation results for saturated film boiling around a vertical cylinder of different aspect ratio with water at atmospheric pressure [14].

Finally, heat transfer coefficient and Nusselt number for the bottom surface (A) can be calculated by the following equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{A} \equiv k_{\mathrm{v}} \frac{1}{\Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}}}\left[-\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right|_{0}\right]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{v}}}{\delta_{A}}  \tag{2.18}\\
N u_{A} \equiv \frac{h_{A} \cdot D}{k_{\mathrm{v}}}=\left(1 / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right)\left[G r_{A} / S p\right]^{1 / 5}  \tag{2.19}\\
\overline{N u}_{A} \equiv \frac{\bar{h}_{A} \cdot D}{k_{\mathrm{v}}}=\left[8 \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r}\right]\left[G r_{A} / S p\right]^{1 / 5} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Nusselt number ( $N u_{B}$ and $\overline{N u}_{B}$ ) and heat transfer coefficient ( $h_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ and $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ ) upon vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface $\left(B_{1}\right)$ can be calculated by the following equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{\mathrm{B} 1} \equiv k_{\mathrm{v}} \frac{1}{\Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}}}\left[-\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right|_{0}\right]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{v}}}{\delta_{B}}  \tag{2.21}\\
N u_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\left(1 / \tilde{\delta}_{B}\right)\left[G r_{\mathrm{B} 1} / S p\right]^{1 / 4}  \tag{2.22}\\
\overline{N u}_{\mathrm{B} 1} \equiv \frac{\bar{h}_{B 1} \cdot L_{\mathrm{B} 1}}{k_{\mathrm{v}}}=\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(1 / \tilde{\delta}_{B}\right) d \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{B}}\right]\left[G r_{\mathrm{B} 1} / S p\right]^{1 / 4} \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.2.3 Vertical lateral surface and upward facing horizontal surface

As mention in section 2, the vapor-liquid interface at the upper vertical surface ( $B_{2}$ ) and upward-facing horizontal surface $(\mathrm{C})$ is wavy and considered as turbulent. It was assumed that, in this surfaces $\left(B_{2}\right)$ and $(C)$, local heat transfer rate is changed slightly with the position compare to the other surfaces and the local heat transfer coefficient is assumed as uniform. Heat transfer rate on the upper vertical surface is already analyzed by NishioOhatake (1992) and upward-facing horizontal surface is analyzed by Berenson(1961)'s correlation. In this study, heat transfer rate on the upper vertical surface and upwardfacing horizontal surface are estimated by the prediction method which is proposed in that analysis (Momoki et al. 2007). The heat transfer coefficient upon the vertical surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface, $\left(B_{2}\right)$ can be estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}=0.740\left(k_{\mathrm{V}} / \lambda\right)\left(G r_{\mathrm{B}_{2}} / S p^{*}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2.3: Vapor film thickness at the end of bottom surface (water at atmospheric pressure, $\left.\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$
where Grashof number for this surface is considered as $G r_{\mathrm{B} 2} \equiv\left(g \lambda^{3} / \nu_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}\right)\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}} / \rho_{\mathrm{V}}\right)-1\right]$, the modified dimensionless degree of superheating, $S p^{*} \equiv c_{p_{\mathrm{V}}} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}} /\left[\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\ell+0.5 c_{P_{\mathrm{V}}} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sat}}\right)\right]$ and the vapor-film unit-length as $\lambda=16.2\left[1 /\left(S p^{*^{3}} G r_{\mathrm{B}_{\lambda_{0}}}\right)\right]^{1 / 11} \lambda_{0}$.
for the upwarding-facing horizontal surface (C),

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{C}}=0.425\left(k_{\mathrm{V}} / \lambda_{0}\right)\left(G r_{\mathrm{C}} / S p\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G r_{\mathrm{C}} \equiv\left(g \lambda_{0}^{3} / \nu_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}\right)\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}} / \rho_{\mathrm{V}}\right)-1\right]$ is coincides with the calculation method of the $G r_{\mathrm{B}_{\lambda_{0}}}$ used in to calculate the vapor-film unit-length.

### 2.2.4 Modification results

To predict the heat transfer coeficient upon all surfaces of the vertical-finite-length cylinder, first we modified the prediction method of the Shigechi et al. to predict the local heat transfer coefficient at the end of the bottom surface. In this modification, the value of average heat transfer rate on each surface of the cylinder is the same as predicted by Shigechi et al.'s one for all Cases and local heat transfer rate by the present method is only about $1 \%$ smaller than predicted by the Shigechi et al.'s method except near the end of bottom surface. Furthermore, during film boiling, the calculated vapor film thickness decreased and heat transfer coefficient increased as the degree of superheat decreased as usual. Here, local heat transfer coefficient is predicted for the degree of superheat $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=$ $200,300,400$ and 500 K but only described the results on $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}$ with possible two cases at Fig. 2.3. For the comparison of the previous and present modification, Fig. 2.4 shows the vapor film thickness at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface for two methods at degree of superheat, $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=200$ to 500 K . The highest local heat transfer rate is at the corner of the bottom and vertical surface where there is the film collapse start


Fig. 2.4: Vapor film thickness at the end of bottom surface and vertical lateral surface
by the experimental observation.
As mention above, the author reported that the combination of the case, i.e (AnsBns) of the estimated average heat transfer coefficient for each regions agreed with the experimental results on cooling rate. In this comparison, the Shigechi et al. method are used for the region A and $B_{1}$. Here, we discussed the difference between the present method and the Shigechi et al. method, at first.

Figure 2.3 shows vapor film thickness distribution on downward-facing bottom surface predicted by two types of prediction methods. Vapor film thickness predicted by the boundary condition at the end of the bottom surface by Shigechi et al. are represented by dotted lines and still undertermined the vapor film thickness at that end since the gradient of the vapor film thickness is minus infinity. Present predicted results are represented by the solid lines with the boundary condition at the virtual radius, $\tilde{r}_{E}$, the gradient of the vapor film thickness is minus infinity and the vapor film thickness at the end of the bottom surface can be determined. While the Shigechi et al. method for the downwardfacing bottom surface (A), is not affected by the vertical surface $\left(B_{1}\right)$, the present method is slightly affected by slip or non-slip condition at vapor-liquid interface at the surface $\left(B_{1}\right)$. Therefore, to focus on the difference between two methods, we also presents the results at dimensionless radius at $\tilde{r}=0.5$ in this Fig. 2.3, the obtained film thickness for all cases, A-ns+B-ns, A-ns+B-s, A-s+B-ns and A-s+B-s by the present method and those for cases A-ns and A-s for the Shigechi et al. method are shown for the water at degree of superheat 300 K . However, the vapor film thickness at the center of the bottom surface ( $\delta_{A}$ at $\mathrm{r}=0$ ) is slightly thicker than the previous one by Shigechi et al.'s but this


Fig. 2.5: Nusselt number on the horizontal bottom surface and degree of superheat for Case Ans
small value is not effective in prediction the heat transfer rate through the entire bottom surface. Furthermore, we can predicted the thickness of the vapor film at the end of the bottom surface with their respective cases as $\delta_{A}$ for Case (A-ns+B-ns) $=0.239 \mathrm{~mm}, \delta_{A}$ for Case (A-ns+B-s) $=0.214 \mathrm{~mm}, \delta_{A}$ for Case $(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{ns})=0.185 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\delta_{A}$ for Case $(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{s})=0.165 \mathrm{~mm}$ in order of decreasing.

The result on local and average Nusselt number changed with degree of superheat upon the horizontal bottom surface are shown in Fig. 2.5 for four Cases (A-ns+B-ns), (A-ns+B-s), (A-s+B-ns) and (A-s+B-s) as the effect of the case in the region $\left(B_{1}\right)$ on the heat transfer coefficient in the region (A) was small. It is clear that the value of slip condition at the vapor-liquid interface is much higher than the condition at non-slip. The local Nusselt number is described with the position of the dimensionless radius $\tilde{r}=0$ to $\tilde{r}$ $=0.5$ and the results on present study are shown at degreee of superheat at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=200$, 300 , 400 and 500 K respectively and which is defined by the ratio of dimensionless number on x-axis of the Fig. 2.5 and 2.6, as $S_{p} / G r_{B}$. Here, $S_{p} / G r_{B}$ value of $3.3 \times 10^{-10}$ represents to $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=200 \mathrm{~K}, 7.1 \times 10^{-10}$ represents to $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}, 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ represents to $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}$ $=400 \mathrm{~K}$ and $2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ represents to $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=500 \mathrm{~K}$ respectively. As the dimensionless radius approach to 0.5 , that is at the end of bottom surface, local Nusselt number is the highest on both cases and for both study. As the different of the result on local Nusselt


Fig. 2.6: Nusselt number on the horizontal bottom surface and degree of superheat for Case As
number predicted by Shigechi et al. method and present study start from $\tilde{r}=0.48$ and at the end of the bottom surface, local Nusselt number predicted by the previous method apporach to infinity. At that time, local Nusselt number at the end of the bottom surface can be predicted by the present modification method. Furthermore, the average Nusselt number predicted by the present study are almost the same those of the average Nusselt number predicted by the Shigechi et al. method. At the end of the bottom surface at low degree of superheat (which is at the lower limit condition) the local Nusselt number is highest and as the degree of superheat higher, increasing rate of local Nusselt number is not reasonable amount. For all cases, the local Nusselt number predicted by the present method in the area $\tilde{r} \leq 0.48$ are almost the same to the Shigechi et al.'s method and as increasing $\tilde{r}>0.48$, the results on local Nusselt number by the present methods are smaller than the Shigechi et al. one's.

The result of local and average Nusselt number upon the vertical surfce was shown in Fig. 2.7 to 2.8 for Case (A-ns+B-ns), (A-ns+B-s), (A-s+B-ns) and (A-s+B-s) although considered for the combination of four cases, but only for two combination Cases, (Ans+Bns) and (A-ns+Bs) are discussed as the effect of slip and non-slip condition in the bottom surface upon vertical surface is not so large. Local and average Nusselt number on vertical surface are predicted by Shigechi et al.'s method and also present method and
compared the results. As the prediction of $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ at the lower end of the vertical surface predicted by the present study is smaller than the value of the film thickness predicted by Shigechi et al., all value predicted at the vertical lateral surface by the present study are just only about $1 \%$ greater than the Shigechi et al.'s method. Local Nusselt number upon vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface are described with the value at vertical position, at the lower end of the vertical lateral surface ( $x_{B}=0$ ) the value of local Nusselt number is the highest and as the distance $x_{B}$ from the lower end of the vertical surface increased, the value of local Nusselt number decreased and finally approachs to constant value. The difference between the highest Nusselt number at $x_{B}=0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and lowest Nusselt number at $x_{B}=9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ becomes smaller as increasing degree of superheat, that is the value of $S_{p} / G r_{B}$ increase at x-axis for both cases. From the results on local Nusselt number upon the vertical surface, combination of non-slip condition at the bottom surface and slip condition at the vertical surface gives the highest heat transfer rate at the lower end of the vertical surface.


Fig. 2.7: Nusselt number on the vertical surface and degree of superheat

### 2.2.5 Lower limit of saturated film boiling

The lower limit of film boiling, $\Delta T_{\min }$ is important in prediction the film collapse and film boiling characteristic and it can be defined as the point where the cooling rate, $-d T / d \tau$


Fig. 2.8: Nusselt number on the vertical surface and degree of superheat
gives a minimum value and the corresponding wall superheat is referred to as $\Delta T_{\text {min }}$. The value of lower limit of film boiling depends upon shapes and material of the heating object. From the experiment with the vertical silver cylinder of flat top and bottom surface, the lower limit of film boiling can be estimated round about 136 K for different aspect ratio in saturated film boiling (Yamada et al.(2004)). It is noted that $\Delta T_{\min }$ does not exactly corresponding to the wall temperature at the lower limit of film boiling where the vapor film covering the cylinder start to collapse.

### 2.2.6 Comparison of the predicted total heat transfer rate of the cylinder with the experimental results

The previous modification on film boiling heat transfer from the bottom surface, we can predict the local heat transfer coefficient near the edge of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with the finite value and also average heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the cylinder. It was noted that, the predicted average heat transfer coefficient on bottom surface is slightly smaller than predicted by the Shigechi et al. and the predicted average heat transfer coefficient for the vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface is nearly the same as predicted by Shigechi et al.(1999) method. Furthermore, the correlation equation for heat transfer coefficients to predict overall heat


Fig. 2.9: Comparison of measured and predicted average heat flux on saturated film boiling
transfer rate from a cylinder have been proposed by Momoki et al. (2007) and these correlation equations for heat transfer are good agreement with the existing experimental data by quenching method. In this regard, the previous results on average heat flux predicted from average heat transfer coefficients on each surfaces are compared with the experimental results on saturated film boiling as shown in Fig. 2.9.

Here we found that modification method is not effected in prediction the heat transfer averaged over the entire cylinder in saturated film boiling by comparing the average heat flux predicted from the average heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the cylinder with the experimental results on quenching of the silver cylinders which diameter range varies from 8 to 100 mm and length range from 8 to 160 mm in saturated water. Figure 2.9 shows comparison of predicted total heat transfer rate of the cylinder with the experimental results on the film boiling region at degree of superheating, $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=600$ to 150 K in saturated water and the predicted values by the previous modification agrees within $\pm 15 \%$ to the existing experimental values.

### 2.2.7 Local heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the vertical cylinder

In order to discuss the effect of local heat transfer coefficient on film collapse, in this study, we consider two velocity profile of non-slip and slip condition at the vapor-liquid
interface for the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. This physically possible combination of two velocity profile are referred as Case (Ans+Bns), Case (Ans+Bs), Case (As+Bns) and Case (As+Bs). So we predict for these four cases and discuss here for the applicable cases because one of the objectives are discussion about the highest heat transfer point and the film collapse. The predicted local heat transfer coefficients on all surfaces of the vertical cylinder are described in Fig. 2.10, for the combination of Cases (Ans+Bns) and (Ans+Bs) and the average heat transfer coefficients for Case (Ans+Bns) are only described for the comparison. Local heat transfer rate through all surfaces of the vertical cylinder are predicted for the degree of superheat at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=200,300,400$ and 500 K . Hence, the value of local heat transfer coefficient at degree of superheat 300 K is described in Fig. 2.10, because all the results show the similar trend. It will be noted that the trend of local heat transfer coeficient upon vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface ( $h_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ ) is two fold depend upon the slip condition (Bs) and non-slip condition (Bns) at the vertical surface.


Fig. 2.10: Local heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the vertical cylinder

We discuss that the distribution of the predicted local heat transfer coefficients on all surface of the cylinder based on the present method. In the author's previous study, the estimated heat transfer rate based on the average heat transfer coefficients for the Case
(A-ns+B-ns) agreeds the experimental results, and the non-slip condition at the bottom surface (A) may be reasonable because the velocity of the vapor beneath the downwardfacing horizontal surface is not so large and also there is no significant configuration at the bottom surface to be considered as slip condition. Therefore, the estimated result for the non-slip vapor-liquid interface condition for the downward-facing surface (A-ns) is described in Fig. 2.10. For the vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface ( $B_{1}$ ), the estimated results for both slip and non-slip condition at the vapor liquid interface are discussed because the velocity of the vapor might become so large at some region near the bottom due to the supplied vapor from the bottom surface passed a corner or ventage point and slip condition might be occured. Furthermore the combination of non-slip condition (A-ns) at the bottom surface and slip condition at the vertical surface (B-s), that is Case (A-ns+B-s) gives higher heat transfer rate than that of Case (A-ns+Bns). The reason that $h_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ for Case (Ans+Bs) is the highest possible in actual application, is liked that as consider for the cylinder configuration, the velocity at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical surface might be high enough to be considered as non-slip condition at the vapor-liquid interface as the film thickness at that edge is invisible small. But for the bottom surface, we have already studied that the effect of the vapor mass flow generated under the horizontal bottom surface is to decrease the heat transfer rate. However, in actual application, overestimation of the heat transfer rate should be avoided and it can be conducted that even in non-slip condition at the vertical surface, local heat transfer coefficient at the corner of the vertical surface is highest compare to other surfaces. In prediction of the lowest temperature point on the cylinder surface with the average heat transfer coefficients, it seems to be the upper corner of the cylinder due to the much higher heat transfer coefficient at the upward-facing horizontal surface $\left(\bar{h}_{C}\right)$ and at the upper region of the vertical $\left(\bar{h}_{B 2}\right)$, than that at the downward-facing horizontal surface $\left(\bar{h}_{A}\right)$. As the results on predicted local heat transfer coefficient on vertical cylinder, the highest heat transfer coefficient are found at the end of bottom surface (at $\tilde{x}_{A}=1$ ) and at the lower corner of the vertical surface (at $\tilde{x}_{B}=0$ ) of the cylinder as in Fig. 2.10, and it suggests that the lowest temperature point may become the lower corner of the cylinder. That the highest heat transfer coefficient at the lower corner of the cylinder agreeds the author's previous experimental results on the film collapse start (Momoki et al. 2017)

### 2.3 Subcooled Film Boiling

The degree of liquid subcooling enhance the heat transfer performance of the cylinder and the heat transfer coefficient for the subcooled film boiling is treated based upon predicted results on local and average heat transfer coefficient by modified method in saturated film boiling. The physical model for the subcooled film boiling is shown in

Fig. 2.1 (b) with the thinner vapor film caused by the degree of subcooling liquid and its enhance the heat transfer performance. This enhancement factor, $\bar{h} / \bar{h}_{\text {sat }}$, for the downward facing horizontal surface, vertical lateral surface with smooth and wavy vaporliquid interface, and the upward-facing horizontal surface are examined separately by Yamada et al.(2007) and which are applied in Momoki et al.(2007) prediction method of heat transfer enhancement in liquid subcooling and as well as in this study. The length on the vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface also extends in subcooled film boiling and the investigation on this expansion ratio, $L_{\mathrm{B} 1} / L_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sat }}$ has been empirically determined by Momoki et al.(2007).

The average and local heat transfer coefficients for each surfaces of the cylinder and the length of smooth vapor-liquid interface region, $L_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ changed with the degree of subcooling are predicted in the following section for subcooled film boiling.

### 2.3.1 Downward facing horizontal surface

Correlation of heat transfer enhancement factor for the downward facing horizontal surface (A), $\bar{h}_{A} / \bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sat }}$, is already proposed by Yamada et al. (1998) and this correlations were previously applied in prediction cooling rate of the vertical finite-length cylinder by Momoki et al. (2007) and also in this prediction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{sat}}}=0.699+0.411 \phi_{\mathrm{A}}-0.145 \phi_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{2}+0.035 \phi_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{3} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numerical value of $\phi_{\mathrm{A}}=\left\{\left(\frac{J_{A}}{J_{\mathrm{A} 0}}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{1+\beta J_{\mathrm{A}}}{1+\beta J_{A}}\right)\right\}^{1 / 5}$ can be obtained by computing the dimensionless parameters $J_{A}$ and
$\beta$ as $J_{A} \equiv\left(F_{\mathrm{A} 1}+\sqrt{F_{\mathrm{A} 2}}\right)^{1 / 3}+\left(F_{\mathrm{A} 1}-\sqrt{F_{\mathrm{A} 2}}\right)^{1 / 3}+\frac{1}{3 \beta}\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right)$ and $\beta \equiv\left(\frac{R^{2}}{2 S p P r_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{1 / 3}$. In subcooled film boiling, dimensionless degree of liquid subcooling can be defined as $S c \equiv c p_{\mathrm{L}}$ $\Delta T_{\text {sub }} /\left(P r_{L} \ell\right)$ and the value of $J_{\mathrm{A} 0}$ is obtained by applying $S c=0$ in $F_{\mathrm{A} 1}$ and $F_{\mathrm{A} 2}$. By the relationship of degree of superheating and liquid subcooling $F_{\mathrm{A} 1}$ and $F_{\mathrm{A} 2}$ can be calculated as $F_{\mathrm{A} 1} \equiv \frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{S C}{S p}\right)+\left\{\frac{1}{3 \beta}\left(\frac{S C}{S p}\right)\right\}^{3}$ and $F_{\mathrm{A} 2} \equiv \frac{1}{4}-\frac{64}{27} \beta^{3}+\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right)-\frac{4}{27}\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right)^{2}+\left\{\frac{1}{3 \beta}\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right)\right\}^{3}$. And the ratio of density and viscosity is represented as $R \equiv\left(\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{V}} \mu_{\mathrm{V}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{L}} \mu_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{2}$.

The local and average heat transfer coefficient in the saturated condition, $h_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sat }}$ and $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sat }}$, are already estimated by Eq.(2.19) and (2.20) by the modified prediction method and local heat transfer enhancement in subcooled film boiling can be computed by applying the same enhancement as in average heat transfer rate as, $h_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sub }}=h_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sat }}\left(\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sub }}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \text { sat }}}\right)$.

### 2.3.2 Vertical lateral surface

Degree of liquid subcooling affects the heat transfer from the vapor-liquid interface to the liquid in subcooled film boiling. As the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ increased, the energy transfer into the liquid phase is increased and decreased in vapor film thickness and higher heat transfer rate through the vapor, simultaneously the smooth vapor-liquid interface on the vertical lateral surface extends upward. For the height of the vertical lateral surface with smooth vaporliquid interface in subcooled film boiling, Momoki et al. (2007) proposed the following empirical correlation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{\mathrm{B} 1}}{L_{\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{sat}}}=1+56.3 S \mathrm{C} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is based upon the relationship of the height of the smooth vapor-liquid interface in saturated film boiling, $L_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ and the dimensionless degree of liquid subcooling, $S c$.

## Vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface

For the subcooled film boiling heat transfer from the vertical lateral surface, the authors (Yamada et al.(2004)) previously evaluated the Nishikawa et al.(1975) correlation and reported that the proposed correlation for the average heat transfer coefficient around the vertical cylinder agreed to the experimental value within $-15 \%$ to $+45 \%$. In order to improve the prediction accuracy, Momoki et al.(2007) proposed the new correlation of the enhancement factor for the vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1} / \bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sat }}$ and which can correlated within $\pm 15 \%$ with experimental data. These correlation are applied in present work and expressed as by the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{sat}}}=1+C_{\mathrm{B} 1}\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ is determined from the experimental data for quenching method with the subcooled water as a coolant at atmospheric pressure and this empirical equation can be expressed as $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B} 1}=10.45+11.74 \mathrm{~L} /\left(\pi \lambda_{0}\right)$.

The local heat transfer enhancement in vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface also can be obtained by the relation, $h_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sub }}=h_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sat }}\left(\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sub }}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1, \text { sat }}}\right)$.

Vertical surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface
In this study, heat transfer enhancement factor for the vertical lateral surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface, $\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2}}{\overline{h_{\mathrm{B} 2, \text { sat }}}}$, can be calculated by the following Nishio and Ohtake correlation (1992) which is also applied in Momoki et al. (2007) prediction method.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{sat}}}=1+0.0905\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{PV}}}{c_{\mathrm{PL}}}\right)\left(\frac{P r_{\mathrm{L}}}{P r_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\left(\frac{\operatorname{Pr}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{0.23}\left(\frac{G r_{\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}} S p^{*}}{G r_{\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{sat}}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{\lambda}{L_{\mathrm{B} 2}}\right)\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G r_{\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~L}} \equiv\left(g \lambda^{3} / \nu_{L}{ }^{2}\right)\left[\left(\rho_{\mathrm{LB}} / \rho_{\mathrm{L}}\right)-1\right], \bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{sat}}$ and $G r_{\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{sat}}$ can be predicted by the method described in section 2.2.3 with the physical properties of saturated liquid. It will be noted that the heat transfer coefficient of the vertical surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface is considered neither to be average nor local and the ratio $\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2, \text { sat }}}$ can be transform to $\frac{h_{\mathrm{B} 2}}{h_{\mathrm{B} 2, \text { sat }}}$ in this study.

### 2.3.3 Upward facing horizontal surface

For the subcooled film boiling, the heat transfer enhancement factor for the upward facing horizontal surface, $\bar{h}_{C} / \bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}, \text { sat }}$, is estimated by the following Hamill and Baumeister (1967) correlation which is also applied in Momoki et al.(2007) prediction method.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sat}}}=1+0.0395\left(\frac{C_{\mathrm{pV}}}{C_{\mathrm{pL}}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{L}}}{k_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\left(\frac{S p}{G r_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{sat}}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}^{3} \ell g \alpha_{L} P r_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2} S c}{c p_{\mathrm{L}} \nu_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{P r_{\mathrm{L}}}{P r_{\mathrm{V}}}\right)\left(\frac{S c}{S p}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\alpha$ is a volumetric thermal expansion coefficient. The heat transfer coefficient under in the saturated condition, $h_{\mathrm{C}, \text { sat }}$ is obtained by the Eq.(2.25) with the physical properties of saturated liquid. As considered in saturated film boiling, the heat transfer rate of the upward facing horizontal surface independent upon the location and is can be said as only $h_{\mathrm{C}, \text { sat }}$.

### 2.3.4 Lower limit of subcooled film boiling

The degree of wall superheating at the lower limit of film boiling around a vertical silver cylinder to subcooled water at atmospheric pressure can be predicted by the correlation proposed by Momoki et al. $(2007)$ as $\Delta T_{\min }=\left\{133.9^{8}+\left(104+8.38 \Delta T_{\text {sub }}\right)^{8}\right\}^{1 / 8}$. As in saturated film boiling, $\Delta T_{\min }$ is independent of the aspect ratio in subcooled condition but the value is larger with increased in $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$.

### 2.3.5 Comparison of the predicted total heat transfer rate of the cylinder with the experimental results

For the subcooled film boiling, predicted average heat transfer coefficient from each surfaces of a vertical cylinder on saturated film boiling condition are applied with individual correlation to predict enhancement of average heat transfer rate due to liquid subcooling. As the case of the saturatd film boiling heat transfer, the predicted subcooled film boiling


Fig. 2.11: Comparison of measured and predicted average heat flux on subcooled film boiling
heat transfer coefficients on each regimes are applied to predict heat transfer rate through the entire cylinder and this predicted heat transfer rate is compared to the experimental data and its agreed within $\pm 15 \%$. In this comparison, the experimental data on quenching of the silver cylinder, which diameter ranges from 32 to 50 mm and length ranges from 16 to 64 mm are applied. The degree of liquid subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ ranges from 2 to 30 K and experiment are carried out during the film boiling region of degree of superheating $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}$, 600 to 150 K . Figure 2.11 shows the comparison of subcooed film boiling heat flux over the cylinder by taking into account the average heat transfer coefficients on each surfaces by the modified method and measured average heat flux from the experimental data.

### 2.3.6 Discussion on predicted local and average heat transfer performance for saturated and subcooled film boiling

Predicted average heat transfer rate from each surfaces of the cylinder can correlated well with entire average heat transfer rate of the cylinder and can predict the cooling rate of the cylinder in saturated and subcooled film boiling. In examination the average heat transfer rate, vertical surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface, $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ gives the highest values and the average heat transfer rate of the upper surface, $h_{\mathrm{C}}$ is larger than those of the
bottom surface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$. The bottom surface with stable film boiling, $(A)$ always shows the lowest heat transfer rate and the average heat transfer rate of vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ is about two times larger than those of bottom surface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}}$ but still smaller than those of surfaces with wavy vapor-liquid interface, $h_{\mathrm{B} 2}$ and $h_{\mathrm{C}}$. The trand is same for all the different diameter and height of the cylinder. Figure 2.12 and 2.13 show the average heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the cylinder predicted by modified position of boundary condition. In estimation the temperature field inside the cylinder, the boundary conditions at the cylinder surface are applied by the value of average heat transfer coefficients on each surfaces. It suggests that the lowest temperature points are corresponding to the highest heat transfer rate at the upper corner of the cylinder and inconsistence with the experimental observation on film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical cylinder in saturated and subcooled film boiling.
In prediction local heat transfer coefficient for the subcooled film boiling, here we simply proposed $h_{\text {sub }}$ is equivalent to $h_{\text {sat }}\left(\frac{\bar{h}_{\text {sub }}}{h_{\text {sat }}}\right)$ as the enhance of average heat transfer rate due to liquid subcooling. Here we compare the heat transfer enhancement for the local and average heat transfer coefficient for the different height of the cylinder on saturated and subcooled film boiling. Enhancement on the local and average heat transfer coefficient due to liquid subcooling is slightly effected on downward facing horizontal surface(A) and strongly effected on the regimes on vertical surface where vapor-liquid interface is $\operatorname{smooth}\left(B_{1}\right)$, not significant in the regime of vertical surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface $\left(B_{2}\right)$ and upward facing horizontal surface(C). From the experimental observation, as the degree of liquid subcooling increased the vertical length of the smooth vaporliquid interface increased and these increment on smooth vapor-liquid interface mostly effected to nhance the heat transfer rate at the region of smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\left(B_{1}\right)$ in liquid subcooling. From the prediction results on two cylinders of same diameter, $\mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ and different vertical length with $\mathrm{H}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{H}=64 \mathrm{~mm}$, it seems to be the enhancement of heat transfer rate due to liquid subcooling on vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface depends upon the height of the cylinder as the same degree of liquid subcooling shows different increasing rate of heat transfer enhancement. It was due to the empirical equation used in prediction of heat transfer enhancement in vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface include the terms for the effects of cylinder configuration, especially the vertical length of the cylinder. The local heat transfer rate increased about $43 \%$ for the 32 mm height cylinder and about $81 \%$ for the 64 mm height cylinder due to the same degree of liquid subcooling $\left(\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=2 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ at the lower end of the cylinder. However, local heat transfer rate at the end of smooth vapor-liquid interface is not increased as much as at the lower corner of the vertical surface on both saturated and subcooled film boiling. The highest values of local heat transfer rate at the lower corner of
the cylinder corresponding to the thinnest vapor film thickness with high velocity vapor passing through it. The results on local heat transfer performance at the lower corner of the cylinder suggests that lowest surface temperature at that corner where the film collapse would like to start.


Fig. 2.12: Predicted average heat transfer coefficients on each surface of the vertical cylinders on saturated film boiling


Fig. 2.13: Predicted average heat transfer coefficients on each surface of the vertical cylinders for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0$ to 20 K


Fig. 2.14: Predicted local and average heat transfer coefficient on saturated and subcooled film boiling

## Chapter 3

## Discussion on Experimental Result on Lower Limit of Film Boiling

Quenching expriment on the transient film boiling heat transfer with a vertical finitelength cylinder to quiescent saturate and subcooled water at atmosphric pressure have been carried out consistently to investigate the film boilng phenomena around the surfaces of the vertical cylinder. The experiment is performed by keeping the bulk temperature of the water constant and the temperature variation at the center of the heated cylinder is recorded by a data acquainting/control unit or oscilloscope with a simpling time of 0.05 second near the lower limit of film boiling. The experimental apparatus used in film boiling experiment have been already described in details in Fig. 3.1. In this study, the collapse phenomena of vapor film in the transient film boiling around the finitelength vertical cylinder of silver is examined by doing quenching experiment in saturated and subcooled water at atmospheric pressure. Experimental observation on film boiling phenomena, we have already confirmed that the film collapse starts from the lower corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder. However, the prediction of the surface temperature by using the average heat transfer coefficients for each surfaces, the downward facing bottom, vertical and upward facing top surfaces, gives that the lowest temperature point on the cylinder surface might be at the upper corner but that the estimated maximum temperature difference on the cylinder surface is below only 3 K for silver cylinder with $32 \times 32$ diameter and length. Although, the local heat transfer coefficient at the lower corner of the cylinder is high enough to start the film collapse, so we consider more experimental investigation on the film collapse are needed. Therefore, in this chapter, we performed again the film boiling experiments with the vertical finite-length cylinder of silver to the saturated and subcooled water by using the higher speed video camera of 10000 frames per second with the help of trigger mode and discussed the behavior of the vapor film near the lower limit of the film boiling by frame by frame investigation of the recorded movies.

### 3.1 Experimental Apparatus and Procedure

### 3.1.1 Apparatus

The experimental apparatus is made up of (1) a boiling bath, (2) a lifting/submerging device, (3) an electronic furnace for the heating of the test cylinder, (4) an observation device and (5) data acquisition unit. A schematic view of these experimental apparatus are described in detail in Fig. 3.1.

A glass box with $300 \times 300 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ is placed inside the boiling bath and two submerged heaters of 2 kW power are placed between the boiling bath and the glass box, four windows are attached on the side and bottom walls of the boiling bath for observation of boiling phenomena by eye and video camera. In order to heat constantly the bulk liquid up to the prescribed temperature and to prevent bubbles generated around the two submerged heaters from disturbing the bulk liquid. The liquid is water cleaned through an ion-exchange resin. In the previous experimental study on film boiling heat transfer by quenching method, 18 kinds of silver cylinder with flat top and bottom surfaces are tested in saturated and subcooled water. For the saturated film boiling experiments, the diameter and length of test cylinders range from 8 to 100 mm and from 8 to 160 mm , respectively. For the subcooled film boiling experiments, the diameter and length are


1. Test cylinder 2. Boiling bath 3. Lifting device
2. Temperature controller 5. Power controller 6. Immersion heater
3. Glass box 8.K-type thermocouple 9. Data acquisition
4. Electric furnace 11. Video Camera 12. Video recorder

Fig. 3.1: Experimental apparatus


Fig. 3.2: Dimension and location of the thermocouple on the test cylinder
$32 \times 16,32 \times 32,32 \times 64,45 \times 45,50 \times 16,50 \times 32$ and $50 \times 64 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively, and the degree of liquid subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ range from 2 to 30 K . One sheathed K-type thermocouple with outside diameter of 1 mm is placed on the cylinder axis through a stainless steel support tube to measure the transient temperature of the test cylinder. For the cylinder with 45 mm in diameter and length, another sheathed K-type thermocouple is placed at the position 2 mm inside the side wall of a cylinder with a depth of 22.5 mm to examin a temperature change in the cylinder due to liquid subcooling effect. The dimension of the test cylinders and location of thermocouple are illustrated in Fig. 3.2. This previous experimental data are used in comparing the average heat flux of the tested cylinder and predicted heat flux by using predicted average and local heat transfer coefficient on each surface of the cylinder.

### 3.1.2 Procedures

Prior to heating, the surface of the test cylinder was mirror-finished by a diamond compound and made clean by alcohol to keep the surface condition constant. After heating up the cylinder to the designated degree(that is about $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for silver and stainless steel cylinder, about $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for aluminium cylinder) by an electric furnace, the test cylinder is submerged with a depth of 100 mm into the saturated or subcooled water at atmospheric pressure by a lifting device and cooled down to the bulk liquid temperature of the water. The temperature history during quenching is recorded by a data acquainting/control unit with a simpling time of 0.25 secondor or by an oscilloscope with a simpling time of 0.05 second near the lower limit of film boiling. Duration of transfering time from the electric furnace to the boiing batch is kept to be minimum and its not recorded in the recorded data. The film boiling phenomena around the cylinder were observed by visual and also still photograph and high-speed video camera. Just start the film to be collapse, the end trigger mode is on because it take only some milisecond and can interpret with the recorded frame by frame.


Fig. 3.3: Experimental results on cooling curve, cooling rate and film boiling phenomena on saturated and subcooled liquid

### 3.1.3 Experimental results

The inside part of the test cylinder is assumed to be cooled uniformly and its temperature field is calculated as a lumped parameter system as the cylinder is made of silver with high thermal conductivity. Thus, the heat flux averaged on the total surface, $q$, is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=Q / A=-\rho c \frac{V}{A} \frac{d T}{d \tau} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, c, T, V, \rho, \tau$ and $d T / d \tau$ are total heating surface, specific heat, temperature, volume, density, time and cooling rate respestively. The lumped parameter system adopted in the present study, i.e., the validity to calculate the heat flux using Equation 3.1, is confirmed through numerical calculation of two-dimensional unsteady heat conduction in the test cylinder and small Biot number which was estimated as less than 0.06.

The experimental results on cooling curve, cooling rate and behavior of the vapor film around the silver cylinder of $32 \mathrm{~mm} \times 32 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter and length are shown in Figs. 3.3(a), (b) and (c), respectively, for saturated and subcooling film boiling. Figure 3.3.(a) is the cooling curve and cooling rate for the saturated film boiling $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0$ and subcooling film boiling $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K}$. The vertical axis is the measured temperature at the center of the cylinder and horizontal axis is the time. A symbol of $\bullet$ on each cooling curve and cooling
rate shows the lower limit of film boiling. As degree of subcooling increased 0 to 20 K , the slope of the curve in the film boiling region becomes greater and the point at the lower limit becomes higher and also the cooling rate is faster. It also can be observed that the film boiling phenomena in the film boiling region and near the lower limit of film boiling from the quenching experiment. Figure $3.3(\mathrm{~b})$ is the photo taken during saturated film boiling, the bottom surface of the test cylinder is covered with a stable vapor film having a smooth vapor-liquid interface. The vapor generated under the bottom surface flows along the surface and turns upward at the bottom edge and goes up along the lateral surface. The vapor-liquid interface at the vertical surface is smooth at the region near the bottom edge and wavy near the top edge. These two regions on the vertical surface can be divided by the length $L_{\mathrm{B} 1}$. It was always seen that there is a wavy vapor-liquid interface at the upward-facing horizontal surface of the cylinder. As the case of subcooled film boiling, the vapor film thickness leads to decrease as increased in $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ and the length of the smooth vapor-liquid interface, $L_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ also increased simultaneously as shown in Fig. 3.3(c). From the visual and photographic observation and also experimental results on solid-liquid contact on heating surface, it was already confirmed that film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical surface in both saturated and subcooled film boiling.

In addition, we have already pointed out the location of the film collapse start; it was the lower edge of the vertical surface. So far, near the minimum-heat-flux-point, the solid-liquid contacts occur intermittently and the wet and dry area appears alternately. The rapid cooling starts when the thermal condition of the cylinder surface reaches the point where the heat flux from the cylinder surface is not large enough to evaporate the liquid on the surface. Therefore, the frequency and duration length of the solidliquid contacts upon the cylinder surface may be the dominant factors of the surface temperature fluctutation and the mechanism of the collapse of the vapor film. In this study, the direct solid-liquid contacts near the lower limit of film boiling were observed by viewing using still photograph and the high-speed video camera. The frame rate of the high-speed video camera was set up 10000 frames per second at which the resolution of the recorded image is 1280 (horizontal) $\times 96$ (vertical), and the trigger mode at the time of film collapse was used. In this setting of the video camera, it cannot catch the whole view of the test cylinder. Therefore we did the same experiments to observe the wider area that covers the lower corner of the cylinder (Imaging area [1] as described in the Fig. 3.4) and the transition region of the flow condition of the vapor film on the vertical surface (Imaging area [2] in the Fig. 3.4). As three kinds of data (bulk temperature of water, center temperature of the tested cylinder and the quenching time) are sampled and the detail verification of the film collapse phenomena around the cylinder is obtained by processing the frame-by-frame observation of the recorded movie images.

Here in this section, we need to explain the specification of the high speed video


Fig. 3.4: Film boiling model and recording areas
camera (MEMRECAM fx-K3) and the usage of trigger mode. Its avaiable memory is 4 GB at 1000 picture per second within 2.4 second (picture size is horizontal-1280, vertical1024) and within 9.8 second (picture size is horizontal-640, vertical-512), at 10000 picture per second within 2.8 second (picture size is horizontal-1280, vertical-96) and within 5.2 second (picture size is horizontal-640, vertical-96) for the three mode of trigger, start, center and end. In this saturation, for the recording of 10000 frames per second, we can recorded vertical length of the picture only 96 mm and recording area is divided into two parts as shown in Figure 3.4.

Figure 3.5 and 3.6 shows the detail of cooling rate near the lower limit of the film boiling at degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ and 5 K for the silver cylinder of $32 \times 32$ diameter and length with the red dotted line at lower limit and at the time of film collapse in second.

### 3.1.4 Solid-liquid contacts and the start of film collapse at saturated film boiling

The solid-liquid contacts affect the surface temperature; it relates the cooling process and collapse of the film. In the present study we investigate the aspect of the solid-liquid contacts from measured temperature data and recorded images of the recorded videoimages for both at the lower corner of the cylinder (Imaging area [1] in Fig. 3.4) and the transition area from the stable vapor film to wavy vapor film (Imaging area [2]).

For the saturate film boiling, at the lower corner of the cylinder where the very thin stable vapor film exists, the frequency of the direct contact between the cylinder wall and liquid is increasing slightly before the lower limit of film boiling and reaches to the peak number of the solid-liquid contact, as shown in the Fig.3.7. Then this frequency starts
decreasing because the area of continuous liquid film starts increasing after the initiating of the film collapse. The behaviors of the vapor film at the L2 region of the cylinder (see Fig.3.8) for saturated film boiling, are different from those at the lower region of the vertical cylinder shown in the Fig.3.7. In this region as shown in Fig.3.8, at the film collapse point that is determined by the analysis of the recorded video images and denoted by ' 1 ', the cooling rate already started increasing and the fluctuation of the solidliquid contacts rapidly decrease. The frequency of the contacts between the liquid and the lower surface of the cylinder wall is increasing after minimum-heat-flux-point in the results for this region. This suggests that the vapor collapse occurs at first at the lower corner at the minimum-heat-flux-point, and this collapse propagates upward. After this collapse reaches to this imaging area, the frequencies of the solid-liquid contact decrease drastically.


Fig. 3.5: Cooling curve and cooling rate near the lower limit of saturated film boiling


Fig. 3.6: Cooling curve and cooling rate near the lower limit of subcooled film boiling

(a) Photo image at the point $1(96.3787 \mathrm{sec})$


(b) Photo image at the point $2(97.9600 \mathrm{sec})$

Fig. 3.7: Solid-liquid contacts at the lower part of the vertical surface (L1) for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}$

(a) Photo image at the point $1(67.2900 \mathrm{sec})$


Fig. 3.8: Solid-liquid contacts at the region L2 for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}$

### 3.1.5 Solid-liquid contacts and the start of film collapse at subcooled film boiling

In subcooled film boiling, the heat transfer from the vapor liquid interface to the liquid increases with increasing $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}$ and the energy going to the vapor phase decreases and as a result vapor film thickness is more thinner than saturated condition. As the vapor film is thin, neglectable amount of solid-liquid contacts were likely to occur and the number of solid-liquid contacts start to increase from the lower limit of film boiling as cooling rate also increasing at the transition to the turbulent interface, L2. The temperature at the cylinder wall lower with the increasing the average time per count as the next the surface
temperature difference corresponding to the film collapse must be negative value as the change in surface temperature due to the contacts between the cylinder surface and the liquid increase sufficient or sharply increasing. As the cylinder wall is already covered with the liquid in some area and there is less number of solid-liquid contacts at that time and the wall temperature is not high enough to evaporate the vapor.

For the comparison, Fig. 3.9 to 3.12 shows the number of counting solid-liquid contacts

(a) Photo image at the point $1(58.9371 \mathrm{sec})$


(b) Photo image at the point $2(59.8420 \mathrm{sec})$

Fig. 3.9: Solid-liquid contacts at the lower part of the vertical surface (L1) for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$

(a) Photo image at the point $1(68.7360 \mathrm{sec})$


Fig. 3.10: Solid-liquid contacts at the region L 2 for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$

(a) Photo image at the point $1(52.1126 \mathrm{sec})$


(b) Photo image at the point $2(52.2610 \mathrm{sec})$

Fig. 3.11: Solid-liquid contacts at the lower part of the vertical surface (L1) for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$

(a) Photo image at the point 1 (51.7350 sec)


Fig. 3.12: Solid-liquid contacts at the region L 2 for $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$
at the imaging area I and II with the photo image (with the time) at lower limit of film boiling. Moreover, the time that the vapor film began to collapse is observed on the basis of the image form the high-speed video camera.

### 3.1.6 Discussion on experimental result on lower limit of film boiling corresponding to the vapor film collapse and predicted local heat transfer coefficients

The vapor film collapse of the film boiling phenomena is formed the lower end of the cylinder, where the vapor film becomes the thinnest. In saturated boiling, the number of contacts decreased with the lower limit of film boiling as the boundary, the time from ripple generation to disappearance per solid-liquid contact increases but the solid-liquid contact increases as the result. As the degree of subcooling increased as the cooling rate rises and the vapor film became thin and stable in any of image area I or II. Also as the degree of subcooling is higher, the time from generation of ripple due to solid-liquid contact per one time to disappearance becomes shorter. When the degree of subcooling is increased, the vapor film becomes thin and stable. Particularly, at subcooling degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$ as the film becomes too thin, solid-liquid occurance due to a slight distrubance of the vapor-liquid interface. Also, since the vapor film is too thin, the distance betweeen the heat transfer surface and the liquid is closed and solid-liquid contact cannot be increased.

Since, solid-liquid contact is thought to be affected the temperature change, it related to the cooling process and collapse point of film boiling. The region where the vapor


Fig. 3.13: Predicted local heat transfer coefficients at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface of the vertical cylinder
film collapse associated with the lower limit of film boiling occurs is at the lower end of the vertical cylinder where the vapor film thickness is the thinnest on the heat transfer surface. The state of solid-liquid contact at the lower limit of film boiling and the time at whih the film collapse start are shown in Fig. 3.12.

Figure 3.13 show the local heat transfer performance at the lower corner of the vertical cylinder, which is at first (1.0) point on x -axis of the figure where local heat transfer rate at the lower end of the vertical surface is higher than the average heat transfer rate at that surface, on the other hand the heat transfer rate at the upper end of the vertical cylinder also give higher value than other surfaces. Moreover, the local heat transfer rate at the end of the bottom surface is as high as average heat transfer rate at the upward facing horizontal surface. The heat transfer performance upon these two corner can be clear when prediction the surface temperature distribution is predicted by applying these predicted heat transfer rate as a boundry condition.

## Chapter 4

## Analysis on Temperature Distribution on the Surface of the Vertical Finite-Length Cylinder

### 4.1 Two-Dimensional Unsteady Heat Conduction Equation

So far, we have already defined that the minimum heat flux point as a lower limit of the film boiling region because our primary object was the heat transfer performance in the film boiling region and it also able to be determined by the measured temperature at the center of the cylinder. To investigate the difference between the temperature at the center of the cylinder and at the surface of the cylinder at the time near the lower limit of film boiling, we need to know the temperature measured at the center of cylinder and the surface temperature at the surface at film collapse, so numerical prediction of temperature


Fig. 4.1: Two-dimensional finite-difference grid
distribution of the vertical finite-length cylinder was already done by the previous author with the average heat transfer coefficient as the boundary of the cylinder. The temperature field inside the vertical finite-length cylinder is treated as the two dimensional unsteady heat conduction problem of the cylinder with the diameter, $D$ and the length, $L$. In this study the radius, $r=\frac{D}{2}$ is divided into M parts, and $L$ is divided into N parts respectively. The value of M and N are given in the following sections. The radial grid along the radius of the cylinder is designated as $\Delta r$ and the vertical grid along the vertical surface of the cylinder is designated as $\Delta z$.

Fig. 4.1 shows how the number of grid point on radius of the cylinder, $i=0,1,2,3$, $\ldots$... $M$, and on the vertical surface, $j=0,1,2,3, \ldots, N$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{r_{\text {in }(i, j)}}^{p}-Q_{r_{\text {out }(i, j)}}^{p}\right\}+\left\{Q_{z_{\text {in }(i, j)}}^{p}-Q_{z_{\text {out }}(i, j)}^{p}\right\}=\rho c V\left(\frac{T_{(i, j)}^{p+1}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this equation, superscript $p$ represents for the time increasement $p \Delta \tau$, subscript in heat flux into the element area, out heat flux out of the element area. Each term on the left side of the area is in contact with the bulk liquid (saturated or subcooled liquid) on the whole area or parts of the area, so it can be considered case by case in computation of the the surface temperature.

Figure 4.2 shows the type of the area which are at the 9 regions of interest. Here we explain how to calculate and the symbol used in calculation of the temperature distribution on type $1 \sim$ type 9 . For the temperature distribution around the vertical cylinder, the boundary condition at the surfaces are firstly given by the estimated average heat transfer coefficient and then estimated local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. The estimated value of average

| Type | Grid area |
| :---: | :--- |
| type 1 | $(0,0)$ |
| type 2 | $(1 \leq i \leq M-1,0)$ |
| type 3 | $(M, 0)$ |
| type 4 | $(0,1 \leq j \leq N-1)$ |
| type 5 | $(1 \leq i \leq M-1,1 \leq j \leq N-1)$ |
| type 6 | $(M, 1 \leq j \leq N-1)$ |
| type 7 | $(0, N)$ |
| type 8 | $(1 \leq i \leq M-1, N)$ |
| type 9 | $(M, N)$ |



Fig. 4.2: Grid area to be calculated
heat transfer coefficients with the degree of superheat are listed in Table 5.3 in Appendix and local heat transfer coefficients at the bottom surface and lower vertical surfaces are listed in Table 5.5, 5.6 in Appendix with their position and degree of superheat. The thermal properties of the steam at saturation condition are aslo given in Appendix, which were used in calculation of heat transfer coefficient at the degree of superheat. It is also noted that in calculation the thermeal properties of the saturated steam, the properties are interpolate at the temperature at the vaopr film is calculated as the average to the measured temperature at the cylinder center and saturation temperature of water.
$a \quad:$ thermal conductivity $(\equiv k / \rho \cdot c) \quad \Delta r:$ Radial width of the element $(=0.5 D / M)$
$A_{r_{\text {in }}}$ : Element inside side wall of element $\quad A_{r_{\text {out }}}$ : Element outside the side wall
$A_{z}$ : Top and bottom surface element area $\Delta z$ : Vertical width of the element $(=L / N)$
$\bar{h}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : Average heat transfer coefficient $\quad V$ : Elemental volume at the bottom surface of the cylinder
$\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ : Average heat transfer coefficient at the lower vertical surface
$\bar{h}_{\mathrm{B} 2}$ : Average heat transfer coefficient at the upper vertical surface
$\bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}$ : Average heat transfer coefficient at the top surface of the cylinder
$h_{\mathrm{A}}$ : Local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface of the cylinder
$h_{\mathrm{B} 1}$ : Local heat transfer coefficient at the lower vertical surface
$h_{\mathrm{B} 2}$ : Local heat transfer coefficient at the upper vertical surface
(1) type $1: i=0, j=0$
$\left(\frac{T_{(1,0)}^{p}-T_{(0,0)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {out }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(0,1)}^{p}-T_{(0,0)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) h_{\mathrm{A}}\left(T_{\text {sat }}-T_{(0,0)}^{p}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(0,0)}^{p+1}-T_{(0,0)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V$
where, $A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(0.5 \Delta r)(0.5 \Delta z)$,
$A_{z}=\pi(0.5 \Delta r)^{2}$ and elemental volume is
$V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$ and
$\left(A_{r_{\text {in }}}=0.0\right)$


Fig. 4.3: Element including the boundary condition of the heat conduction at the cen$\operatorname{ter}(\mathrm{r}=0)$ side inner wall and boundary condition of convective heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface (Type 1)
(2) type 2: $1 \leq i \leq M-1, j=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{T_{(i-1,0)}^{p}-T_{(i, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {in }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(i+1,0)}^{p}-T_{(i, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {out }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(i, 1)}^{p}-T_{(i, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) h_{\mathrm{A}}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(i, 0)}^{p}\right) A_{z} \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(i, 0)}^{p+1}-T_{(i, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $A_{r_{\text {in }}}=2 \pi(i-0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z), A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(i+0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z)$, $A_{z}=(2 \pi i \Delta r) \Delta r$ and $V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$.


Fig. 4.4: Element including the boundary condition of the convective heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface (Type 2)


Fig. 4.5: Element including the boundary condition of the convective heat transfer at the bottom and lower vertical surfaces (Type 3)
(3) type $3: i=M, j=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{T_{(M-1,0)}^{p}-T_{(M, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) h_{\mathrm{B} 1}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(M, 0)}^{p}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{T_{(M, 1)}^{p}-T_{(M, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) h_{\mathrm{A}}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(M, 0)}^{p}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(M, 0)}^{p+1}-T_{(M, 0)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $A_{r_{\text {in }}}=2 \pi(M-0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z), A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(M \Delta r)(0.5 \Delta z)$,
$A_{z}=\pi(M-0.25)(\Delta r)^{2}$ and $V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$.
(4) type 4 : $i=0,1 \leq j \leq N-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{T_{(1, j)}^{p}-T_{(0, j)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}}+\left(\frac{T_{(0, j-1)}^{p}-T_{(0, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z} & +\left(\frac{T_{(0, j+1)}^{p}-T_{(0, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(0, j)}^{p+1}-T_{(0, j)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(0.5 \Delta r) \Delta z, A_{z}=\pi(0.5 \Delta r)^{2} \\
& V=A_{z} \Delta z \text { and }\left(A_{r_{\text {in }}}=0.0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 4.6: Element including the boundary condition of insulation of the inner wall of the cylinder center (r=0) (Type 4)
(5) type 5: $1 \leq i \leq M-1,1 \leq j \leq N-1$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{T_{(i-1, j)}^{p}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {in }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(i+1, j)}^{p}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}} \\
+\left(\frac{T_{(i, j-1)}^{p}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{T_{(i, j+1)}^{p}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(i, j)}^{p+1}-T_{(i, j)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

where,

$$
A_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}=2 \pi(i-0.5) \Delta r \Delta z A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}}=2 \pi(i+0.5) \Delta r \Delta z A_{z}=2 \pi i(\Delta r)^{2} \text { and } V=A_{z} \Delta z
$$

(6) type $6: i=M, 1 \leq j \leq N-1$


Fig. 4.7: Elements composed of heat conduction boundary only (Type 5)


Fig. 4.8: Elements including boundary condition of convective heat transfer coefficients on the vertical wall (Type 6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{T_{(M-1, j)}^{p}-T_{(M, j)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}+ & \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) h_{\mathrm{B}}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(M, j)}^{p}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}}+\left(\frac{T_{(M, j-1)}^{p}-T_{(M, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z} \\
& +\left(\frac{T_{(M, j+1)}^{p}-T_{(M, j)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(M, j)}^{p+1}-T_{(M, j)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $A_{r_{\text {in }}}=2 \pi(M-0.5) \Delta r \Delta z, A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(M \Delta r) \Delta z, A_{z}=\pi(M-0.25)(\Delta r)^{2}$ and $V=A_{z} \Delta z$.
(7) type $7: i=0, j=N$
$\left(\frac{T_{(1, N)}^{p}-T_{(0, N)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {out }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(0, N-1)}^{p}-T_{(0, N)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(T_{\text {sat }}-T_{(0, N)}^{p}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(0, N)}^{p+1}-T_{(0, N)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V$
where, $A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(0.5 \Delta r)(0.5 \Delta z), A_{z}=\pi(0.5 \Delta r)^{2}, V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$ and $\left(A_{r_{\text {in }}}=\right.$ 0.0 ).
(8) type $8: 1 \leq i \leq M-1, j=N$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{T_{(i-1, N)}^{p}-T_{(i, N)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {in }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(i+1, N)}^{p}-T_{(i, N)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\text {out }}}+\left(\frac{T_{(i, N-1)}^{p}-T_{(i, N)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z}+\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(T_{\text {sat }}-T_{(i, N)}^{p}\right) A_{z} \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(i, N)}^{p+1}-T_{(i, N)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $A_{r_{\text {in }}}=2 \pi(i-0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z), A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(i+0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z), A_{z}=2 \pi i(\Delta r)^{2}$ and $V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$.


Fig. 4.9: Element including boundary condition of heat insulation at the inner wall and top wall convective heat transfer (Type 7)


Fig. 4.10: Element including boundary condition of convective heat transfer coefficient on the top surface (Type 8)
(9) type $9: i=M, j=N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{T_{(M-1, N)}^{p}-T_{(M, N)}^{p}}{\Delta r}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}+ & \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \bar{h}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(M, N)}^{p}\right) A_{r_{\mathrm{out}}}+\left(\frac{T_{(M, N-1)}^{p}-T_{(M, N)}^{p}}{\Delta z}\right) A_{z} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(T_{\mathrm{sat}}-T_{(M, N)}^{p}\right) A_{z}=\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{T_{(M, N)}^{p+1}-T_{(M, N)}^{p}}{\Delta \tau}\right) V
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $A_{r_{\text {in }}}=2 \pi(M-0.5) \Delta r(0.5 \Delta z), A_{r_{\text {out }}}=2 \pi(M \Delta r)(0.5 \Delta z), A_{z}=\pi(M-$ $0.25)(\Delta r)^{2}$ and $V=A_{z}(0.5 \Delta z)$.

Although the temperature inside the finite-length cylinder is calculated from the element area of type 1 to type 9 as described in aboved figure, to consider the area in and out, the volume of the elemental area, the different formula for the element are described in each figure. For the first case average heat transfer coefficient on the vertical surface of


Fig. 4.11: Element including boundary condition of convective heat transfer coefficient on the top and side surfaces (Type 9)
the cylinder for the type 3 , type 6 and type $9, \bar{h}_{\mathrm{B}}$ along the vertical length of the cylinder, $L$ changes into $L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$ and vertical length with smooth vapor-liquid interface and ( $L \leq L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$ ) ( $L>L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$ ) vertical length with wavy vapor liquid interface, this two interface are divided by the length $L \leq L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}, L>L_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}$. For the upper surface, $\bar{h}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is applied as a boundary condition. For the bottom surface, local $h_{A}$ and average heat transfer coefficient $\bar{h}_{A}$ are applied as the boundary condition. Prediction with local heat transfer coefficient at the lower end of the vertical surface, local heat transfer coefficient is applied as a boundary condition. For the subcooled film boiling, the length of the smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\left(L_{B 1}\right)$ changed with the degree of subcooling, its effect is not considered and the value of $L_{B 1}$ at saturation condition is considered in calculation of the temperature distribution of the cylinder. Calculation of the grid temperature $T_{(i, j)}^{p+1}$ inside the cylinder is calculated by the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{(i, j)}^{p+1}=\frac{\Delta Q_{(i, j)}^{p} \Delta \tau}{\rho_{\mathrm{m}} c_{\mathrm{m}} V_{(i, j)}}+T_{(i, j)}^{p} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial temperature at the center of the cylinder $\left(T_{(i, j)}^{p+1}\right)$ was set as the temperature measured at the cylinder center, $\left(0, \frac{N}{2}\right)$ and decreased with time step. The calculation is aborted when the value of the temperature at the center, (i.e at $0, \frac{N}{2}$ ) approached to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or lower. As Equ 4.12 contained the density, specific heat and thermal conductivity of the material, these properties are changed with the temperature are described in Appendix. Table 4. (A) in appendix shows the interpolation equation to calculate the properties of the cylinder material (silver, aluminium, carbon steel and stainless steel), which is used in temperature distribution inside the cylinder. According to the thermal properties of the cylinder material, an interpolation equation was prepared by the least square method in order to take temperature dependency into account. Data at the time of interpolation formula is based on heat transfer engineering materials (3rd Edition, 4th Edition).

### 4.2 Stability of Numerical Calculation

The following equation shows the conditional expression for measuring the stability of the two-dimensional numerical calculation excluding the boundary condition. To satisfy the stability of numerical calculation, setting the equation in such with the grid number and $\Delta \tau$ upon thermal diffusity of the material.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \tau \leq \frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{0.5(\Delta r)^{2}(\Delta z)^{2}}{(\Delta r)^{2}+(\Delta z)^{2}}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a\left[=k_{\mathrm{m}} /\left(c_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot \rho_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right]$ thermal conductivity, $\Delta r$ grid element width in the radial direction of the cylinder, $\Delta z$ it is the finite element width in the longitudinal direction of the cylinder $\Delta \tau$ is influenced by the size of the cylinder, the cylinder material and the number of divisions therefore, silver having the highest temperature conductivity among the common materials. $\left(a=1.6549 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ Against, diameter $D=32 \mathrm{~mm}$, length $L=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ and diameter $D=45 \mathrm{~mm}$, length $L=45 \mathrm{~mm}$ with two kinds of cylinders, radial division number of cylinder $M$ and the number of divisions in the vertical direction $N$ As a parameter, the limit value of the stable evaluation of calculation was obtained. The results are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Limit value of $\Delta \tau$ and divided grid for silver

| Cylinder <br> $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Grid no. | grid <br> $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | silver <br> $[\mathrm{sec}]$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $D=32$ <br> $L=32$ | $M=N=8$ | $\Delta r=2.0, \Delta z=4.0$ | $9.668 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  | $M=N=10$ | $\Delta r=1.6, \Delta z=3.2$ | $6.188 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  | $M=N=20$ | $\Delta r=0.8, \Delta z=1.6$ | $1.547 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  | $M=N=40$ | $\Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8$ | $3.867 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $D=45$ | $M=N=8$ | $\Delta r=2.8125, \Delta z=5.625$ | 0.0191 |
|  | $M=N=10$ | $\Delta r=2.25, \Delta z=4.5$ | 0.0122 |
|  | $M=N=20$ | $\Delta r=1.125, \Delta z=2.25$ | 0.0031 |
|  | $M=N=40$ | $\Delta r=0.5625, \Delta z=1.125$ | 0.000765 |

From Table 4.1, when the value of $\Delta \tau$ is more than or equal to 0.001 , the stability of the numerical calculation can be obtained. But in this calculation, we use $\Delta \tau=0.0001$ for both case.

### 4.3 Flow Chart for the Numerical Calculation of the Temperature Distribution of the Vertical FiniteLength Cylinder

Figure 4.10 shows flow chart for the numerical calculation of the temperature distribution of the vertical finite length cylinder. To predict surface temperature with the local heat transfer coefficient, there is the input file as the name caseA-ns-newbar.dat which is the dimensionless vapor film thickness at the bottom surface of the cylinder which also calculated for the diameter and length 32 mm . In declearing the variable, for the calculation of the temperature with local heat transfer coefficient the valiable type is changed for local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface and lower vertical lateral surface. Moreover, for the subcooling film boiling case, there is necessary to input the degree of subcooling. Numerical calculation of the temperature inside the cylinder is originally predicted by the average heat transfer coefficients at the bottom surface, vertical lateral surface and upward facing horizontal surface. In this study, the local heat transfer coefficient at the corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral is applied as the boundary condition of the bottom surface and lower vertical surface and predict the temperature distribution of the cylinder.

### 4.4 Results and Discussion on Calculation of Temperature Field inside the Cylinder with Average and Local Heat Transfer Coefficient

Temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder is numerically calculate by using local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface. Here, we divided the radius of the cylinder into 40 grids and on each grid at the bottom surface (that is the bottom boundary), each value of the local heat transfer coefficient already predicted are substituted. And also at the vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor liquid interface, first we consider the length of the smooth interface from the bottom the vertical surface (which is always change due to the degree of liquid subcooling) and the value of local heat transfer coefficient at the vertical grid point change according to the degree of subcooling. Here also each grid point at


Fig. 4.12: Flow chart for the temperature distribution of the cylinder in saturated and subcooled film boiling
the lower vertical surface (that is at the vertical boundry of the grid point) we substituted the value already predicted. The vertical length over the vapor liquid interface and upper horizontal surfaces are the boundery condition as alrady predicted by the average heat transfer coefficient as we considered as these two boundary conditions are not varies with the position. Finally we found that the temperature distribution with the local heat transfer coefficient at the bottom surface and lower vertical surface gives, the lowest temperature point at the lower corner of the cylinder and its concide with the experimental results of film collapse start at the lower corner. Figure 4.12 compare the center, upper corner, lower corner temperature by applying average and local heat transfer coefficient. The temperature contour of the vertical finite length cylinder of silver are also compared by the boundary conditon with average and local heat transfer coefficient. In saturated film boiling, the temperature different between the minimum heat flux point temperature ( lower limit of film boiling) and the collapse point temperature is not dominant but in subcooled film boiling, as the degree of liquid subcooling increased, the temperature difference between the film collapse point and minimum heat flux point temperature also increased. This phenomena is also the same between the temperature at the lower and upper corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder. Moreover, the temperature difference at the center of the cylinder from the lower or upper corner of the vertical cylinder are campared at the time of lower limit of film boiling (i.e the center temperature is lower limit temperature). For the saturated film boiling, the difference between lower and upper corner temperature is just 0.2 and for the subcooling film boiling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$, the difference between lower and upper corner temperature is 2.88 and for the subcooling film boiling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$, the difference between lower and upper corner temperature is 5.426 and is increased in increasing degree of liquid subcooling.

Figure 4.11 shows the comparison of predicted temperature at the center, upper corner and lower corner of the vertical silver cylinder for the degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}$, $5 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{~K}, 15 \mathrm{~K}, 20 \mathrm{~K}$ and 30K. There are twelve figure in Fig. 4.11 to show the resuls on the predicted temperature distribution at the cylinder center (at $0, \mathrm{~N} / 2$ ), at the lower corner of the cylinder (at $M, 0$ ) and at the upper corner of the cylinder (at $M, N$ ) for the silver cylinder of diameter and length 32 mm respectively. The left hand side figures are predicted temperature by applying average heat transfer coefficient as a boundary condition in all boundaries of the cylinder and it was found that the upper corner temperature is lower than the lower corner temperature. The right hand side figures are predicted temperature by applying the local heat transfer coefficients at the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface as the boundary condition and for the vertical lateral surface with wavy vapor-liquid interface and upward facing horizontal surface, the boundary condition were not changed, its results were like that, the lower corner temperature is to be the lowest temperature. The number of grids on radial direction, vertical direction and time step are the same for all figures. The temperature variation with the time were described as at the center temperature(represented by blue line), upper corner temperature (represented by red dotted line) and lower corner temperature (represented by green dotted line) in all figures. First row of figure is the temperature variation at above mention three location for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}$, which is saturate conditon Fig. 4.11 (a) and (b). The second row is for the temperature variation at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$ Fig. 4.11 (c) and (d) and the third row is the temperature variation at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$ Fig. 4.11 (e) and (f). In saturated condition, as we applied high thermal conductivity material in prediction surface temperature distribution, the temperaure difference between three difference location are not so much and the difference between the lower and upper corner temperature is about 0.2 in prediction with local heat transfer coefficient and 1.02 in prediction with average heat transfer coefficient. The temperature are compared with the same input temperature at the center and the time at the compare temperature are the same. For the subcooled film boiling, the temperature difference between the upper corner and lower corner temperature are increased in increasing degree of liquid subcooling that is $\left(\Delta T_{\text {sub }}\right.$ increasing). For the high degree of liquid subcooling, such as $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 \mathrm{~K}$, the difference between the upper corner temperature and lower corner temperature is smaller in prediction with local heat transfer coefficient at bottom surface and lower vertical surface as shown in Fig. 4.11 (k) and (l). In numerical calculation of the temperature distribution of the vertical cylinder, the radius and height of the cylinder is divided into 40 grid point and the temperature on each grid points are predicted firstly on one second interval on 9 interesting point and secondly on time inteval of 5 second over the entire cylinder. The predicted temperature contour during the cooling process are described in Figure 4.12 to 4.17 with their time for degree of subcooling $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{~K}$,

15K, 20K and 30K. In Fig. 4.12, the temperature contours at first 75 second and 100 second interval were described as different boundary condition at bottom surface and lower vertical surface. As the sturated film boilin, the decreasing rate of temperature is lower in comparing with the subcooled film boiling and temperature decreasing rate also low. Here we described the predicted temperature decreasing rate at time 75 sec , 100sec. In saturated film boiling, the temperature distribution with average heat transfer coefficient in all boundary condition of the cylinder, the result shows lowest temperature point is at the upper corner of the vertical cylinder. As the average heat transfer rate at the upper boundary of the cylinder is greater than the lower boundary and also the heat transfer rate at the upper region of the vertical surface also higher heater transfer rate than lower region of the vertical surface. So we change the boundary condition at the bottom surface and lower vertical surface with local heat transfer coefficient and then predict the temperature distribution. In this result, the lowest temperaure point is till at the upper corner of the vertical surface but the temperature difference between the lower corner temperature and upper corner temperature is less than by rediction with average heat transfer coefficient. Although the local heat transfer coefficient at the lower end of the vertical surface is higher than other surfaces, the local heat transfer rate at the end of the bottom surface is still lower than others surfaces and also the local heat transfer rate at the lower vertical surface also highest rate at only at the lower end of the vertical surface and it decrease with height and its smaller than average heat transfer rate as its approach to the interface of smooth to wavy vapor-liquid interface. However the highest heat transfer rate near the lower limit of film boiling influence upon the film collapse and its start from the lower corner of the vertical lateral surface in saturated film boiling. For the saturated film boiling the lower limit of film boiling is at the time of round about 95 sec and we can see the temperature contour near the lower limit of film boiling as in Fig. 4.12 (a), (b) and (c), (d). Furthermore, we have already predicted the temperature distribution of the vertical finite-cylinder of silver, with the boundary condition at the lower vertical surface of the cylinder which is divided by the grid number and the first two grid is given by the boundary condition with local heat transfer coefficient and the other grid are given by the average heat transfer rate and the boundary condition on bottom surface is the local heat transfer rate, it was found that the lowest temperature point is at the lower corner of the vertical cylinder and its agrees with the observation phenomena on film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical surface.


Fig. 4.13: (a)~(f) Predicted temperature at the center, upper edge and lower edge of the silver cylinder by using the average heat transfer coefficient in all surfaces and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and lower vertical surface


Fig. 4.13: $(\mathrm{g}) \sim(\mathrm{l})$ Predicted temperature at the center, upper edge and lower edge of the silver cylinder by using the average heat transfer coefficient in all surfaces and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and lower vertical surface
"r2-avg-sil.dat" index 15 $\qquad$
"f2.dat" index 15 261

| water at 0.1 MPa |
| :--- |
| Type $A$, silver |
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(c) $\tau=100[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$
(d) $\tau=100[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.14: (a) (d) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder by average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 K$ ( $\tau=75$ and 100 sec )
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(c) $\tau=50[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$
(d) $\tau=50[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.15: (a)~(d) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\tau=25$ and 50 sec )


Fig. 4.15: (e)~(f) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}(\tau=75$ sec )

For the subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$, the temperature contour at the time in cooling process are described as after $25 \mathrm{sec}, 50 \mathrm{sec}$ and 75 sec . In subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K}$, the lower limit of film boiling is about 65 sec and the temperature distribution near the lower limit are shown in Fig. 4.13. The difference in temperature distribution with the average and local heat transfer coefficient are compared as in Fig. 4.13 for the cooling process at $25 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{a})$, (b) for the cooling process at 50 sec , (c), (d) and for the cooling process at $75 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f})$. For the subcooling film boiling with the degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5[\mathrm{~K}]$, the lowest temperature point is at the lower corner of the vertical finitelength cylinder in both prediction with average and local heat transfer coefficient but differ in temperature difference between lower corner temperature and upper corner temperature. Temperature prediction with local heat transfer rate give lower temperature difference between these two points in subcooled film boiling.


Fig. 4.16: (a)~(d) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\tau=25$ and 50 sec )

(e) $\tau=60[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.16: (e) $\sim(f)$ Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}(\tau=60$ sec )

For the subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$, the temperature contour at the time in cooling process are described as after $25 \mathrm{sec}, 50 \mathrm{sec}$ and 60 sec . In subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K}$, the lower limit of film boiling is about 40 sec and the temperature distribution near the lower limit are shown in Fig. 4.14. The difference in temperature distribution with the average and local heat transfer coefficient are compared as in Fig. 4.14 for the cooling process at $25 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{a})$, (b) for the cooling process at 50 sec , (c), (d) and for the cooling process at $60 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f})$. For the subcooling film boiling with the degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10[\mathrm{~K}]$, the lowest temperature point is at the lower corner of the vertical finitelength cylinder in both prediction with average and local heat transfer coefficient but differ in temperature difference between lower corner temperature and upper corner temperature. Temperature prediction with local heat transfer rate give lower temperature difference between these two points in subcooled film boiling.
For the subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=15 \mathrm{~K}$, the temperature contour at the time in cooling process are described as after 25 sec and 45 sec . In subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=15 \mathrm{~K}$, the
lower limit of film boiling is about 30 sec and the temperature distribution near the lower limit are shown in Fig.4.15.


Fig. 4.17: (a) $\sim(\mathrm{d})$ Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=15 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\tau=25$ and 45 sec )

(a) $\tau=15[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$
(b) $\tau=15[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$


Fig. 4.18: (a)~(d) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\tau=15$ and 20 sec )

(e) $\tau=25[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(f) $\tau=25[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$
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(g) $\tau=30[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(h) $\tau=30[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.18: (e)~(h) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface for the $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\tau=25$ and 30 sec )

(i) $\tau=35[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(j) $\tau=35[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.18: (i) $\sim(\mathrm{j})$ Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K}(\tau=35$ sec )

For the subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{s u b}=20 \mathrm{~K}$, the temperature contour at the time in cooling process are described as after $15 \mathrm{sec}, 20 \mathrm{sec}, 25 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{sec}$ and 35 sec . In subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K}$, the lower limit of film boiling is about 20 sec and the temperature distribution near the lower limit are shown in Fig. 4.16. The difference in temperature distribution with the average and local heat transfer coefficient are compared as in Fig. 4.16 for the cooling process at $15 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{a})$, (b) for the cooling process at 20 sec , (c), (d) and for the cooling process at $25 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f})$. For the subcooling film boiling with the degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20[\mathrm{~K}]$, the lowest temperature point is at the lower corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder in both prediction with average and local heat transfer coefficient but differ in temperature difference between lower corner temperature and upper corner temperature. Temperature prediction with local heat transfer rate give lower temperature difference between these two points in subcooled film boiling.

(a) $\tau=15[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(c) $\tau=20[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(b) $\tau=15[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

(e) $\tau=25[\mathrm{~s}],\left(\bar{h}_{A}, \bar{h}_{B 1}\right)$

(f) $\tau=25[\mathrm{~s}],\left(h_{A}, h_{B 1}\right)$

Fig. 4.19: (e)~(f) Predicted temperature distribution of the silver cylinder with average and local heat transfer coefficient at bottom and vertical surface at $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 K(\tau=25$ sec )

For the subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 \mathrm{~K}$, the temperature contour at the time in cooling process are described as after $15 \mathrm{sec}, 20 \mathrm{sec}$ and 25 sec . In subcooled degree of $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 \mathrm{~K}$, the lower limit of film boiling is about 10 sec and the temperature distribution near the lower limit are shown in Fig. 4.17. The difference in temperature distribution with the average and local heat transfer coefficient are compared as in Fig. 4.17 for the cooling process at $15 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{a})$, (b) for the cooling process at 20 sec , (c), (d) and for the cooling process at $25 \mathrm{sec},(\mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{f})$. For the subcooling film boiling with the degree of subcooling, $\Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30[\mathrm{~K}]$, the lowest temperature point is at the lower corner of the vertical finitelength cylinder in both prediction with average and local heat transfer coefficient but differ in temperature difference between lower corner temperature and upper corner temperature. Temperature prediction with local heat transfer rate give lower temperature difference between these two points in subcooled film boiling.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusion

### 5.1 Conclusion and Recommendation

This thesis concern about the prediction of local heat transfer rate through the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface of the vertical finite-length cylinder at saturated and subcooled film boiling condition of water at atmospheric pressure and discuss the highest local heat transfer point with the film collapse. The heat transfer coefficient at the lower corner of the bottom surface and vertical lateral surface of the vertical cylinder are predicted at saturated condition by modification of the Shigechi et al. (1999) analysis. The present modification shows the result of average heat transfer rate through each surface of the vertical cylinder coincide with the predicted one's by Shigechi et al. Moreover, it was found that the local heat transfer coefficients at the end of downward facing bottom surface gives finite value to discuss the local heat transfer performance at the lower corner of the cylinder and the resultants local heat transfer rate the lower end of the vertical surface gives maximum value over the entire area of the cylinder surface and in good agreement with the experimental result of the vapor film collapse start at the corner of the vertical cylinder.

It can be concluded like that,
(1) Previous experimental study on film boiling heat transfer by quenching method, it was already confirmed that lower limit of film boiling and experimental average heat transfer rate through the vertical finite-length cylinder agreed $\pm 15 \%$ with the predicted total heat transfer rate of the cylinder by taking into account the average heat transfer rate through bottom, vertical lateral and upper surfaces of the cylinder. These experimental results and correlations are also in this present study.
(2) The previous author already predicted the average heat transfer rate on each surface of the vertical cylinder and temperature distribution of the vertical finite-length cylinder is already treated as the two dimensional unsteady heat conduction problem and
the boundary condition at the cylinder surfaces are given by the average heat transfer coefficients on their respective surfaces. As the the average heat transfer coefficient of the downward facing horizontal surface, $\bar{h}_{A}$, is less than half of other three heat transfer coefficients, $\bar{h}_{B 1}, \bar{h}_{B 2}$ and $\bar{h}_{C}$, and the value of vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $\bar{h}_{B 1}$, is smaller than both, $\bar{h}_{B 2}$ and $\bar{h}_{C}$. It suggested that the lowest temperature point was at the upper corner of the vertical cylinder and contrary to experimental observation on vapor film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical silver cylinder.
(3) In this study, local heat transfer performance at the lower corner of the vertical cylinder is area of interest and on the other hand, the confirmation of the vapor film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder of silver is experimentally done by investigation the cooling rate near the lower limit, solid-liquid contacts on the cylinder wall etc.
(4) To predict local heat transfer coefficient at the corner of the horizontal bottom surface and vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, the boundary condition for the physical model for the horizontal bottom surface is modified. Modification results on the position of the boundary condition at the horizontal bottom surface can give the vapor film thickness at the end of the bottom surface to be a finite value to predict local heat transfer coefficient at the end.
(5) Firestly, modification results are compared by prediction the vapor film thickness and average and local Nusselt number on the horizontal bottom surface with the previous method and modified method.
(6) For the subcooling case, in order to predict and and investigate the local heat transfer coefficients on the horizontal and vertical lateral srface, for each surfaces we applied the average enhancement factor by the liquid subcooling to the estimated local values by the method by the methods for saturated film boiling.
(7) Comparison of the experimental results on average heat flux over the entire surface of the cylinder with the average heat flux predicted by taking into account the predicted average heat transfer by the modified method shows $\pm 15 \%$ as the comparison of the previous author. Moreover, the local heat transfer coefficient at the lower end of the vertical cylinder can be predicted.
(8) The value of the local heat transfer coefficient at the lower corner of the bottom and vertical later surfaces give the highest value than any other surfaces of the cylinder and its consistent with the vapor film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical finite-length cylinder of silver in saturated and subcooled film boiling heat transsfer.
(9) Finally, the temperautre distribution of the vertical silver cylinder with diameter and length of 32 mm is treated as the two dimensional unsteady heat conduction problem and the boundary condition at the cylinder surfaces are given by the local heat transfer
coefficients on their respective grid. As the value of local heat transfer coefficient of the downward facing horizontal surface, $h_{A}$ and those of vertical lateral surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $h_{B 1}$, are the highest, its suggeste that the lowest temperature point was at the lower corner of the vertical cylinder and agreed to experimental observation on vapor film collapse start from the lower corner of the vertical silver cylinder.

### 5.2 Further Study

For the further study, the temperature distribution of the cylinder with low thermal conductivity material such as stainless steel should be predicted by the unsteady heat conduction numerical calculation. More experimental investigation about the film collapse with different approach should be treated. The more analysis on surface temperature distribution are required with the numerical simulation.
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## Appendices

Table. 5.1: Vapor film thickness at the bottom and vertical surface of the cylinder ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}$ during film boiling

| Case | $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}[\mathrm{K}]$ | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}[-]$ | $\tilde{r}_{E}[-]$ | $\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r}$ | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}, 0}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ans-Bns | 500 | 1.2750 | 0.5071 | 0.1232 | 1.0460 | 0.2269 |
|  | 400 | 1.2741 | 0.5062 | 0.1237 | 1.0369 | 0.1973 |
|  | 300 | 1.2732 | 0.5052 | 0.1242 | 1.0132 | 0.1677 |
|  | 200 | 1.2722 | 0.5043 | 0.1248 | 0.9996 | 0.1373 |
|  | 500 | 1.2724 | 0.5045 | 0.1247 | 0.6589 | 0.1433 |
|  | 400 | 1.2718 | 0.5039 | 0.1251 | 0.6532 | 0.1247 |
|  | 300 | 1.2712 | 0.5033 | 0.1255 | 0.6400 | 0.1060 |
|  | 200 | 1.2706 | 0.5027 | 0.1259 | 0.6325 | 0.0867 |
| As-Bns | 500 | 0.9668 | 0.5078 | 0.1622 | 1.14725 | 0.2482 |
|  | 400 | 0.9660 | 0.5068 | 0.1628 | 1.1373 | 0.2163 |
|  | 300 | 0.9652 | 0.5057 | 0.1636 | 1.1080 | 0.1838 |
|  | 200 | 0.9645 | 0.5047 | 0.1644 | 1.0983 | 0.1504 |
|  | 500 | 0.9646 | 0.5050 | 0.1642 | 0.7142 | 0.1571 |
|  | 400 | 0.9642 | 0.5043 | 0.1647 | 0.7164 | 0.1367 |
|  | 300 | 0.9636 | 0.5036 | 0.1653 | 0.6745 | 0.1162 |
|  | 200 | 0.9631 | 0.5030 | 0.1659 | 0.6619 | 0.0949 |

Table. 5.2: Vapor film thickness at the bottom and vertical surface of the cylinder for the different diameter at $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}=300 \mathrm{~K}$

| Case | $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{mm}]]$ | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}[-]$ | $\tilde{r}_{E}[-]$ | $\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\tilde{r} / \tilde{\delta}_{A}\right) d \tilde{r}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{B}, 0}[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ans-Bns | 8 | 1.2835 | 0.5156 | 0.11958 | 0.1248 |
|  | 10 | 1.2810 | 0.5131 | 0.1205 | 0.1311 |
|  | 15 | 1.2780 | 0.5096 | 0.1220 | 0.1439 |
|  | 32 | 1.2732 | 0.5052 | 0.1242 | 0.1677 |
|  | 45 | 1.2718 | 0.5039 | 0.1251 | 0.1755 |
|  | 50 | 1.2716 | 0.5037 | 0.1252 | 0.1840 |

Table. 5.3: Average heat transfer coefficients on each surface of the vertical cylinder

| Case Ans-Bns ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}[\mathrm{K}]$ | Lower-Surface |  | Vertical-Surface |  |  | Upper-Surface |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{A, s a t} \\ \text { (Yamada) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{A, s a t} \\ \text { (Present) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{B 1, s a t} \\ \text { (Yamada) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{B 1, s a t} \\ \text { (Present) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{B 2, s a t} \\ \mathrm{~W} /\left(m^{2} . \mathrm{K}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{h}_{C, s a t} \\ \mathrm{~W} /\left(m^{2} . \mathrm{K}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 150 | 86.656 | 83.409 | 199.955 | 200.857 | 210.124 | 205.623 |
| 200 | 84.643 | 81.471 | 190.016 | 190.777 | 204.363 | 196.083 |
| 250 | 83.687 | 80.551 | 183.543 | 184.509 | 201.088 | 189.968 |
| 300 | 83.347 | 80.224 | 179.085 | 180.106 | 199.289 | 185.846 |
| 350 | 83.402 | 80.277 | 175.922 | 176.986 | 198.456 | 183.004 |
| 400 | 83.727 | 80.589 | 173.649 | 174.580 | 198.299 | 181.040 |
| 450 | 84.245 | 81.088 | 172.013 | 173.191 | 198.635 | 179.706 |
| 500 | 84.905 | 81.723 | 170.855 | 171.963 | 199.348 | 178.842 |

Table 4. (A) Physical properties of the test cylinders

| Material | Interpolated Equ.( Applicable range: $\left.0<T<600\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| silver(pure) | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}=10501.7-0.586 T-0.134 \times 10^{-3} T^{2}$ <br> $c_{\mathrm{m}}=232.865+0.0267 T+2.641 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ <br> $k_{\mathrm{m}}=408.52-0.0633 T-1.590 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ |
| silver(ordinary) | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}=8904.3-0.218 \mathrm{~T}-0.00033 T^{2}$ <br> $c_{\mathrm{m}}=415.528+0.1559 T-4.232 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ <br> $k_{\mathrm{m}}=372.94-0.0408 T-1.777 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ |
| Aluminium(ordinary) | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}=2702.4-0.11 T-0.00015 T^{2}$ |
| $c_{\mathrm{m}}=891.863+0.4143 T+9.915 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ |  |
| $k_{\mathrm{m}}=203.29+0.0122 T-7.461 \times 10^{-5} T^{2}$ |  |

Table. 5.4: (B) The value of dimensionless vapor film thickness at the bottom surface of the cylinder ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) used to predict local heat transfer coefficient and local temperature distribution

| $\tilde{r}_{E}[-]$ | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}[-]$ | $\phi$ | $\tilde{r}_{E}[-]$ | $\tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{A}, 0}[-]$ | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 1.271200900 | 0.0000000 | 0.2625 | 1.181684338 | -0.7773943 |
| 0.0125 | 1.271021590 | -0.0287163 | 0.2750 | 1.171587751 | -0.8388792 |
| 0.0250 | 1.270482639 | -0.0575372 | 0.2875 | 1.160690184 | -0.9056915 |
| 0.0375 | 1.269582445 | -0.0865298 | 0.3000 | 1.148919395 | -0.9787676 |
| 0.0500 | 1.268318313 | -0.1157823 | 0.3125 | 1.136190092 | -1.0592699 |
| 0.0625 | 1.266686425 | -0.1453861 | 0.3250 | 1.122400661 | -1.1486623 |
| 0.0750 | 1.264681801 | -0.1754362 | 0.3375 | 1.107428771 | -1.2488168 |
| 0.0875 | 1.262298241 | -0.2060332 | 0.3500 | 1.091125350 | -1.3621714 |
| 0.1000 | 1.259528248 | -0.2372840 | 0.3625 | 1.073306144 | -1.4919661 |
| 0.1125 | 1.256362938 | -0.2693037 | 0.3750 | 1.053739562 | -1.6426107 |
| 0.1250 | 1.252791933 | -0.3022170 | 0.3875 | 1.032128654 | -1.8202779 |
| 0.1375 | 1.248803222 | -0.3361605 | 0.4000 | 1.008083391 | -2.0339042 |
| 0.1500 | 1.244383001 | -0.3712850 | 0.4125 | 0.981076098 | -2.2969706 |
| 0.1625 | 1.239515480 | -0.4077581 | 0.4250 | 0.950365849 | -2.6308910 |
| 0.1750 | 1.234182650 | -0.4457678 | 0.4375 | 0.914861057 | -3.0720282 |
| 0.1875 | 1.228364000 | -0.4855269 | 0.4500 | 0.872846101 | -3.6879430 |
| 0.2000 | 1.222036185 | -0.5272774 | 0.4625 | 0.821365059 | -4.6213975 |
| 0.2125 | 1.215172616 | -0.5712973 | 0.4750 | 0.754546402 | -6.2415068 |
| 0.2250 | 1.207742963 | -0.6179085 | 0.4875 | 0.657311693 | -9.9377775 |
| 0.2375 | 1.199712550 | -0.6674865 | 0.5000 | 0.450236075 | -33.0818316 |
| 0.2500 | 1.191041608 | -0.7204734 |  |  |  |

Table. 5.5: Local heat transfer coefficients on Horizontal Bottom surface of the vertical cylinder

| Local Heat Transfer Coefficient for Horizontal Bottom Surface, $h_{A, s a t} \mathrm{~W} /\left(m^{2} . \mathrm{K}\right)$ for Case Ans-Bns ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius [mm] | $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}[\mathrm{K}]$ |  |  |  |
|  | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 |
| 0 | 64.507 | 63.490 | 63.750 | 64.482 |
| 0.8 | 64.544 | 63.525 | 63.784 | 64.518 |
| 1.6 | 64.654 | 63.634 | 63.893 | 64.627 |
| 2.4 | 64.841 | 63.817 | 64.076 | 64.810 |
| 3.2 | 65.107 | 64.078 | 64.338 | 65.071 |
| 4.0 | 65.458 | 64.423 | 64.683 | 65.415 |
| 4.8 | 65.901 | 64.858 | 65.119 | 65.850 |
| 5.6 | 66.447 | 65.394 | 65.656 | 66.385 |
| 6.4 | 67.110 | 66.044 | 66.307 | 67.033 |
| 7.2 | 67.906 | 66.825 | 67.089 | 67.812 |
| 8.0 | 68.861 | 67.763 | 68.027 | 68.745 |
| 8.8 | 70.009 | 68.888 | 69.153 | 69.863 |
| 9.6 | 71.395 | 70.247 | 70.512 | 71.210 |
| 10.4 | 73.088 | 71.907 | 72.172 | 72.851 |
| 11.2 | 75.193 | 73.968 | 74.232 | 74.882 |
| 12.0 | 77.874 | 76.592 | 76.852 | 77.455 |
| 12.8 | 81.423 | 80.061 | 80.312 | 80.832 |
| 13.6 | 86.406 | 84.923 | 85.153 | 85.513 |
| 14.4 | 94.162 | 92.465 | 92.637 | 92.629 |
| 15.2 | 109.193 | 106.962 | 106.911 | 105.679 |
| 16.0 | 191.150 | 179.257 | 172.999 | 151.520 |

Table. 5.6: Local heat transfer coefficients at the vertical surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface of the vertical cylinder

| Local Heat Transfer Coefficient for vertical Surface with smooth vapor-liquid interface, $h_{B 1, s a t} \mathrm{~W} /\left(m^{2} . \mathrm{K}\right)$ for Case Ans-Bns ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}=32 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H [mm] | $\Delta T_{\text {sat }}[\mathrm{K}]$ |  |  |  |
|  | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 |
| 0 | 243.063 | 228.292 | 219.903 | 215.840 |
| 0.32 | 234.33 | 220.366 | 212.577 | 208.818 |
| 0.64 | 226.985 | 213.649 | 206.328 | 202.807 |
| 0.96 | 220.658 | 207.845 | 200.902 | 197.572 |
| 1.28 | 215.124 | 202.753 | 196.122 | 192.951 |
| 1.6 | 210.222 | 198.229 | 191.861 | 188.823 |
| 1.92 | 205.831 | 194.169 | 188.027 | 185.103 |
| 2.24 | 201.864 | 190.493 | 184.547 | 181.722 |
| 2.56 | 198.252 | 187.141 | 181.367 | 178.630 |
| 2.88 | 194.942 | 184.064 | 178.444 | 175.783 |
| 3.2 | 191.890 | 181.225 | 175.742 | 173.150 |
| 3.52 | 189.064 | 178.592 | 173.232 | 170.703 |
| 3.84 | 186.434 | 176.139 | 170.893 | 168.420 |
| 4.16 | 183.977 | 173.847 | 168.703 | 166.281 |
| 4.48 | 181.675 | 171.697 | 166.647 | 164.272 |
| 5.12 | 179.509 | 169.673 | 164.710 | 162.379 |
| 5.44 | 177.467 | 167.763 | 162.88 | 160.590 |
| 5.76 | 175.535 | 165.956 | 161.149 | 158.895 |
| 6.08 | 173.705 | 164.242 | 159.505 | 157.286 |
| 6.40 | 171.966 | 162.613 | 157.942 | 155.756 |
| 6.72 | 170.311 | 161.478 | 156.843 | 154.297 |
| 7.04 | 168.732 | 159.582 | 155.031 | 152.904 |
| 7.36 | 167.225 | 158.168 | 153.672 | 151.572 |
| 7.68 | 165.782 | 156.814 | 152.370 | 150.296 |
| 8.00 | 164.399 | 155.516 | 151.121 | 149.071 |

Table. 5.7: Temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder for Saturated Condition

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=20, \mathrm{~L}=20 \\ \Delta r=0.8, \Delta z=1.6, \Delta t=0.001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=20, \mathrm{~L}=20 \\ \Delta r=0.8, \Delta z=1.6, \Delta t=0.001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 571.172 | 569.211 | 567.938 | 566.693 | 563.188 | 563.861 |
| 10 | 542.749 | 540.915 | 539.728 | 534.170 | 530.927 | 531.553 |
| 15 | 515.934 | 514.218 | 513.111 | 503.771 | 500.766 | 501.349 |
| 20 | 490.630 | 489.022 | 487.989 | 475.353 | 472.567 | 473.110 |
| 25 | 466.756 | 465.248 | 464.284 | 448.784 | 446.198 | 446.705 |
| 30 | 444.224 | 442.810 | 441.908 | 423.945 | 421.544 | 422.018 |
| 35 | 422.964 | 421.637 | 420.794 | 400.722 | 398.490 | 398.932 |
| 40 | 402.900 | 401.654 | 400.866 | 379.010 | 376.935 | 377.349 |
| 45 | 383.967 | 382.797 | 382.059 | 358.714 | 356.782 | 357.170 |
| 50 | 366.104 | 365.004 | 364.313 | 339.738 | 337.940 | 338.303 |
| 55 | 349.247 | 348.213 | 347.566 | 322.005 | 320.332 | 320.672 |
| 60 | 333.344 | 332.371 | 331.766 | 305.434 | 303.875 | 304.193 |
| 65 | 318.344 | 317.429 | 316.862 | 289.949 | 288.496 | 288.795 |
| 70 | 304.194 | 303.334 | 302.802 | 275.488 | 274.135 | 274.416 |
| 75 | 290.849 | 290.040 | 289.542 | 261.986 | 260.725 | 260.988 |
| 80 | 278.269 | 277.508 | 277.042 | 249.380 | 248.206 | 248.452 |
| 85 | 266.412 | 265.696 | 265.259 | 237.619 | 236.526 | 236.757 |
| 90 | 255.237 | 254.563 | 254.154 | 226.654 | 225.635 | 225.853 |
| 95 | 244.707 | 244.073 | 243.690 | 216.433 | 215.485 | 215.689 |
| 100 | 234.793 | 234.196 | 233.838 | 206.911 | 206.029 | 206.220 |

Table. 5.8: Temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder for Saturated Condition

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sub}}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=20, \mathrm{~L}=20 \\ \Delta r=0.8, \Delta z=1.6, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sub}}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 566.694 | 563.188 | 563.861 | 566.691 | 563.194 | 563.859 |
| 10 | 534.171 | 530.927 | 531.553 | 534.167 | 530.931 | 531.549 |
| 15 | 503.772 | 500.767 | 501.350 | 503.765 | 500.767 | 501.343 |
| 20 | 475.353 | 472.567 | 473.111 | 475.343 | 472.564 | 473.101 |
| 25 | 448.785 | 446.199 | 446.706 | 448.770 | 446.191 | 446.692 |
| 30 | 423.946 | 421.545 | 422.019 | 423.927 | 421.532 | 421.999 |
| 35 | 400.723 | 398.491 | 398.933 | 400.699 | 398.472 | 398.909 |
| 40 | 379.011 | 376.936 | 377.350 | 378.982 | 376.911 | 377.321 |
| 45 | 358.715 | 356.784 | 357.171 | 358.680 | 356.754 | 357.137 |
| 50 | 339.739 | 337.942 | 338.304 | 339.699 | 337.906 | 338.265 |
| 55 | 322.007 | 320.333 | 320.673 | 321.962 | 320.292 | 320.629 |
| 60 | 305.435 | 303.876 | 304.194 | 305.385 | 303.830 | 304.145 |
| 65 | 289.950 | 288.498 | 288.796 | 289.895 | 288.446 | 288.742 |
| 70 | 275.489 | 274.136 | 274.417 | 275.430 | 274.081 | 274.358 |
| 75 | 261.987 | 260.726 | 260.989 | 261.923 | 260.666 | 260.927 |
| 80 | 249.381 | 248.207 | 248.454 | 249.314 | 248.142 | 248.387 |
| 85 | 237.621 | 236.527 | 236.758 | 237.550 | 236.459 | 236.688 |
| 90 | 226.655 | 225.636 | 225.854 | 226.581 | 225.565 | 225.780 |
| 95 | 216.434 | 215.486 | 215.690 | 216.357 | 215.411 | 215.614 |
| 100 | 206.912 | 206.030 | 206.221 | 206.833 | 205.953 | 206.143 |

Table. 5.9: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Saturated Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=0 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 571.208 | 569.254 | 567.971 | 566.693 | 563.188 | 563.861 |
| 10 | 542.819 | 540.991 | 539.796 | 534.170 | 530.927 | 531.553 |
| 15 | 516.033 | 514.322 | 513.208 | 503.771 | 500.766 | 501.349 |
| 20 | 490.753 | 489.149 | 488.110 | 475.353 | 472.567 | 473.110 |
| 25 | 466.898 | 465.395 | 464.424 | 448.784 | 446.198 | 446.705 |
| 30 | 444.382 | 442.972 | 442.065 | 423.945 | 421.544 | 422.018 |
| 35 | 423.135 | 421.811 | 420.963 | 400.722 | 398.490 | 398.932 |
| 40 | 403.082 | 401.838 | 401.045 | 379.010 | 376.935 | 377.349 |
| 45 | 384.156 | 382.987 | 382.245 | 358.714 | 356.782 | 357.170 |
| 50 | 366.298 | 365.200 | 364.505 | 339.738 | 337.940 | 338.303 |
| 55 | 349.444 | 348.411 | 347.761 | 322.005 | 320.332 | 320.672 |
| 60 | 333.542 | 332.571 | 331.962 | 305.434 | 303.875 | 304.193 |
| 65 | 318.542 | 317.628 | 317.058 | 289.949 | 288.496 | 288.795 |
| 70 | 304.391 | 303.531 | 302.997 | 275.488 | 274.135 | 274.416 |
| 75 | 290.043 | 290.234 | 289.734 | 261.986 | 260.725 | 260.988 |
| 80 | 278.460 | 277.699 | 277.230 | 249.380 | 248.206 | 248.452 |
| 85 | 266.598 | 265.882 | 265.443 | 237.619 | 236.526 | 236.757 |
| 90 | 255.418 | 254.744 | 254.333 | 226.581 | 225.565 | 225.780 |
| 95 | 244.882 | 244.248 | 243.864 | 216.357 | 215.411 | 215.614 |
| 100 | 234.961 | 234.364 | 234.005 | 206.833 | 205.953 | 206.143 |

Table. 5.10: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Subcooled Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=5 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\mathrm{sub}}=5 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 567.173 | 564.418 | 564.605 | 561.392 | 556.046 | 558.917 |
| 10 | 535.548 | 532.495 | 532.793 | 523.548 | 518.492 | 521.324 |
| 15 | 505.216 | 502.283 | 502.685 | 488.060 | 483.265 | 486.065 |
| 20 | 476.504 | 473.681 | 474.181 | 454.771 | 450.211 | 452.987 |
| 25 | 449.321 | 446.599 | 447.191 | 423.532 | 419.185 | 421.941 |
| 30 | 423.582 | 420.951 | 421.632 | 394.204 | 390.047 | 392.792 |
| 35 | 399.201 | 396.654 | 397.419 | 366.662 | 362.676 | 365.416 |
| 40 | 376.105 | 373.633 | 374.480 | 340.779 | 336.947 | 339.687 |
| 45 | 354.218 | 351.815 | 352.740 | 316.451 | 312.755 | 315.503 |
| 50 | 333.471 | 331.130 | 332.131 | 293.563 | 289.987 | 292.750 |
| 55 | 313.803 | 311.517 | 312.593 | 272.023 | 268.553 | 271.338 |
| 60 | 295.146 | 292.908 | 294.059 | 251.730 | 248.348 | 251.166 |
| 65 | 277.477 | 275.253 | 274.477 | 232.594 | 229.288 | 232.146 |
| 70 | 260.650 | 258.492 | 259.790 | 214.530 | 211.281 | 214.193 |
| 75 | 244.695 | 242.568 | 243.941 | 197.441 | 194.230 | 197.213 |
| 80 | 229.540 | 227.437 | 228.887 | 181.241 | 178.050 | 181.121 |
| 85 | 215.132 | 213.047 | 214.576 | 165.828 | 162.626 | 165.817 |
| 90 | 201.417 | 199.342 | 200.956 | 151.071 | 147.815 | 151.174 |

Table. 5.11: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Subcooled Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=10 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 562.414 | 557.882 | 559.704 | 554.402 | 547.123 | 552.330 |
| 10 | 525.074 | 520.683 | 522.648 | 509.499 | 502.542 | 507.726 |
| 15 | 489.599 | 485.334 | 487.437 | 467.199 | 460.527 | 465.702 |
| 20 | 455.882 | 451.727 | 453.965 | 475.353 | 472.567 | 473.110 |
| 25 | 423.813 | 419.756 | 422.124 | 389.666 | 383.455 | 388.658 |
| 30 | 393.289 | 389.317 | 391.814 | 354.081 | 348.048 | 353.294 |
| 35 | 364.218 | 360.318 | 362.945 | 320.387 | 314.501 | 319.808 |
| 40 | 336.497 | 332.655 | 335.414 | 288.411 | 282.635 | 288.032 |
| 45 | 310.040 | 306.242 | 309.139 | 257.981 | 252.275 | 257.797 |
| 50 | 284.745 | 280.978 | 284.018 | 228.896 | 223.212 | 228.905 |
| 55 | 260.526 | 256.772 | 259.966 | 200.922 | 195.186 | 201.129 |
| 60 | 237.271 | 233.512 | 236.876 | 173.724 | 167.824 | 174.147 |

Table. 5.12: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Subcooled Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=15 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=15 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower <br> Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 556.564 | 550.732 | 554.124 | 546.872 | 537.755 | 545.173 |
| 10 | 513.241 | 507.558 | 511.132 | 494.304 | 485.538 | 492.959 |
| 15 | 471.911 | 466.357 | 470.110 | 444.529 | 436.059 | 443.510 |
| 20 | 432.439 | 426.992 | 430.926 | 397.306 | 389.076 | 396.590 |
| 25 | 394.695 | 389.332 | 393.451 | 352.385 | 344.338 | 351.957 |
| 30 | 358.543 | 353.242 | 357.556 | 309.502 | 301.573 | 309.352 |
| 35 | 323.838 | 318.576 | 323.096 | 268.347 | 260.458 | 268.471 |
| 40 | 290.429 | 285.173 | 289.924 | 228.523 | 220.553 | 228.930 |
| 45 | 258.145 | 252.855 | 257.874 | 189.408 | 181.139 | 190.131 |

Table. 5.13: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Subcooled Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=20 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 548.911 | 541.829 | 546.690 | 537.489 | 526.622 | 536.100 |
| 10 | 497.693 | 490.768 | 495.863 | 475.271 | 464.782 | 474.302 |
| 15 | 448.540 | 441.741 | 447.076 | 415.931 | 405.734 | 415.354 |
| 20 | 401.267 | 394.558 | 400.148 | 359.101 | 349.100 | 358.896 |
| 25 | 355.667 | 349.006 | 354.876 | 304.333 | 294.409 | 304.494 |
| 30 | 311.495 | 304.831 | 311.022 | 250.997 | 240.966 | 251.536 |
| 35 | 268.434 | 261.696 | 268.279 | 197.981 | 187.463 | 198.956 |

Table. 5.14: Comparison of temperature distribution at the surface of the cylinder with Average and Local heat transfer coefficient for Subcooled Film Boiling

| Calculation Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Heat Transfer Coefficient on each surface of the cylinder$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Local Heat Transfer Coefficient at the bottom and lower vertical surface$\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {sub }}=30 \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{D}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~L}=32 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \mathrm{M}=40, \mathrm{~L}=40 \\ \Delta r=0.4, \Delta z=0.8, \Delta t=0.0001 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Time[sec] | Center <br> Temp; <br> [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Center <br> Temp; <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Lower Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Upper Corner Temp; $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ |
| 0 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 | 600.000 |
| 5 | 533.216 | 524.039 | 531.113 | 518.895 | 504.999 | 517.746 |
| 10 | 465.576 | 456.558 | 463.987 | 437.116 | 423.645 | 436.555 |
| 15 | 399.807 | 390.869 | 398.702 | 357.826 | 344.531 | 357.796 |
| 20 | 335.420 | 326.457 | 334.783 | 279.818 | 266.367 | 280.333 |
| 25 | 271.631 | 262.460 | 271.466 | 200.527 | 186.032 | 201.699 |

