

1 **Functionally-Validated *SCN5A* Variants Allow Interpretation of**
2 **Pathogenicity and Prediction of Lethal Events in Brugada Syndrome**

3

4

EURHEARTJ-D-20-04773R3

5

6 **Authors**

7 Taisuke Ishikawa,*¹ Hiroki Kimoto,*² Hiroyuki Mishima,³ Kenichiro Yamagata,⁴ Soshiro

8 Ogata,⁵ Yoshiyasu Aizawa,⁶ Kenshi Hayashi,⁷ Hiroshi Morita,⁸ Tadashi Nakajima,⁹ Yukiko

9 Nakano,¹⁰ Satoshi Nagase,¹¹ Nobuyuki Murakoshi,¹² Shinya Kowase,¹³ Kimie Ohkubo,¹⁴

10 Takeshi Aiba,¹⁵ Shimpei Morimoto,¹⁶ Seiko Ohno,¹⁷ Shiro Kamakura,⁴ Akihiko Nogami,¹²

11 Masahiko Takagi,¹⁸ Matilde Karakachoff,¹⁹ Christian Dina,²⁰ Jean-Jacques Schott,²⁰ Koh-

12 Ichiro Yoshiura,³ Minoru Horie,²¹ Wataru Shimizu,²² Kunihiro Nishimura,⁵ Kengo Kusano,⁴

13 Naomasa Makita¹

14

15 *: These authors are equally contributed to this work.

16

17 **Affiliations**

18 1. Omics Research Center, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

- 1 2. Department of Molecular Physiology, Nagasaki University Graduate School of
2 Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan
- 3 3. Department of Human Genetics, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical
4 Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan
- 5 4. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular
6 Center, Suita, Japan
- 7 5. Department of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, National Cerebral and
8 Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan
- 9 6. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, International University of Health and
10 Welfare, Narita, Japan
- 11 7. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kanazawa University Graduate School of
12 Medical Sciences, Kanazawa, Japan
- 13 8. Department of Cardiovascular therapeutics, Okayama University Graduate School of
14 Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan
- 15 9. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Gunma University Graduate School of
16 Medicine, Maebashi, Japan
- 17 10. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan
- 18 11. Department of Advanced Arrhythmia and Translational Medical Science, National
19 Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

- 1 12. Department of Cardiology, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan.
- 2 13. Department of Heart Rhythm Management, Yokohama Rosai Hospital, Yokohama,
- 3 Japan
- 4 14. Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Nihon University School of
- 5 Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
- 6 15. Department of Clinical Laboratory, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center,
- 7 Suita, Japan
- 8 16. Innovation Platform & Office for Precision Medicine, Nagasaki University Graduate
- 9 School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan
- 10 17. Department of Bioscience and Genetics, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center,
- 11 Suita, Japan
- 12 18. Division of Cardiac Arrhythmia, Kansai Medical University, Moriguchi, Japan
- 13 19. L'institut du thorax, CHU Nantes, Nantes, France
- 14 20. L'institut du thorax, INSERM, CNRS, UNIV Nantes, Nantes, France
- 15 21. Center for Epidemiologic Research in Asia, Shiga University of Medical Science,
- 16 Ohtsu, Japan
- 17 22. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan
- 18
- 19 **Corresponding author contact information:**

1 Naomasa Makita, MD, PhD
2 Deputy Director of Research Institute and Director of Omics Research Center
3 National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center
4 6-1 Kishibe-Shimmachi, Suita 564-8565, Japan.
5 Tel: +81-6-6170-1070, Fax: +81-6-6170-1602
6 E-mail: makitanaomasa@gmail.com

7

8 **Funding**

9 This work was supported by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
10 (AMED; JP21kk0305011 to NM) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI
11 Grants (JP18KK0245 and JP18H02808 to NM and JP20K08416 to TI).

12

13 **Acknowledgments**

14 We would like to thank the attending physicians for data collections and referring patients
15 with BrS, and Chisa Hayashida, Saori Nakano and Hiromi Noda for technical assistance.

16

17 **Disclosure**

18 All authors have submitted the ICMJE form for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest.
19 HM reports an endowed chair from Japan Medtronic during the conduct of the study. AN
20 reports grants from Medtronic and DVx, personal fees from Abbott, Johnson and Johnson,

1 Daiichi-Sankyo, Bayer, and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. MT
2 reports personal fees from Daiichi-Sankyo, Bayer Japan, Bristol-Myers Squibb,
3 Boehringer Ingelheim, Japan Lifeline, Biotronik Japan, Abbott Medical Japan, and
4 Medtronic Japan outside the submitted work. The other authors have no conflict of interest
5 to declare.

6

7 **Data availability**

8 The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding
9 author.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Aims**

3 The prognostic value of genetic variants for predicting lethal arrhythmic events (LAEs) in
4 Brugada syndrome (BrS) remains controversial. We investigated whether the functional
5 curation of *SCN5A* variations improves the prognostic predictability.

6 **Methods and results**

7 Using a heterologous expression system and whole-cell patch clamp, we functionally
8 characterised 22 variants of unknown significance (VUS) among 55 *SCN5A* mutations
9 previously curated using *in silico* prediction algorithms in Japanese BrS registry (n=415).
10 According to the loss-of-function (LOF) properties, *SCN5A* mutation carriers (n=60) were
11 divided into two groups: LOF-*SCN5A* mutations and non-LOF *SCN5A* variations.
12 Functionally proven LOF-*SCN5A* mutation carriers (n=45) showed significantly severer
13 ECG conduction abnormalities and worse prognosis associated with earlier manifestations
14 of LAEs (7.9%/year) than *in silico* algorithm-predicted *SCN5A* carriers (5.1%/year) or all
15 BrS probands (2.5%/year). Notably, non-LOF *SCN5A* variation carriers (n=15) exhibited
16 no LAEs during the follow-up period. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that only LOF-
17 *SCN5A* mutations and a history of aborted cardiac arrest were significant predictors of
18 LAE. Gene-based association studies using whole-exome sequencing data on another
19 independent *SCN5A* mutation-negative BrS cohort (n=288) showed no significant

1 enrichment of rare variants in 16,985 genes including 22 non-*SCN5A* BrS-associated genes
2 as compared with control (n=372). Furthermore, rare variations of non-*SCN5A* BrS-
3 associated genes did not affect the LAE-free survival curves.

4 **Conclusion**

5 *In vitro* functional validation is key to classifying the pathogenicity of *SCN5A* VUSs and
6 for risk stratification of genetic predictors of LAE. Functionally proven LOF-*SCN5A*
7 mutations are genetic burdens of the sudden death in BrS, but the evidence for other BrS-
8 associated genes is elusive.

9

10 **Keywords:** Brugada syndrome; *SCN5A* mutations; lethal arrhythmia; variants of unknown
11 significance; whole-exome sequencing; patch-clamp

12

13 **Translational Perspectives**

14 *SCN5A* mutations are associated with the risk of lethal arrhythmia in Brugada syndrome
15 (BrS), however, nearly 70% of BrS-associated *SCN5A* rare variations registered in ClinVar
16 are classified as variants of unknown significance, requiring curation strategies to
17 accurately differentiate pathogenic and benign variations to predict patient prognosis. As a
18 monogenic trait, functionally validated loss-of-function *SCN5A* mutations, but not rare
19 coding variants of other BrS-associated genes, are genetic risks of lethal arrhythmias in

1 BrS. Although the contribution of polygenetic factors in BrS warrants further
2 investigations, these results may help to develop a new personalised risk stratification
3 paradigm for sudden cardiac death.

4

5

6

7

8

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Brugada syndrome (BrS) is a rare heritable arrhythmia characterised by the coved-type ST
3 segment elevation in the right precordial leads and an increased risk of sudden cardiac
4 death due to lethal ventricular arrhythmia.¹ Mutations in *SCN5A*, encoding cardiac sodium
5 channel (Nav1.5), are identified in approximately 20% of cases; however, the predictive
6 value of *SCN5A* mutations for subsequent lethal arrhythmic events (LAEs) remains
7 controversial. Specifically, *SCN5A* mutations were not associated with LAE in European
8 BrS cohorts,^{2, 3} whereas our Japanese multicentre BrS cohort study has previously
9 demonstrated that 60 probands carrying 55 different *SCN5A* mutations exhibited their first
10 LAE at younger ages (34 versus 42 years) than probands without *SCN5A* mutations
11 (n=355).⁴ Observations consistent with those of the latter study were reported in an Italian
12 BrS cohort.⁵ The selection bias of patients⁴ or demonstrated transethnic differences in the
13 phenotypic severity of BrS, and the frequency of *SCN5A* variations⁶ may underlie the
14 discrepancy of the aforementioned studies. Nevertheless, and more importantly, *SCN5A*
15 mutations often exhibit incomplete penetrance in BrS, and this gene is associated with a
16 relatively high background rate of rare missense variants in the general population (2%–
17 5%). Moreover, efforts to enhance the classification of *SCN5A* missense variants using
18 protein topology-driven estimated predictive assessments or *in silico* prediction algorithms
19 are limited compared with those for other major cardiac channelopathy genes, such as

1 *KCNQ1/KCNH2*.⁷ Therefore, risk assessment of *SCN5A* variants using phenotypic data of
2 a single variant carrier remains challenging, and an inaccurate classification of rare
3 variants might have obscured the prognostic value of *SCN5A*.

4 The American Collage of Medical Genetics and Genomics and Association for
5 Molecular Pathology (ACMG-AMP) guidelines provide approaches for a more appropriate
6 classification of pathogenic variants, and “functional studies supporting a deleterious
7 effect (PS3)” are assigned one of four criteria with “strong evidence” of pathogenicity.⁸

8 Loss-of-function (LOF) of cardiac Na current (I_{Na}) due to *SCN5A* mutations is the primary
9 pathophysiology underpinning BrS. A previous functional study has shown that patients
10 carrying null *SCN5A* mutations are associated with more frequent episodes of syncope and
11 more severe conduction abnormalities than those with other types of *SCN5A* mutations.⁹

12 More recently, Glazer *et al.* have shown that patch clamp enables reclassification of
13 variants of unknown significance (VUSs) of *SCN5A*.¹⁰ These studies suggest that the *in*
14 *vitro* functional re-evaluation of *SCN5A* variations may improve LAE predictability in
15 BrS. In the present study, we have conducted a PubMed search and re-evaluated the
16 function of 55 *SCN5A* rare variants previously curated via multiple *in silico* prediction
17 algorithms in a Japanese BrS cohort⁴ and determined whether the functionally proven
18 *SCN5A* mutations may improve the predictive value for LAE in patients with BrS.

1 Increasing evidence suggests that BrS is unlikely to be a Mendelian monogenic
2 disease but rather an oligogenic disorder involving multiple rare and nonrare variants, as
3 well as structural abnormalities and inflammation, contributing to the underlying basis of
4 disease.¹¹ A previous international genome-wide association study had identified three
5 common independent susceptibility variants close to *SCN5A*, *SCN10A*, and *HEY2*,¹² and to
6 date, more than 20 genes have been reported to be associated with BrS.¹³ Although no
7 significant enrichment of these rare coding variants, except for *SCN5A*, were observed in
8 BrS cases¹⁴ and the ClinGen consortium recently reported *SCN5A* as the only causative
9 gene with definitive evidence for the diagnosis of BrS,¹³ the predictive value of non-
10 *SCN5A* coding variants for the long-term prognosis of BrS—i.e. LAE and sudden cardiac
11 death—has never been evaluated. In this study, we performed whole-exome sequencing on
12 a distinct *SCN5A*-negative BrS cohort to identify novel pathological rare variants and
13 assessed if non-*SCN5A* rare coding variants contribute to the genetic burden of sudden
14 death in BrS.

15

16 **METHODS**

17 **Patients and study cohorts**

18 The diagnosis of BrS was made according to the criteria of a consensus report,¹⁵ and LAE
19 was defined as sudden cardiac death, cardiac arrest, ventricular tachycardia (VT)/ventricular

1 fibrillation (VF), or appropriate discharge of implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD).
2 Clinical characteristics including time to first LAE and electrocardiographic (ECG)
3 parameters were obtained as previously described.⁴ This study was approved by the
4 institutional review board (National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, R19048) and local
5 ethics committee of each institution. All participants in the cohorts provided written informed
6 consent before clinical and genetic investigations in accordance with the Declaration of
7 Helsinki.

8 This study consisted of two independent Japanese multicentre BrS cohorts, specifically BrS
9 cohort-I (415 probands)⁴ and BrS cohort-II (288 unrelated probands), and 372 ethnic-
10 matched controls (Figure 1, Table 1). In BrS cohort-I, 415 BrS probands were assigned as
11 *SCN5A*-mutation carriers (*SCN5A*(+); n=60) and *SCN5A*-mutation negative probands
12 (*SCN5A*(-); n=355) based on Sanger sequencing as previously described.⁴ We enrolled
13 independent Japanese BrS probands (cohort-II), whose negative *SCN5A* genotype statuses
14 were determined in advance by Sanger sequencing. BrS cohort-II and the control Japanese
15 subjects were subjected to whole-exome sequencing and gene-wise association test. *In silico*
16 prediction of *SCN5A* variants was performed using seven algorithms as previously
17 described.^{4,7} Further information is provided in the Supplemental Methods.

18

19 [Figure 1, Table 1]

1

2 **Assignment and functional evaluation of 22 variants of unknown significance**

3 We performed public database screening and PubMed literature search for 55 variations
4 reported in BrS cohort-I and identified 22 VUSs. To functionally evaluate the Na channel
5 properties of 22 VUSs, we constructed human *SCN5A* expression plasmids of VUSs using
6 site-directed mutagenesis, and I_{Na} of HEK293T cells were recorded using the whole-cell
7 patch-clamp technique using a heterologous expression system. After analysing the
8 biophysical properties of 22 VUS channels, the 55 variants were categorised into two
9 groups, LOF and non-LOF, according to the presence or absence of significantly reduced
10 peak I_{Na} density than wild-type (WT) *SCN5A*, respectively. Detailed information is
11 provided in the Supplemental Methods.

12

13 **Statistical analyses**

14 Quantitative variables are shown as the mean±standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise
15 stated. Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$. For the statistical analysis of continuous
16 variables with a normal distribution, one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni's
17 post-hoc comparison tests were used. The cumulative probability of an index LAE over the
18 course of patient follow-up or their entire lifetime was determined using Kaplan–Meier
19 methods for each subgroup, and the difference in survival rates was analysed using a log-

1 rank test. Univariate analysis using a Cox proportional-hazards model was performed to
2 determine variables that improve the prediction of LAE. Independent variables with $P < 0.05$
3 in the univariate analyses were included in the multivariate analysis. Statistical analyses were
4 performed using the R programme (ver 4.0.2) and SPSS statistical package (ver 26).

5

6 **RESULTS**

7 **Functional classification of BrS-associated 55 *SCN5A* variations**

8 A PubMed search had identified 21 publications that described the biophysical properties of
9 22 *SCN5A* variations (17 missense, one in-frame deletion, and four nonsense variations)
10 (Figure 1, Supplemental Table S1). Moreover, among 55 variations, 11 were novel null
11 variants (two nonsense, six frame-shift, three canonical splice site) classified as PVS1 (very
12 strong evidence of pathogenicity) according to the ACMG-AMP guidelines.⁸ Accordingly, the
13 remaining 22 missense variations were assigned as VUS (Table 1). The functional properties
14 of each VUS were analysed using whole-cell patch-clamp assays (Supplemental Figure S1)
15 and categorised into two groups according to the degree of peak I_{Na} reduction: LOF
16 (significantly reduced I_{Na} density compared with WT; $n=13$), and Non-LOF (no significant
17 difference compared with WT; $n=9$), and the border zone of LOF and Non-LOF was
18 53.2%–65.6% (Supplemental Table S2). Since the experimental conditions of current study
19 and previous patch-clamp studies were largely similar (Supplemental Table S3), both data

1 were combined with null PVS1 mutations (n=11) to classify a total of 55 variants according
2 to their biophysical properties as follows: LOF (n=40) and Non-LOF (n=15) (Figure 2,
3 Supplemental Table S4). The locations of the 55 variants, illustrated based on Nav1.5 protein
4 topology, exhibited diffuse distribution within the entire protein.

5

6 [Figure 2]

7

8 **Correlation between functional severity of *SCN5A* variations and ECG parameters**

9 Among different ECG parameters, cardiac conduction properties (P, QRS, S durations and
10 PQ interval) were significantly prolonged in the LOF compared to the Non-LOF or
11 *SCN5A*(-) (Supplemental Figure S2). However, no significant differences were observed in
12 these parameters between Non-LOF and *SCN5A*(-), suggesting that the conduction
13 parameters reflect the severity of sodium channel dysfunction associated with *SCN5A*
14 variations (Supplemental Table S5). Alternatively, other electrophysiological and clinical
15 findings were largely comparable among the three groups (Supplemental Figure S3).

16

17 **Lethal arrhythmic events associated with the severity of sodium channel dysfunction**

18 A total of 62 probands (15%) developed LAEs during the mean follow-up period of 72
19 months. Notably, none of the Non-LOF probands developed LAEs during follow-up. (Figure

1 3) Furthermore, LOF exhibited significantly more frequent total lifetime events and ICD
2 implantation than Non-LOF. Most LAEs (n=56, 90%) were terminated by appropriate ICD
3 discharges, and LAE-free rates by Kaplan–Meier analysis was comparable regardless of the
4 ICD discharge. These data suggest that an appropriate ICD discharge serves as a surrogate for
5 sudden cardiac death in BrS (Supplemental Figure S4); therefore, it was hypothesized that the
6 prognosis of BrS patients can be discriminated based on LOF properties of the *SCN5A*
7 variants

8
9 [Figure 3]

10
11 Based on this assumption, we calculated the cumulative rate of an index LAE during
12 the mean follow-up period of 72 months (range, 1–249 months) using the Kaplan–Meier
13 method for several subgroups with different statuses with respect to Na channel properties
14 (Figure 4). Patients carrying *SCN5A* rare variants (*SCN5A*(+); n=60) had a significantly
15 higher annual LAE rate than *SCN5A*(-) (n=355; 5.1%/year versus 2.2%/year; P=0.017, Figure
16 4A, Table 2), as previously reported.⁴ The estimated mean LAE-free periods (mean±standard
17 error (SE)) for patients of *SCN5A*(+) and *SCN5A*(-) were 136.6±12.9 months and 210.8±6.0
18 months, respectively. As shown in Figure 4B, none of Non-LOF subgroup developed LAEs
19 during the follow-up period, whereas the LOF subgroup had a significantly higher LAE rate

1 (7.9%/year, P=0.019; Figure 4B, Table 2, Supplemental Table S6) and a shorter LAE-free
2 period (94.5±10.7 months). By combining Non-LOF and *SCN5A*(-) results, we re-evaluated
3 the survival curves of patients with or without LOF-*SCN5A* mutations (Figure 4C) and found
4 that the LOF subgroup exhibited a significantly higher annual LAE rate, and shorter
5 estimated mean LAE-free period than the Non-LOF plus *SCN5A*(-) (2.1%/year, 208.8±5.9
6 months, n=370; P=0.0001, Figure 4C, Table 2). Qualitatively similar results were obtained
7 from Kaplan-Meier analysis with shorter follow-up period (<107 months) (Supplemental
8 Figure S5)

9
10 [Figure 4; Table 2]

11 12 **Reclassification of *SCN5A* variations and the predictability of LAEs**

13 Univariate analysis using a Cox proportional hazard model showed that the positive status of
14 both functionally-validated LOF-*SCN5A* mutations and *in silico* algorithm-predicted rare
15 *SCN5A* variations are significant predictors of LAE, but the former exhibited a higher hazard
16 ratio than the latter (Table 3, Supplemental Table S6).⁴ Multivariate analyses were then
17 performed using independent variables with P<0.05 in Table 3A (with two different *SCN5A*
18 statuses) . A history of aborted cardiac arrest was the strongest predictor of LAE regardless of
19 the *SCN5A* status. Moreover, *SCN5A* variant status was a significant predictor of LAE, and

1 the predictive values of functionally-validated LOF-*SCN5A* mutations was higher than that of
2 *in silico*-predicted rare *SCN5A* variations demonstrated previously.⁴ In contrast, prolonged
3 QRS, or documented atrial fibrillation were not predictors of LAE in BrS.

4

5 [Table 3]

6

7 **Genome-wide screening and risk stratification of BrS-associated genes other than**
8 ***SCN5A***

9 To determine which genes besides *SCN5A* carry burden of rare genetic variations in BrS
10 cases versus controls, we performed whole-exome sequencing on a distinct Japanese cohort of
11 *SCN5A*(-) BrS (BrS cohort-II, n=288) and controls (n=372). Then we performed genome-wide
12 gene-wise association tests using rare variations using two different cut-off values of minor-
13 allele frequency (<1% and <0.3%), however, we failed to identify novel genes significantly
14 enriched with rare coding variations among the entire set of genes in BrS cohort-II
15 (Supplemental Figure S6) or previously recognised 22 non-*SCN5A* BrS-associated genes.¹³
16 (Supplement Tables S7, S8) We assessed whether rare coding variants of 22 BrS-associated
17 genes with limited evidence modify the prognosis of BrS; lifetime cumulative LAE-free rates
18 were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method. However, Log-rank tests showed that these rare
19 variants did not affect the age of initial LAE in the BrS cohort-II (Figure 5). Even when

1 focusing on genes that are known to modulate cardiac Na channel function, rare variants of
2 these genes were not enriched in cases nor affected the prognosis of BrS cohort-II
3 (Supplemental Figure S7, Supplemental Table S8). Thus, we find no evidence supporting an
4 association between the BrS-associated non-*SCN5A* genes and sudden arrhythmic death.

5

6 [Figure 5]

7

8 **DISCUSSION**

9 In this study, we aimed to dissect the genetic basis of BrS by conducting
10 electrophysiological evaluations of *SCN5A* variations and have demonstrated that
11 functionally-validated LOF-*SCN5A* mutations, not rare coding variations of other BrS-
12 related genes, are associated with genetic risks of lethal arrhythmia in BrS. In addition to a
13 history of aborted cardiac arrest being the strongest, and most well-established predictor of
14 future LAEs in patients with BrS, we have demonstrated, to the best of our knowledge, for
15 the first time that LOF-*SCN5A* mutations are an independent and significant predictor of
16 sudden death.

17 Advances in genetic sequencing have increased the potential yield of genetic testing,
18 while raising the clinical dilemma of the discovery of many VUSs compromising the
19 accuracy of variant interpretation. The pathogenicity of *SCN5A* variants in BrS has often

1 been unknown or disputed; 67.5% of the total 1,140 BrS-associated *SCN5A* variations
2 submitted to ClinVar are classified as either of uncertain significance, no assertion
3 provided, or conflicting interpretations.¹⁶ These VUSs are often specific to a particular
4 family, and their penetrance and expressivity are highly variable in BrS,¹ hampering the
5 annotation of their pathogenicity through segregation analysis. In the ACMG-AMP
6 guidelines, the evidence level of pathogenicity for “absent in population databases” is
7 assigned as moderate (PM2), while that of “*in silico* prediction algorithms” is assigned as
8 supporting (PP3). Specificity of *in silico* algorithms to predict the pathogenicity of
9 missense variants is generally low despite their high sensitivity,⁸ resulting in the
10 overprediction of missense variations as deleterious. Recent studies, using purely *in silico*
11 analyses, including systematic evaluation using the ACMG-AMP guidelines, failed to
12 predict the disease risk of *SCN5A* variants in BrS.^{17, 18} These results support the
13 observation of our study that 27% of the *SCN5A* missense VUSs (15/55) were
14 overpredicted *in silico*, therefore implicating the need for additional reliable tools to
15 improve the annotation of pathogenicity for large numbers of *SCN5A* VUSs. In this study,
16 we propose that the functional evaluation of *SCN5A* VUSs using a patch-clamp study
17 might be an efficient strategy to aid the differentiation of malignant variants associated
18 with predisposition to sudden death from those that are innocuous (Graphic abstract).

1 Among the 55 functionally reclassified *SCN5A* variants, including 22 VUSs, most
2 (40 variants, 73%, LOF) showed a significant reduction in the peak I_{Na} than WT-*SCN5A*,
3 which was associated with more severe abnormalities in ECG conduction parameters
4 (Supplemental Figure S2), and an earlier manifestation of LAEs (Figure 4). Note that our
5 *in vitro* functional classification of *SCN5A* variants according to the significant I_{Na} density
6 reduction (LOF vs Non-LOF) successfully dissected the cumulative risk of LAEs in the 60
7 carriers during the follow-up period (Figure 4B). The close relationship between the
8 degree of *SCN5A* Na channel dysfunction and the phenotypic severity has been previously
9 reported; *SCN5A* truncation mutation carriers were found to have more syncopal episodes
10 and prolonged cardiac conduction abnormalities than missense mutation carriers.⁹ Another
11 Italian study of 92 BrS patients identified four *SCN5A* mutations (R104Q, L276Q,
12 E1225K, and A1428S) in 12 patients with LAE during follow-up,⁵ and our dataset
13 included BrS probands carrying the identical LOF mutations (Supplemental Table S4).
14 These observations further support the notion that LOF-*SCN5A* mutations are
15 phenotypically malignant and associated with LAE, while the reduction in peak I_{Na} density
16 serves as the principal predictor of BrS disease risk. Further functional evaluations and
17 larger scale clinical studies involving more *SCN5A*-positive cases are warranted to prove
18 this hypothesis.

1 Although more than 20 non-*SCN5A* associated genes have been recognised in BrS,
2 precise interpretation of the pathogenicity of rare variations of these genes is often
3 challenging. Using rare variant burden analysis of BrS-associated genes, Le Scouarnec *et*
4 *al.* identified a significant enrichment of *SCN5A* coding variants only in BrS cases than
5 controls, but not those of other BrS-associated genes.¹⁴ Using an evidence-based ClinGen
6 approach, Hosseini *et al.* concluded that *SCN5A* is the only gene classified with definitive
7 evidence of disease causality in BrS.¹³ Herein, we used whole-exome sequencing in a
8 larger cohort of BrS patients lacking *SCN5A* mutations and demonstrated that the rare
9 coding variations of non-*SCN5A* BrS-associated genes were neither enriched in BrS
10 (Supplemental Figure S6), nor modified the long-term prognosis of BrS patients (Figure
11 5). Our data further support the notion that LOF-*SCN5A* mutations, but not rare coding
12 variants of other BrS-susceptible genes, are the genetic burden of LAE in BrS.

13 The absence of LOF-*SCN5A* mutations in a given patient with BrS does not
14 necessarily suggest a benign prognosis since the disease presentation is affected by several
15 factors, including age, sex, common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) near
16 *SCN5A/SCN10A/HEY2* genes,¹² and structural abnormalities including fibrosis and
17 inflammation.¹¹ Considering that most (~80%) patients with BrS are mutation-negative, it
18 is speculated that the genetic risk of sudden death is also determined by both monogenic
19 factors (rare LOF-*SCN5A* mutations) and polygenic factors (unidentified common

1 variants) (Graphic abstract). Although SNPs associated with sudden death or lethal
2 arrhythmia have not been elucidated in BrS, it is possible that the polygenetic contribution
3 of BrS-associated common SNPs in *SCN5A*-negative BrS may be equivalent to or even
4 greater than in *SCN5A*-positive BrS.¹⁹

5

6 **Study limitations**

7 Patients in this study were exclusively of Japanese descent, and limited in number;
8 therefore, our study should be replicated using larger cohorts of different ethnicities.

9 Electrophysiological properties of the variants were analysed based on heterologous
10 expression; however, some *SCN5A* variants might exhibit different properties in HEK293T
11 cells as compared with those in cardiomyocytes or *in vivo*.²⁰

12

13 **CONCLUSIONS**

14 *In vitro* functional validation is a key method to classify the pathogenicity of *SCN5A*
15 VUSs. Functionally-validated LOF-*SCN5A* mutations contribute to the genetic burden of
16 sudden death in BrS. Integrating the genetic information of LOF-*SCN5A* mutations with
17 other rare or polygenic common risk variations, which are currently unknown, may help to
18 develop a new personalised risk stratification paradigm for the complex oligogenic
19 disease, BrS.

1 **REFERENCES**

- 2 1. Brugada J, Campuzano O, Arbelo E, Sarquella-Brugada G, Brugada R. Present Status
3 of Brugada Syndrome: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol
4 2018;72:1046-1059.
- 5 2. Probst V, Veltmann C, Eckardt L, Meregalli PG, Gaita F, Tan HL, Babuty D, Sacher F,
6 Giustetto C, Schulze-Bahr E, Borggrefe M, Haissaguerre M, Mabo P, Le Marec H,
7 Wolpert C, Wilde AA. Long-term prognosis of patients diagnosed with Brugada
8 syndrome: Results from the FINGER Brugada Syndrome Registry. Circulation
9 2010;121:635-43.
- 10 3. Calo L, Giustetto C, Martino A, Sciarra L, Cerrato N, Marziali M, Rauzino J, Carlino
11 G, de Ruvo E, Guerra F, Rebecchi M, Lanzillo C, Anselmino M, Castro A, Turreni F,
12 Penco M, Volpe M, Capucci A, Gaita F. A New Electrocardiographic Marker of Sudden
13 Death in Brugada Syndrome: The S-Wave in Lead I. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:1427-
14 1440.
- 15 4. Yamagata K, Horie M, Aiba T, Ogawa S, Aizawa Y, Ohe T, Yamagishi M, Makita N,
16 Sakurada H, Tanaka T, Shimizu A, Hagiwara N, Kishi R, Nakano Y, Takagi M,
17 Makiyama T, Ohno S, Fukuda K, Watanabe H, Morita H, Hayashi K, Kusano K,
18 Kamakura S, Yasuda S, Ogawa H, Miyamoto Y, Kapplinger JD, Ackerman MJ, Shimizu
19 W. Genotype-Phenotype Correlation of *SCN5A* Mutation for the Clinical and

- 1 Electrocardiographic Characteristics of Probands With Brugada Syndrome: A Japanese
2 Multicenter Registry. *Circulation* 2017;135:2255-2270.
- 3 5. Sommariva E, Pappone C, Martinelli Boneschi F, Di Resta C, Rosaria Carbone M, Salvi
4 E, Vergara P, Sala S, Cusi D, Ferrari M, Benedetti S. Genetics can contribute to the
5 prognosis of Brugada syndrome: a pilot model for risk stratification. *Eur J Hum Genet*
6 2013;21:911-7.
- 7 6. Milman A, Andorin A, Postema PG, Gourraud JB, Sacher F, Mabo P, Kim SH, Maeda
8 S, Takahashi Y, Kamakura T, Aiba T, Conte G, Juang JJM, Leshem E, Michowitz Y,
9 Fogelman R, Hochstadt A, Mizusawa Y, Giustetto C, Arbelo E, Huang Z, Corrado D,
10 Delise P, Allocca G, Takagi M, Wijeyeratne YD, Mazzanti A, Brugada R, Casado-
11 Arroyo R, Champagne J, Calo L, Sarquella-Brugada G, Jespersen CH, Tfelt-Hansen J,
12 Veltmann C, Priori SG, Behr ER, Yan GX, Brugada J, Gaita F, Wilde AAM, Brugada P,
13 Kusano KF, Hirao K, Nam GB, Probst V, Belhassen B. Ethnic differences in patients
14 with Brugada syndrome and arrhythmic events: New insights from Survey on
15 Arrhythmic Events in Brugada Syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2019;16:1468-1474.
- 16 7. Kapplinger JD, Giudicessi JR, Ye D, Tester DJ, Callis TE, Valdivia CR, Makielski JC,
17 Wilde AA, Ackerman MJ. Enhanced Classification of Brugada Syndrome-Associated
18 and Long-QT Syndrome-Associated Genetic Variants in the *SCN5A*-Encoded Na(v)1.5
19 Cardiac Sodium Channel. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2015;8:582-95.

- 1 8. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M,
2 Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL, Committee ALQA. Standards and
3 guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus
4 recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the
5 Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 2015;17:405-24.
- 6 9. Meregalli PG, Tan HL, Probst V, Koopmann TT, Tanck MW, Bhuiyan ZA, Sacher F,
7 Kyndt F, Schott JJ, Albuissou J, Mabo P, Bezzina CR, Le Marec H, Wilde AA. Type of
8 *SCN5A* mutation determines clinical severity and degree of conduction slowing in loss-
9 of-function sodium channelopathies. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:341-8.
- 10 10. Glazer AM, Wada Y, Li B, Muhammad A, Kalash OR, O'Neill MJ, Shields T, Hall L,
11 Short L, Blair MA, Kroncke BM, Capra JA, Roden DM. High-Throughput
12 Reclassification of *SCN5A* Variants. *Am J Hum Genet* 2020;107:111-123.
- 13 11. Nademanee K, Raju H, de Noronha SV, Papadakis M, Robinson L, Rothery S, Makita
14 N, Kowase S, Boonmee N, Vitayakritsirikul V, Ratanarapee S, Sharma S, van der Wal
15 AC, Christiansen M, Tan HL, Wilde AA, Nogami A, Sheppard MN, Veerakul G, Behr
16 ER. Fibrosis, Connexin-43, and Conduction Abnormalities in the Brugada Syndrome.
17 *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;66:1976-1986.
- 18 12. Bezzina CR, Barc J, Mizusawa Y, Remme CA, Gourraud JB, Simonet F, Verkerk AO,
19 Schwartz PJ, Crotti L, Dagradi F, Guicheney P, Fressart V, Leenhardt A, Antzelevitch

1 C, Bartkowiak S, Borggreffe M, Schimpf R, Schulze-Bahr E, Zumhagen S, Behr ER,
2 Bastiaenen R, Tfelt-Hansen J, Olesen MS, Kaab S, Beckmann BM, Weeke P, Watanabe
3 H, Endo N, Minamino T, Horie M, Ohno S, Hasegawa K, Makita N, Nogami A,
4 Shimizu W, Aiba T, Froguel P, Balkau B, Lantieri O, Torchio M, Wiese C, Weber D,
5 Wolswinkel R, Coronel R, Boukens BJ, Bezieau S, Charpentier E, Chatel S, Despres A,
6 Gros F, Kyndt F, Lecointe S, Lindenbaum P, Portero V, Violleau J, Gessler M, Tan HL,
7 Roden DM, Christoffels VM, Le Marec H, Wilde AA, Probst V, Schott JJ, Dina C,
8 Redon R. Common variants at SCN5A-SCN10A and HEY2 are associated with
9 Brugada syndrome, a rare disease with high risk of sudden cardiac death. *Nat Genet*
10 2013;45:1044-9.

11 13. Hosseini SM, Kim R, Udupa S, Costain G, Jobling R, Liston E, Jamal SM, Szybowska
12 M, Morel CF, Bowdin S, Garcia J, Care M, Sturm AC, Novelli V, Ackerman MJ, Ware
13 JS, Hershberger RE, Wilde AAM, Gollob MH, National Institutes of Health Clinical
14 Genome Resource C. Reappraisal of Reported Genes for Sudden Arrhythmic Death:
15 Evidence-Based Evaluation of Gene Validity for Brugada Syndrome. *Circulation*
16 2018;138:1195-1205.

17 14. Le Scouarnec S, Karakachoff M, Gourraud JB, Lindenbaum P, Bonnaud S, Portero V,
18 Duboscq-Bidot L, Daumy X, Simonet F, Teusan R, Baron E, Violleau J, Persyn E,
19 Bellanger L, Barc J, Chatel S, Martins R, Mabo P, Sacher F, Haissaguerre M, Kyndt F,

- 1 Schmitt S, Bezieau S, Le Marec H, Dina C, Schott JJ, Probst V, Redon R. Testing the
2 burden of rare variation in arrhythmia-susceptibility genes provides new insights into
3 molecular diagnosis for Brugada syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2015;24:2757-63.
- 4 15. Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, Cho Y, Behr ER, Berul C, Blom N, Brugada J, Chiang
5 CE, Huikuri H, Kannankeril P, Krahn A, Leenhardt A, Moss A, Schwartz PJ, Shimizu
6 W, Tomaselli G, Tracy C. HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the
7 diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes:
8 document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA,
9 PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1932-63.
- 10 16. ClinVar. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/> (16 May 2020)
- 11 17. Kroncke BM, Glazer AM, Smith DK, Blume JD, Roden DM. SCN5A (NaV1.5) Variant
12 Functional Perturbation and Clinical Presentation: Variants of a Certain Significance.
13 *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2018;11:e002095.
- 14 18. Pearman CM, Denham NC, Mills RW, Ding WY, Modi SS, Hall MCS, Todd DM,
15 Mahida S. Relationship between sodium channel function and clinical phenotype in
16 SCN5A variants associated with Brugada syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 2020;41:2195-2204.
- 17 19. Wijeyeratne YD, Tanck MW, Mizusawa Y, Batchvarov V, Barc J, Crotti L, Bos JM,
18 Tester DJ, Muir A, Veltmann C, Ohno S, Page SP, Galvin J, Tadros R, Muggenthaler M,
19 Raju H, Denjoy I, Schott JJ, Gourraud JB, Skoric-Milosavljevic D, Nannenberg EA,

1 Redon R, Papadakis M, Kyndt F, Dagradi F, Castelletti S, Torchio M, Meitinger T,
2 Lichtner P, Ishikawa T, Wilde AAM, Takahashi K, Sharma S, Roden DM, Borggrefe
3 MM, McKeown PP, Shimizu W, Horie M, Makita N, Aiba T, Ackerman MJ, Schwartz
4 PJ, Probst V, Bezzina CR, Behr ER. SCN5A Mutation Type and a Genetic Risk Score
5 Associate Variably With Brugada Syndrome Phenotype in SCN5A Families. *Circ*
6 *Genom Precis Med* 2020;13:e002911.

7 20. Watanabe H, Yang T, Stroud DM, Lowe JS, Harris L, Atack TC, Wang DW, Hipkens
8 SB, Leake B, Hall L, Kupersmidt S, Chopra N, Magnuson MA, Tanabe N, Knollmann
9 BC, George AL, Jr., Roden DM. Striking In vivo phenotype of a disease-associated
10 human *SCN5A* mutation producing minimal changes in vitro. *Circulation*
11 2011;124:1001-11.

12
13

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2 **Figure 1. Flowchart of this study**

3 Patients of BrS cohort-I were assigned to groups of loss-of-function (LOF) *SCN5A*
4 mutation carriers (N=45), non-LOF *SCN5A* variation carriers (N=15), and *SCN5A*-
5 mutation negative patients (*SCN5A*(-), N=355) by *in silico* curation, PubMed search and
6 functional evaluation using patch clamp. Numbers of unique variations (n) and patients
7 (Pt) are shown where a duplication was identified. BrS cohort-II consists of independent
8 BrS probands carrying no *SCN5A* rare variations.

9

10 **Figure 2. Functional classification of 55 *SCN5A* rare variations of Japanese BrS**
11 **cohort-I**

12 **A.** Whole-cell currents of rare *SCN5A* variants and wild-type (WT) Nav1.5 channel were
13 recorded (inset and Supplemental figure S1) from HEK293T cells, and the percentage peak
14 current densities versus WT were plotted. Variants were classified into loss-of-function
15 (LOF; significantly reduced peak current density than WT; n=40) or Non-LOF (not
16 significantly different from WT; n=15). Check marks indicate variants of unknown
17 significance (VUSs) for which patch-clamp was performed in this study (n=22), and
18 asterisks represent variations of previous literatures whose precise current density data are
19 unavailable (n=10).

1 **B.** Location of 55 *SCN5A* variants of LOF and Non-LOF are shown with topological
2 representation of Nav1.5.

3

4 **Figure 3. Association of clinical events in BrS patients with distinct Na channel**
5 **function properties**

6 **A.** All events and **B.** lethal arrhythmic events (LAE) in lifetime; **C.** LAE and **D.** syncope
7 during follow-up; **E.** ICD discharge and **F.** ICD implantation were compared among BrS
8 patients with LOF (n=45), Non-LOF (n=15), and *SCN5A*(-) (n=355). Statistical analysis
9 was performed using Fisher's exact test with Bonferroni adjustment.

10

11 **Figure 4. Kaplan–Meier analysis of LAE-free survival during follow-up in BrS**
12 **cohort-I**

13 **A.** LAE-free survival during the follow-up period in BrS probands carrying *SCN5A* rare
14 variations (All *SCN5A*; n=60) and *SCN5A*(-) (n=355). Confidence bands indicate 95%
15 pointwise CI. **B.** Time course of BrS patients with LOF-*SCN5A* mutations (LOF, n=45),
16 and Non-LOF (n=15). Non-LOF probands have no LAEs during the follow-up period. **C.**
17 LAE-free survival of LOF (n=45) vs Non-LOF plus *SCN5A*(-) (n=370). The dissociation
18 between two survival curves is more pronounced than that in panel A.

19

1 **Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier analysis of lifetime LAE-free survival in BrS cohort-II with**
2 **or without rare variants of BrS-associated genes**

3 LAE-free survival of BrS cohort-II probands were comparable regardless of the presence
4 of rare coding variants of 22 non-*SCN5A* BrS-associated genes with two different minor
5 allele frequencies (MAF) (A:<1%, B:<0.3%).

6