

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

Ronna Albina

Compound K: A systematic review of its anticancer properties and probable mechanisms

Anticancer properties of compound K

Dao Ngoc Hien Tam<sup>1,2</sup>,

Nguyen Hai Nam<sup>2,3</sup>,

Nguyen The Ky Cuong<sup>2,4</sup>,

Dang The Hung<sup>2,5</sup>,

Dang Thi Soa<sup>2,6</sup>,

Ahmad Altom<sup>2,7</sup>,

Linh Tran<sup>8,9</sup>,

Heba Elhadad<sup>2,10</sup>,

Nguyen Tien Huy<sup>2,11\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Asia Shine Trading & Service Co., Ltd., Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Online Research Club (<https://www.onlineresearchclub.org/>), Nagasaki, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Division of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery and Transplantation, Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Oncology Department, Thu Duc City Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

<sup>5</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Vinh Medical University, Vinh Nghe An 43000-44000, Vietnam

<sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Damascus University, Damascus, Syrian Arab Republic

<sup>8</sup>Institute of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, Duy Tan University, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

<sup>9</sup>Faculty of Natural Sciences, Duy Tan University, Da Nang City 550000, Vietnam

Commented [RA1]: AUTHOR affiliations are correct.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

<sup>10</sup> Department of Parasitology, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, Alexandria,

Egypt.

<sup>11</sup> School of Tropical Medicine and Global Health (TMGH), Nagasaki University, 1-12-4

Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523, Japan

\*Correspondence

Nguyen Tien Huy, School of Tropical Medicine and Global Health (TMGH), Nagasaki University, 1-12-4 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523, Japan.

Email: [tienhuy@nagasaki-u.ac.jp](mailto:tienhuy@nagasaki-u.ac.jp)

Dao Ngoc Hien Tam and Nguyen Hai Nam equally contributed to the study.

FUNDING [INFORMATION](#): This study received no funding.

Table S1: PRISMA Checklist

Table S2: Detailed search strategy for nine database searches

Table S3: Baseline characteristics of included studies

Table S4: Quality assessment of in vitro experiments

Table S5: Quality assessment of in vivo experiments

## [Abstract](#) **ABSTRACT**

*Panax ginseng* is a common natural products, which is well-known to have a wide range of pharmacological activities in cancer. Its metabolite, compound K (CK), has been reported to have anticancer activity. We aimed to systematically review the literature for evidence of anticancer effects of CK. We conducted a systematic search in eight databases. We included all in vitro and in vivo studies investigating the anticancer effects of CK with no restrictions.

Quality assessment was applied by ToxRTool. [Fifty-four](#) ~~54~~ articles were included in our study. The purity of CK in our included studies was at least 95%. The in vitro studies reported that CK

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

had a potential anticancer activity on several cell lines including human lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9), nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line (Hk-1), liver cancer cell line (BEL 7402), and pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines (Kasumi-1, MV4-11). The in vivo studies reported a significant decrease in tumor volume in mice treated with CK. CK is a potential supplementary treatment in cancer chemotherapies. The safety and further clinical trials of CK should be explored for future drug development.

## Keywords

anticancer  
compound K  
ginsenosides  
in vivo  
in vi+tro  
systematic review

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIF	<del>g</del> Apoptosis-inducing factor
Akt	Ak strain transforming
AMPK	AMP-activated protein kinase
AP	<del>g</del> Ascorbyl palmitate
AP-1	<del>g</del> Activator protein (AP)-1
ATF-6	<del>Q</del> activating transcription factor-6
BAX	Bcl-2-associated X protein
Bcl-2	B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

Commented [RA2]: AUTHO  
are correct.

CAMK-IV	Ca <sup>2+</sup> p/calmodulin-activated protein kinase-IV
CDK	<del>c</del> Cyclin-dependent kinase
CHOP	CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-homologous protein
CK	<del>c</del> Compound K
COX	<del>c</del> Cyclo-oxygenase enzyme
EGFR	<del>e</del> Epidermal growth factor receptor
eIF-2 $\alpha$	<del>e</del> Eukaryotic initiation factor-2 $\alpha$
ER	<del>e</del> Endoplasmic reticulum
ERK	<del>e</del> Extracellular signal-regulated kinase
FGFR3	<del>f</del> Fibroblast growth factor receptor 3
GRP-78	<del>g</del> Glucose-regulated protein-78
HCC	<del>h</del> Hepatocellular carcinoma
HDAC	<del>h</del> Histone deacetylase
IC <sub>50</sub>	<del>m</del> Median inhibition concentration
IRE-1	<del>i</del> Inositol requiring kinase-1
JAK1	Janus activated kinase 1
JNK	<del>—</del> C-Jun NH <sub>2</sub> -terminal kinase
MAPK	<del>m</del> Mitogen-activated protein kinases
MMP	<del>m</del> Matrix metalloproteinase
MSNP	<del>m</del> Mesoporous silica nanoparticles

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

NYAM	New York Academy of Medicine Grey Literature Report
PEG-PCL	<a href="#">p</a> Poly-(ethylene glycol)-poly( $\epsilon$ -caprolactone)
PERK	<a href="#">p</a> Protein kinase-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase
PI3K	<a href="#">p</a> Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
ROS	<a href="#">r</a> Reactive oxygen species
RUNX3	Runt-related transcription factor 3
SDF-1	<a href="#">s</a> Stromal cell-derived growth factor 1
STAT3	<a href="#">s</a> Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
TNF	<a href="#">t</a> Tumor necrosis factor
TRAIL	TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand
VHL	<a href="#">v</a> Virtual health library
WHO GH	WHO global health library
WHO	World Health Organization
XBP	X-box transcription factor
XIAP	X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein
XP	<a href="#">x</a> Xeroderma pigmentosum

## 1. [INTRODUCTION](#)~~INTRODUCTION~~

Cancer refers to a group of diseases involving the uncontrollable growth and differentiation of cells that potentially invade and affect any parts of the body [1]. It has been a huge health burden

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

to humanity for a long time. In 2018, cancer caused approximately 9.6 million deaths worldwide and was the second leading cause of mortality [2]. Currently, there are 35 cytotoxic medicines for cancer treatments in the World Health Organization (WHO) Lists of Essential Medicines [3]. These drugs inhibit the survival of cancer cells via various mechanisms such as arresting cell cycles, causing apoptosis, or affecting signaling pathways [4]. However, most chemotherapeutic treatments result in severe side effects. For example, common cancer drugs such as 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, bleomycin, or cyclophosphamide are toxic to the heart, kidney, liver, bone marrow, and skin [5–8]. Therefore, scientists have sought new medicines that have a similar efficiency but fewer adverse reactions on patients. Phytochemicals derived from plants are common sources of compounds to be discovered and constituted new treatments for cancer. Approved drugs include ~~ing~~ vinblastine and vincristine from the vinca alkaloids, ~~s~~ etoposide from the epipodophyllotoxins, ~~s~~ paclitaxel and docetaxel from the taxanes, and irinotecan from the camptothecin derivatives [9].

Ginseng is a perennial plant in the genus *Panax* and the family *Araliaceae*. ~~–~~To present, the three common species are *Panax ginseng* (commonly called ginseng or Korean ginseng), *P. quinquefolius* (commonly called American ginseng), and *P. notoginseng* (commonly called Chinese notoginseng or Sanqi) [10]. Traditionally, ginseng is used in medical practices to treat various diseases including dementia, diabetes, gastric ulcer, and cancer [11]. The pharmacological activities of ginseng are thought to be driven by its unique triterpene saponins called ginsenosides, several of which have proven in vitro and in vivo benefits [12–15].

Although reports of anticancer activity of ginseng have been presented in both traditional and modern literature, there is a lack of knowledge about the involved active ingredients as well as their modes of action [11, 16]. Among ginsenosides, Rh1, Rh2, and compound K (CK) have been reported to have antitumor activity [12, 17, 18]. Recent systematic reviews also revealed that the antitumor activity of ginsenosides Rh1 and Rh2 ~~are~~ ~~is~~ mediated via mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) signaling pathway or Ak strain transforming (Akt)/mTOR signaling pathway [12, 17]. However, there is no systematic review of the anticancer activity of CK and its mechanism. CK is a potential anticancer candidate with a variable effect on different cancer cells. For instance, this compound seemed to be very active on the gastric carcinoma cell line BCG823 (IC<sub>50</sub> value of 5-~~μ~~M) [19, 20]. On the other hand, its efficiency was controversial on

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

human lung carcinoma cell line A529 with IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 20-~~μM~~ to 125-~~μM~~ [21, 22].

Therefore, we conduct this systematic review to comprehensively summarize current evidence concerning its anticancer activity and therapeutic characteristics to give an insight for possible application in future studies.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. Search strategy and study selection

This study was conducted according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Statement recommendations [23]. The PRISMA checklist is presented in ~~Supplementary-Table S1~~. The protocol of our study was registered in PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews under number CRD42016049511.

We performed an initial literature search in 2015, and later an updated search in 2020 on eight databases: PubMed, Virtual Health Library (VHL), WHO Global Health Library (WHO GHL), POPLINE, ISI Web of Knowledge, Scopus, New York Academy of Medicine Grey Literature Report (NYAM), and Google Scholar. Detailed search keywords for nine databases were represented in ~~Supplementary-Table S2~~. A manual search was performed by looking at the references of the included studies, related articles in PubMed and Google Scholar.

### 2.2. Selection criteria and title/abstract screening

Endnote X7 was used to remove duplicated reports retrieved from the above-mentioned databases. Three reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts for potential relevance. Original publications reporting anticancer activity of ginseng CK with no restriction for language, area, publication year, and age of patients were included in the present study. Exclusion criteria were: (1) unreliably extracted data, (2) overlapping datasets, and (3) data that cannot be extracted. Any disagreement was resolved by a consensus discussion ~~among~~ authors.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Included papers from titles and abstracts screening were retrieved for full-text evaluation and then included in our study if finally eligible.

### 2.3. Data extraction

Five randomly included studies were selected to perform a pilot extraction. Data were extracted by three independent authors, and any discrepancies were resolved by the senior investigator (NTH). The following data were extracted: basic information (title, author, publication year, journal name), study characteristics (name of the study, study design, country), participants/population characteristics (sample size, age, gender, race/ethnicity for human studies, species, the weight of animals, ages for animal studies, cancer cell lines for in vitro studies), assessment of exposures, and outcomes. Regarding in vitro studies, we extracted values of IC<sub>50</sub> of CK on cell lines, survival/death percents after treatment, the percent of metastatic cells, the percent of invaded cells, the percent of relative migration, and the percent of apoptosis. For in vivo studies, we collected data of the experimental cancer cells, tumor size, and volume of tumor after treatment of the animals. We re-calculated the percent of cell death from the percent of cell survival or cell proliferation (the formula:  $100\% - \text{the percent of cell survival } [\%]$ ) to make an appropriate comparison. When the IC<sub>50</sub> values were presented as weight/volume concentration (μg/mL), we converted these values into molecular concentration (μM) (the molecular weight of CK is 622.68 g/mol). Studies for which we could not obtain clarification from authors (after at least two attempts via E-mail) were listed as “no data available”. All the extracted data were double-checked.

### 2.4. Quality assessment

The risk of bias of the included studies was independently evaluated using the software-based tool ToxRTool [24], which is specially designed for toxicological research. It is a two-part tool addressing in vivo and in vitro data, including assessment of five criteria: (i) test substance identification, (ii) test system characterization, (iii) study design description, (iv) study results



documentation, and (v) plausibility of study design and data. The detail of each criterion was outlined in [Supplementary-Table S3](#). The judgment of each author on each domain was categorized as reliable without the restriction of bias (if an in vitro study gets 15–18 points or an in vivo study gets 18–21 points), reliable with restriction of bias (if an in vitro study get 11–14 points or an in vivo study gets 13–17 points), or not reliable of bias (if an in vitro study get <11 points or an in vivo study gets <13 points). Each paper was appraised by three independent authors, and any different conclusion was revised by discussion to reach a final consensus.

### 3. RESULTS

Our search initially retrieved 1866 studies. Screening for duplicates subsequently excluded 231 articles. After the title and abstract screening phase, 311 articles were included for full-text screening. Finally, 54 studies were enrolled in the qualitative synthesis. The details of the search strategy were provided in the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). The structure of CK was presented in Figure 2.

#### 3.1. Baseline characteristics of the included studies

A total of 54 studies were included in our review ([Supplementary-Table S3](#)). All studies used pure CK for anticancer activity experiments. In addition, three of them tested CK mixed micelles (CK-M) that were formed by loading CK in a liposome system using tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1,000 succinates (TPGS) combined with ascorbyl palmitate (AP) or poly-(ethylene glycol)-poly( $\epsilon$ -caprolactone) (PEG-PCL) [22, 25, 26]. One study combined CK with activated mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNP)<sub>2</sub> which is a carrier system to produce a form named MSNPs-CK [27]. CK used in most included studies was supplied from commercial sources (31 of 54 studies). Other studies prepared CK by treating ginseng extract or protopanaxadiol ginsenosides with bacteria (human intestinal bacteria *Sulfolobus solfataricus*, *Bifidobacterium* K-103 or K-506, *Bacteroides* JY-6, *Penicillium oxalicum*, *Fusobacterium* K-60) or  $\beta$ -glycosidase

(21 of 54 studies). Two studies did not report the source of the tested CK [18, 22]. ~~12~~ [Twelve](#) studies reported the purity of the CK, which was at least 95%.

All included studies performed in vitro experiments from which 15 studies conducted in vivo experiments and other two studies did in silico experiments. Anticancer activity of CK was tested on various cancer cell lines, including colon carcinoma cell lines (15 of 54 studies), lung cancer cell lines (10 of 56), liver cancer cell lines (8 of 56), [and](#) leukemia cell lines (7 of 54). The in vitro dosage of CK was varied, ranging in concentration from 0.1 to 250  $\mu$ M and in duration from ~~24 hours~~ to ~~96 hours~~. Most studies examined the ability to inhibit cancer cells through IC<sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M), cell survival or proliferation rates (%), and apoptosis (%). ~~22~~ [Twenty-two](#) studies measured the interference of CK with the cell cycle to identify its mechanism or apoptotic activity. Only one study studied the protective effect of CK on the keratinocyte cell line from cancer trigger (ultraviolet rays) [28]. Another study investigated the role of CK in lung cancer treatment in association with gamma-ray radiation [29]. For assessment of the anti-migratory and metastatic effect, number of migrating cells, relative migration (%), [and](#) number of colonies and invaded cells (%) were mainly used.

Regarding in vivo models, mice were used in these experiments. The dosage ranged from 0.2 to 50 mg/kg/day for ~~5~~ ~~40~~ days by different routes (gastrointestinal perfusion, intraperitoneal injection [*i.p.*], or intravenous injection [*i.v.*]). Most experiments measured the volume of the tumor before and after CK treatment to assess its anticancer activity.

### 3.2. Effect of CK and its productions on the viability or proliferation of cancer cell lines

Generally, CK showed a weak or moderate cytotoxic effect on cancer cells that was time and concentration dependent. Other pharmacological forms, such as MSNP-CK and CK mixed micelles, showed a more promising effect.

Hk-1 cells (nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line) were the most sensitive to the exposure of CK, as ~~41.1~~ ~~88.0~~% of cell death was observed under low doses (~~10~~ ~~20~~  $\mu$ M) [30]. On the other

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

hand, the cytotoxic activity of CK on colon cancer cell lines and human lung carcinoma cell lines was mostly modest, as shown by IC<sub>50</sub> values (Table 1). The IC<sub>50</sub> values of CK application on HT-29 and HCT-116 cell lines (colon cancer cell lines) for 24–48 hours ranged from 32.1 to 51.4 μM [31–33]. At the dose of 20–64 μM, CK caused 46.41–70.92% cell death of the HT-29 cell line, when measured at 24 hours or 72 hours. In contrast, Yao et al. [20] reported that CK strongly inhibited cell proliferation of HT-29 cell line at high doses (>90% at 60–100 μM), while Zheng et al. [34] stated that even at 250 μM, CK continued to show a weak activity. On HCT-116 cell line, the anticancer activity of CK seemed to be dose- and time-dependent. For instance, the modest doses (40–50 μM) could cause 60.3–85.9% cell death following 24 hours of testing whereas 75.8–100% of cell death could be reached at 60–100 μM during the same duration [20, 32, 35]. Also, the modest doses (40–50 μM) resulted in strong inhibition of cell viability (above 96.0%), and the low dose of 20 μg/ml could cause 60.2–78.8% of cell death when the duration was extended to 48 and 72 hours, respectively [32, 35]. Three reports pointed out that CK had a weak effect on HCT-116 cell line [34, 36, 37]. While the study of Chen et al. [37] revealed only 20.2% of cell death caused by the modest dose (50 μM) after 24 hours of testing. Wang et al. [36] also showed that only 40.0–53.3% of cell death was observed at the modest doses (40–50 μM) following 48 hours of the experiment. Finally, less than 15% of cell death was observed at a very high dose of 250 μM after a long duration (72 hours) by Zheng et al. [34]. Moreover, CK also had a moderate effect on DLD and SW480 cell lines. Modest doses ranging from 25 to 50 μM caused 61% of DLD-1 cells death [37]. For the SW480 cell line, at the modest dose of 40 μM, CK inhibited >75% of cell viability after 24–48 hours of experiment, while 100% inhibition was reached with 50 μM after 72 hours [35, 36]. 20% of cell deaths were seen on Colo205 cancer cell line when treated with 50 μM of CK [37]. A strong effect of CK was noted on CT-26 cell lines with 79.52% cell death at 10 μM, and the effect did not change much when the dose increased to 40 μM (80.48% cell death) [38]. Additionally, CK at 20 μM seemed to be safe on the normal cell line, as only 1.3–10.3% cell death was recorded even after 72 hours of testing [32, 33]. Details of the percent of cell death caused by CK were presented in Table 2.

On human lung carcinoma, CK showed the strongest inhibitory effect on the PC-9 cell line, moderate effect on PC-14 cell line, and the weakest effect was on LLC cell line with IC<sub>50</sub> value

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

of 23.2- $\mu$ M, 40.1-41.6- $\mu$ M, and 39.00- $\mu$ M, respectively (Table 1) [26, 39-41]. Interestingly, the IC<sub>50</sub> values of CK on A549 cell line were much varied across studies, ranging from 25.9 to 52.9- $\mu$ M [22, 25, 26, 42]. The differences seemed to be attributed to the wavelength used to measure these data where the longer wavelength (570-nm) gave lower IC<sub>50</sub> values compared to the shorter wavelength (490-nm). Nevertheless, the moderate efficacy of the compound generally seemed to be consistent between studies; 43.0-89.6% of cell death was caused by concentrations- from 20-60- $\mu$ M [22, 27, 43, 44]. Only high doses (80- $\mu$ M-249-mg/l) resulted in a strong inhibitory effect (70-97.2% cell death) [21, 22, 43, 44]. The moderate efficacy was also seen on H1975 cell line with 53.7-61.9% of cell death resulting from 20-80-mg/mL of CK [43]. Other lung cancer cell lines, namely, NCI-H460, H460, CRT-MG, and H1299, appeared to be not sensitive to CK, as it caused less than 50% cell death even at high doses (30-50-mg/L) [15, 29, 45, 46]. Finally, it should be highlighted that CK may have a strong effect on T24, B16-B16, and PC-14 cell lines [40, 47, 48]. The compound inhibited 78.2-84.3% of T24 cells viability at modest doses of 20-25- $\mu$ M [47]. Meanwhile, low doses from 10-20- $\mu$ M inhibited over 60% of the cell viability of B16-B16 cells [48]. Nearly 100% of PC-14 cells were also inhibited, but at a very high dose of 100- $\mu$ M [40].

On the other hand, the inhibitory effect of CK appeared to be weak on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, breast cancer cell lines, metastatic human fibrosarcoma (HT1080) cell line, and blood cancer cell lines, as most IC<sub>50</sub> values observed were above 56.2- $\mu$ M (Table 1). Some exceptions with IC<sub>50</sub> ranging from 31.2 to 40.0- $\mu$ M were seen on Hep-G2 (HCC) cell line (540-nm wavelength was used), Jurkat (human T lymphoblastic leukemia) cell line, U937 (human monocytic leukemia cell line), and HL-60 (human myeloid leukemia) cell line. For gastric carcinoma cell lines, CK showed a weak effect on MKN-45 and MKN28 cells, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 56.6 and 31.1- $\mu$ M, respectively. However, the compound was quite effective on BCG823 and SGC7901 cells showing >76% cell death with low doses (7.5-10- $\mu$ M) [19]. In the case of blood cancer cell lines, pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines (Kasumi-1 and MV4-11) were the exception that showed a modest effect, as the moderate doses (10-20- $\mu$ M) inhibited over 50% of cell viability [49]. Meanwhile, the high efficacy (>70% of cell death) was only shown on HL-60 and U266 cells at high or very high doses (50-100- $\mu$ M) [39, 40, 50].

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Regarding the liver cancer cell lines, the inhibitory efficacy of CK on Hep-G2 cells apparently depended on doses and test duration. For instance, 20  $\mu$ M caused 46.47% cell death after 24 ~~hours~~ of exposure [27]. The percent reached over 90% when the test duration increased to 48 ~~hours~~ even at the lower dose of 10  $\mu$ M [51]. Only one study of Shin et al. [52] indicated that the low dose of 5  $\mu$ M was still quite weak even at 72 ~~hours~~ of exposure, and the strong inhibition (>90%) was only observed after 96 ~~hours~~ of exposure for both low and high doses. On other HCC cell lines (MHCC97-H, SMMC7721, Hep3B), an effective inhibition (>90% cell death) was observed, but almost at high doses for MHCC97-H and Hep3B cell lines (50 ~~–~~ 75  $\mu$ M), or at an extremely high dose (100  $\mu$ M) for SMMC7721 cell line [53–57]. One study reported that low doses (7.5 ~~–~~ 15  $\mu$ M) of CK resulted in relatively high efficacy on SMMC7721 cells (73 ~~–~~ 96% cell death) if the exposure time was 76 ~~hours~~ [58]. Also, this study demonstrated that CK had a promising effect on BEL 7402 cells with 73% cell death caused by the low dose (15  $\mu$ M) [58]. In contrast, Huh 7 cell line was considered not really sensitive to CK as only 16.54% of cell death was observed after exposure to 20  $\mu$ M of this compound [56]. For the safety of CK on normal liver cells, CK seemed to be tolerated at quite low doses (20 ~~–~~ 40  $\mu$ M), whereas the high dose of 60  $\mu$ M resulted in 25.33% of cell death [56].

The cytotoxicity of CK on breast cancer cell lines was quite weak. The strong inhibition of cell growth (59 ~~–~~ 100%) was only shown in case high doses (50 ~~–~~ 100  $\mu$ M) or long duration of exposure (>72 ~~hours~~) [57, 59–62]. At low doses (10 ~~–~~ 20  $\mu$ M) and short duration of exposure (24 ~~–~~ 48 ~~hours~~), CK only suppressed 45 ~~–~~ 55% of the growth of MCF-10A1a cells and 40% of the growth of MCF10DCIS cells [61]. In a combination with cisplatin, CK (50  $\mu$ mol/L, 72 ~~–~~ 96 ~~hours~~) significantly enhanced the cytotoxicity of cisplatin to 55.9 ~~–~~ 65.1% compared to cisplatin alone (29.8 ~~–~~ 40.0%) [62].

Interestingly, CK under other forms such as MNSPs-CK was more promising than pure CK. The inhibitory effect was much improved on colon cancer cell line (HT-29), lung cancer cell line (A549), and liver cancer cell line (Hep-G2). At 20  $\mu$ M, MNSPs-CK caused the death of 88.27 ~~–~~ 97.5% of these cells that was almost double the effect of pure CK on respective cells [27]. Besides, CK could enhance the anticancer activity of gamma-ray (1.5  $\mu$ Gy/min) and cisplatin on

human lung cancer cells (5  $\mu$ M) [44, 45]. The percent of cell death of NCI-H460 cell line increased a little bit from 32% to 45% when adding 30 mg/L of CK for 48 hours, while the percent of cell death of H460 cell line almost doubled when treated with a combination of CK (20  $\mu$ M) and cisplatin (5  $\mu$ M) compared to cisplatin alone.

### 3.3. The anti-metastatic activity of CK on cell lines

CK showed anti-migratory effect on lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9) [25, 44], glioblastoma cell lines (U87MG, U373MG) [63], and bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAE) [41]. On A549 cell line, CK at 50  $\mu$ g/mL after 24 hours of treatment significantly reduced transportation areas to 28.3% compared to 63.1% shown by negative control [44]. Meanwhile, CK at 21.97  $\mu$ g/mL dramatically increased gap length in the scratch-wound test indicating its prevention of A549 cell line migration compared to the negative control (270 nm compared to 170 nm, respectively) [25]. A similar result was observed on PC-9 cell line when testing CK at 14.46  $\mu$ g/mL, as the gap length between cell scratches on cells incubated with CK was 100 nm larger than on cells of the control group (300 nm compared to 200 nm, respectively) [25]. These results implied a promising anti-migratory activity on lung cancer cells. On glioblastoma cells, CK seemingly showed stronger anti-migratory activity on U87MG cell line than on the U373MG cell line [63]. For instance, on U87MG cell line, CK at 25 and 50  $\mu$ g/mL diminished the migration of cells to 80% and 32% compared to negative control after 24 and 48 hours of treatment, respectively. Meanwhile, a reduction of U373MG migrating cells by 91% or 77% was only observed at 50  $\mu$ g/mL after 24 or 48 hours, respectively. The anti-migratory activity of CK was also shown on BAE cell line, as it could lower the migrating cells to 56.8% at 20  $\mu$ g/ml after 24 hours of treatment. This effect was 25 times stronger than the positive control (suramin) [41].

In addition, CK revealed its anti-invasive activity on BAE cell line and lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9) at low doses, on human glioblastoma cell line (U87MG) and human astrocytoma cell line (U373MG) at high doses [26, 41, 63]. The percentage of cell invasion was reduced to 60% after incubating A549 cell line with 21.97  $\mu$ g/mL and to 61% in PC-9 cell line after incubation with 14.46  $\mu$ g/mL [26]. The results were more prominent on BAE cell line, as very

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

low doses (1 and 3.2  $\mu\text{M}$ ) diminished cell invasion to 45–73%, while no invasion was observed from the dose of 10  $\mu\text{M}$  [41]. Nevertheless, CK at 50  $\mu\text{M}$  caused a significant reduction of the invading cells to 20% and 40% in U87MG and U373MG cell lines [63]. Similarly, the anti-invasive activity of CK on glioblastoma stem-like cells was observed at 50  $\mu\text{M}$  as 15–20% reduction of the invading cells was seen [63]. On HCC cell line (MHCC97-H), CK showed its anti-invasive activity at very high doses (50–75  $\mu\text{M}$ ) [54]. A dramatic depletion of the number of transmigrating cells by 33.91–67.82 was seen compared to 136.33 shown in the control group. Similarly, the anti-adhesive activity of CK on MHCC97-H cell line was only observed at very high doses, as shown by 40.19–61.4% of adhesive cells after treatment. These results indicated its weak anti-metastatic on such cell lines.

On the other hand, Yang et al. [25] revealed a promising effect of CK-M on lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9). CK-M increased the gap length between the wound space more than CK pure form when the same doses were applied (270 nm compared to 208 nm, respectively). The invasive cells were nearly double reduced when treating cells with CK-M compared to CK pure form (28.3% compared to 60.0%, respectively). A similar result was also detected on PC-9 cell line (29.3%, 61.7% of invasive cells in CK-M and CK pure groups, respectively).

### 3.4. Other effects

Furthermore, CK was reported to have a cell protective effect, and it can inhibit the self-renewal capacity of cancer stem-like cells [28, 63].

CK at low doses (5 or 15  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) and high dose (45  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) could protect 64–79% and 100% of keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT) from death caused by UV rays (a cancer trigger) [28]. The effect was attributed to prevention of apoptosis resulting from the UV exposure. When HaCaT cell line was treated with CK at 5 or 45  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , 8–14.6% reduction of apoptotic cells in CK groups was observed compared to 24.4% in the UV exposed group without CK treatment. The inhibition of self-renewal capacity of CK was observed on stem-like cells derived from glioblastoma cell lines (U87MG, U373MG) as only 8.6–17.4% of cells were visible after 7-

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

days of exposure to 50—100  $\mu$ M [63]. In addition, almost no neurosphere was formed in these cell lines after treatment with 50  $\mu$ M, which strengthened the ability of CK to prevent the self-renewable capacity of stem-like cells.

### 3.5. Anti-tumor activity of ~~CK compound K~~ on in vivo models

A total of 14 in vivo studies were included in our study (Table 3). Experiments were conducted on numerous cancer cells—lines such as human lung cancer, Lewis lung carcinoma, human lung carcinoma, human colon carcinoma, gastric carcinoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, HCC, and human breast cancer. Mice were used as the animal model, with CK concentrations from 0.05 to 37.5  $\text{mg/kg/day}$ , and a follow-up period of ~~five-5 to~~ 40  $\text{days}$ . The target outcomes were the tumor volume and size, and the number of metastases. Indeed, all results showed a significant decrease in tumor volume compared with the control group. The lowest reduction was 18.2%, while the highest was 96.4% regardless of the administration way of CK. According to Yang et al. [25], the CK dose of 15  $\text{mg/kg/day}$  after 15  $\text{days}$  of follow-up caused a 40.8% decrease in tumor volume compared to the negative control. Noteworthy, CK-liposome induced the best response by reducing the tumor volume up to 67.3% compared to the negative control (on day 15). However, when CK dose reached the highest range (30  ~~$\text{mg/kg/d}$~~  or 37.5  $\text{mg/kg/day}$ ), a reverse effect was observed with lower effectiveness in tumor volume reduction compared to the lower dose (35–44.3% vs. 29.3%) [21, 22]. Besides, when compared to the negative control, CK prepared in the form of liposomes (CK/parthenolide type-1 liposomes) resulted in a higher tumor reduction than CK alone on day 15 (52.4%–67.3% vs. 29.3%–40.8%) [21, 25]. On the other hand, the tumor volume decreased significantly to 67.2—83.9% when combining CK +  $\gamma$ -ray in comparison to the negative control (on days 26—40) [29]. In addition, two in vivo studies using human colon carcinoma showed that increasing CK dose from 0.2  ~~$\text{mg/kg/d}$~~  to 1.0  $\text{mg/kg/day}$  significantly promoted the reduction of tumor volume (32.1% to 39.1–60.9%) after 28  $\text{days}$  [38]. However, when CK dose reached a high level of 30  $\text{mg/kg}$ , the tumor reduction effect did not improve (57.7—75.7% on days 10—20) [64]. Regarding gastric



**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

carcinoma, high dose of CK (10.0 mg/kg) was proved to significantly reduce tumor size compared to the low dose (2.5 mg/kg) (-73.3%—96.4% vs. 30.7%—52.7%) [19]. -Also, CK showed promising results in preventing metastasis. On day 35, the number of metastasis in mice caused by BEL-7402 cell line was reduced significantly up to 84.6% with a dose of 10 mg/kg/day and up to 69.2% with the dose of 5 mg/kg/day; both administered via i.p. route. The anti-metastasis efficiency of cisplatin (positive control) at a high dose of 20 mg/kg/day showed a decrease in the number of metastases by 69.5% compared to the negative control on day 35; meanwhile, 5 and 10 mg/kg/day doses of CK significantly decreased the number of metastases by 69.2% and 84.6%, respectively [58]. The same effect was described when mice with MHCC97-H cell line were treated with CK at doses of 50 and 100 µg/kg/day. The compound reduced the number of metastases by 87% and 95.2% compared to the negative control [54].

### 3.6. Mechanism of anticancer activity of CK

The anticancer activities of CK are seemingly related to apoptosis, autophagy, necrosis, interference with the cell cycle, and some signal pathways.

CK induced the arrest of cell cycles of many cancer cell lines including leukemia, HCC, colon cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, gastric cancer, breast cancer, and nasopharyngeal cancer with the minimum dose (5 µM for at least 24 hours) (Table 4) [19, 20, 25, 30–32, 35, 36, 43, 49, 53, 55, 57, 61, 63, 65, 66]. CK caused the arrest at G0/G1 for all cancer cell lines except human gastric carcinoma cell lines and MHCC97-H (HCC cell line) which were arrested at phase G2/M [19, 53]. This effect was weaker on human glioblastoma cell lines (U87MG, U373MG) than on leukemia cell line (U937), HCC cell line (Hep-G2), human colon cancer cell (HCT-116), and breast cancer cell lines (MCF10CA1a, MDA-MB-231).

The arrest of G1 phase was attributed to the increase in p21 expression resulting in the inactivation of cyclin D1/3 and the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)4/6 expression (activation at the early G1 phase) as well as cyclin E (activation at the late G1 phase) (Figure 3) [31, 35, 65]. The expression of c-Jun NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal kinase (JNK), c-Jun, or activator protein (AP)-1 was

raised in the presence of CK that implied the activation of JNK/c-Jun/AP-1 signal pathway contributing to the upregulation of p21 [32, 65]. In addition, CK-induced inhibition of histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity may be an indirect cause of the increased p21 expression [31]. The decreased activity of HDAC led to accumulation of H3 and H4 histones, which enhanced their interference with Runt-related transcription factor 3 (RUNX3), thus, raising the level of p21 [31]. Furthermore, the induction of p27<sup>Kip1</sup> and consequent inhibition of CDK2-cyclin E activity (activation at the late G1 phase) with increasing the hypophosphorylation of Rb and p130 also caused G1 phase arrest [57]. Interestingly, Zhang et al. [35] suggested that the mechanism of G1 cell cycle arrest was associated with multiple pathways via the elevation of Smad3, p53/p21, and p15, and the increase in FoxO3a-mediated p27. In the meanwhile, the arrest of G2 phase is related to the suppression of cyclin B1 and cdc2 levels as well as the elevation of p21 expression [19].

The inhibition of cell growth by CK was possibly associated with apoptosis (Figure 4) [22, 25, 30–32, 43, 53, 67, 68]. The treatment with CK augmented the level of DR5 protein on colon cancer cell line (HCT-116), which is a protein that mediates apoptosis via binding with tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) [37]. The increase in DR5 level was supposed to be associated with an activation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-JNK-autophagy pathway and p53-CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-homologous protein (CHOP) pathway [37]. Particularly, CK-produced ROS resulted in the activation of JNK and c-Jun phosphorylation paralleled with the decrease in extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) or p38 phosphorylation. The augmentation of CHOP level by CK also induced the DR5 level [37]. Nevertheless, on astrocytoma cells and bladder cancer cells (T24), there was an activation of p38 MAPK [15, 47]. No effect of JNK or ERK was observed in astrocytoma cells, which implied that CK caused apoptosis of astrocytoma cells through p38 MAPK, and this did not relate to the JNK pathway [15].

Moreover, CK raised levels of some pro-apoptotic proteins (tBid and cytochrome c) and tumor suppressor (p53), which could lead to the apoptosis on cancer cells [19, 37, 39, 45, 55]. Additionally, downregulation of Bid expression was observed by CK [19, 58]. These studies showed that Bid translocated from the nuclear to the mitochondria where it transferred to its

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

activated form tBid and interacted with apoptotic proteins, thus, causing apoptosis. Also, the expression of other anti-apoptotic proteins such as Mcl-1, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP), survivin, and cFLIP decreased when cells were treated with CK [37].

The apoptotic induction of CK possibly resulted from the activation of some caspases such as caspase-3, caspase-8, and caspase-9 as well [15, 22, 25, 30, 32, 33, 36, 37, 39, 50, 51, 68, 69]. The elevation of these caspases was probably promoted by the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria to the cytosol, the translocation of apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) from mitochondria to nucleus, the inhibition of Akt phosphorylation, the upregulation of Fas and Fas ligand, or the inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B activation and its downstream genes [15, 30, 33, 37, 51, 53, 70]. In an in silico experiment, Wang et al. [51] reported that the prevention of NF- $\kappa$ B activation caused by CK was attributed to the interaction between CK and Annexin A2 at Lys302 [51]. Kim et al. [70] also suggested that cytochrome c and caspases were induced by the activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) through the Ca<sup>2+</sup> p/calmodulin-activated protein kinase-IV (CAMK-IV). Moreover, CK also caused the depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane ~~which~~ that was considered a critical point of its anticancer activity, because this enhanced the translocation of AIF through the mitochondrial membrane and released cytochrome c [30, 33, 53, 60].

In addition, the modulation of proteins in B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) regulated apoptosis pathway was a contributing factor to the anticancer mechanism of CK. The elevation of the level of Bcl-2-associated X protein (BAX) expression and the decrease in the level of Bcl-2 were observed when treating some cell lines with CK [19, 22, 25, 32, 37, 53, 58, 69]. Results from another study revealed that the downregulation of Bcl-2 might relate to the inactivation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and its upstream activator (Janus activated kinase 1 [~~JAK1~~]) [50, 56]. This inhibition also resulted in the decrease in the level of STAT3 target genes such as Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>, survivin, cyclin E, and cyclin D1 in human multiple myeloma U266 cells [50]. Meanwhile, the expression of Bcl-2 and Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> did not significantly change under the treatment of CK in human HCC cells, whereas the level of BAX was still upregulated [55]. CK also did not affect the level of Bcl-2 in human myeloid leukemia cell line (HL-60) and ~~Bcl-x<sub>L</sub>~~ in gastric carcinoma cells [19, 39].

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Finally, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress caused by CK contributed to the apoptosis of cancer cells [56, 67, 71]. In particular, CK promoted the phosphorylation of proteins involved in the ER stress-induced apoptosis, namely protein kinase-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), eukaryotic initiation factor-2 $\alpha$  (eIF-2 $\alpha$ ) (which is also phosphorylated by activated PERK), inositol requiring kinase-1 (IRE-1), and spliced X-box transcription factor (XBP) (its pre-mRNA is also matured by activated IRE-1). During the ER stress caused by CK, the concentration of calcium in the cytosol increased via RyR channel [71]. This might implicate stored calcium in ER that was released into the cytosol. The accumulation of calcium in cytosol might activate caspase-12. CK also resulted in the induction of activating transcription factor-6 (ATF-6) cleavage, which activates the transcription of caspase-12, glucose-regulated protein-78 (GRP-78), and CHOP, therefore, raising their expressions. CHOP and caspase-12 are pro-apoptotic factors that play an important role in ER stress-induced apoptosis pathway.

The mechanism of apoptosis caused by CK on breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231) was varied [57, 60, 62]. In MCF-7 cell line, Kim et al. [60] suggested that apoptosis occurred via the activation of AMPK pathway, which consequently decreased the levels of cyclo-oxygenase enzyme (COX)-2 and prostaglandin E2. In the meanwhile, Zhang et al. and Li [62] demonstrated that CK inactivated the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein B<sub>2</sub>-pathway via the decrease in phosphorylation of Akt. Nevertheless, Yim et al. [57] proposed that the mechanism in MDA-MB-231 involved the activation of ERK. Increased levels of COX-2 and PEG2 were observed as a protective response of cells [57]. In addition, Kwak et al. [59] suggested that CK caused cell death in breast cancer cells (MCF-7) via programmed necrosis, not apoptosis or autophagy. This effect resulted from the dephosphorylation of GSK3 $\beta$  which that led to the decrease in  $\beta$ -catenin and cyclin D1 (oncogenic proteins).

On the other hand, CK also caused autophagy in colon cancer cell lines [32]. The presence of CK increased the level of LC3-II (a protein involved in the formation of autophagosomes); autophagy proteins; namely Atg5, Atg6, and Atg7; as well as and the level of ROS through the activation of JNK pathway [32, 37].

The anti-proliferation activity of CK possibly implicates the inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), h-Ras, and fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) expressions [44,

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

68]. CK bonded with EGFR and h-Ras via the active site Met379 and Thr35, respectively [44].

This binding was stronger than the positive control (erlotinib). Meanwhile, the migratory and invasive activities may be associated with the suppression of downstream signals including protein kinase C (PKC) $\alpha$ , ERK, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, and MMP-9 that were activated by stromal cell-derived growth factor 1 (SDF-1) (a factor promoting the migration of glioma cells) [72]. Besides, CK also decreased the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 that participate in the migration of cancer cells in other studies [25, 46, 73]. The inhibition of MMP-9 expression might relate to the reduction of AP-1 activity, which was supposed as a transcription factor of MMP-9 [46].

Regarding the protection of keratinocytes from [ultraviolet \(UV\)](#)-induced apoptosis under the treatment of CK, Cai et al. [28] demonstrated that CK contributed to DNA repair via the increase in expression of xeroderma pigmentosum (XP)-C and ERCC1-, which are elements of nucleotide excision repair (NER).

### 3.7. Quality assessment results

ToxRTool was used to evaluate the quality of the extracted data. According to the scoring system, the quality assessment was scored and categorized as (i) not reliable, (ii) reliable with restriction, or (iii) reliable without restriction based on how the experiments were conducted. In 53 in vitro studies, there were 30 studies classified as reliable without restriction ([Supplementary Table S4](#)) and 20 studies as reliable with restriction due to the lack of a control arm. The remaining three studies were considered as not reliable due to the unavailability of both control arms and replicated experiments, the absence of purity value, and the problem of methodology. Regarding in vivo studies, 12 among 15 studies (80%) were classified as reliable without restriction ([Supplementary Table S5](#)). Three studies were not reliable due to lacking information regarding the purity, number of animals, animal sex, strain, weight, and age.

## 4. DISCUSSION

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Our review showed that CK mainly had a weak to moderate cytotoxic effect on cancer cells in a time and concentration-dependent manner. In particular, CK had considerable cytotoxicity on lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9), nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line (Hk-1), liver cancer cell line (BEL 7402), and pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines (Kasumi-1, MV4-11) as > 50% of cell growth inhibition was observed by approximately 20  $\mu$ M. A moderate effect was observed on colon cancer cell lines, human T lymphoblastic leukemia cell line (Jurkat), human monocytic leukemia cell line (U937), and HCC cell line (Hep-G2). Meanwhile, the compound weakly inhibited the cell viability of metastatic human fibrosarcoma cells (HT1080), gastric cancer cells, myeloma, and breast cancer cells. It also demonstrated anti-migratory activity on lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9), glioblastoma cell lines (U87MG, U373MG), and bovine aortic endothelial cell lines. CK also improved the anticancer activity of cisplatin (a well-known anticancer drug), so it was suggested as a supplementary to ~~to~~ enhance the efficacy of anticancer medicines and reduce their side effects. Furthermore, our data showed that CK may protect cells against death caused by UV radiation and inhibit the self-renewal capacity of cancer stem-like cells. Additionally, all in vivo models showed a significant decrease in tumor volume in mice treated with CK that highlighted its anticancer effect.

The purity of CK used in the included studies was not less than 95%. However, the differences between results were considerable. The inhibitory effect of CK on colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116, HT-29) presented by Chen et al. [37] was significantly weaker than other studies. This might be because Chen et al. used WST-1 assay for their cell growth measurement whereas others used MTT assay. On top of that, the sources of CK seemingly did not affect the anticancer activity of CK, as efficacy conflicts on the same cell line ~~was~~ were still observed even when using the same extraction method [39, 40, 42]. Instead, we realized that the variances in the MTT assay used to measure the cell viability may contribute to the differences in results. First of all, the ratio between the number of cancer cells and the volume of MTT could affect the result [25, 42]. Limin et al. [42] used a 10-fold higher number of A549 cells but ~~5~~ five-fold less volume of MTT compared to Yang et al. [25], whereas they used the same measuring wavelength. That led to a 1.5-fold weaker efficacy observed in the results of Limin et al. compared to Yang et al. [25]. A similar result on Hep-G2 cell lines was shown when higher numbers of cells to the volume of MTT gave a weaker effect when measuring with the same wavelength [39, 40, 42, 56]. If the

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

volume of MTT assay is too small, that means not all viable cells transfer MTT into formazan crystal, which could result in an incorrect measured number of viable cells. Moreover, the wavelength used in the experiment may be another contributing factor that caused the conflict between results. For instance, on A549 cell line, the measurement at 570-nm gave a more promising effect than at 490-nm even when the authors used a ~~four~~4-fold higher ratio between cells number and volume of MTT [22, 25, 42]. The wavelength of 570-nm was also commonly recorded in MTT assay instead of 490-nm [74]. However, we could not conclude which wavelength should be applied throughout the current data. Yet, this should be deeply investigated in further studies. **Briefly, we recommend that studies in the future should pay more attention to the ratio between cells number and the volume of MTT, and also the wavelength used in their experiments in order to obtain more exact results.**

The problems limiting CK application in clinical studies include its poor solubility, significant efflux, and medium bioavailability [44, 75]. Thus, some carriers such as MSNP, AP/TGPS, or TGPS/PEG-PCL were used to improve its poor solubility characteristic and enhance its accumulation in cells [22, 25, 27]. MSNP-CK and AP/TGPS-CK showed more promising inhibition of cell viability compared to pure CK; TGPS/PEG-PCL-CK was quite less effective. This was attributed to the slow release of CK from the micelle form that delayed the inhibitory effect. However, this characteristic of TGPS/PEG-PCL-CK may benefit when there is a need for an extended-release form of CK for maintenance of CK concentration in cells. In the meanwhile, MSNP-CK and AP/TGPS-CK were advanced forms that enhance the anticancer activity of CK by improving drug delivery [22, 27].

On human colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116, Colo205, DLD-1, SW480, CT-26), liver cancer cell lines (BEL 7402, MHCC97-H, Hep3B, Huh7), human myeloid leukemia cell line (HL-60) and multiple myeloma (U266), CK only showed good effect with high doses (40–100-μM). However, the compound seems to be safe on normal cells with lower doses (<40-μM) [56]. This raised a caution when using this compound for treating those types of cancer in clinical studies. In addition, there was no report revealing the selective index value of the compound on any cancer cell line, which should be investigated in further studies before moving forwards with the application of CK. Instead, MSNPs-CK ~~which~~ that showed 88.27–97.46% cell growth

**Commented [RA3]:** AUTHO that studies in the future sho ratio between cell number: th wavelength used in their exp more exact results." Please cl

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

inhibition at a low dose (20  $\mu$ M) on HT-29 or Hep-G2 cell lines could be an alternative for such types of cells. On the other hand, Song et al. [58] reported that CK at low dose could inhibit > 50% of the growth of liver cancer cell line SMMC7721 (7.5–15  $\mu$ M). This finding disagreed with other results, despite their measurement method was relatively similar [55, 56]. That means the efficacy of CK on this cell line should be studied more to obtain a conclusion relating to CK's effect. Moreover, on liver cancer cell lines (SMMC7721, Hep-G2) and breast cancer cell line (MCF-10A1a), low doses of CK (5–20  $\mu$ M) could cause >55% of cell growth inhibition [58, 61] if the time of exposure was 72–96 hours. This implied that the anticancer activity on these types of cells demanded a long exposure to show its benefit. This point should be carefully considered in clinical studies as there should be a maintenance of CK concentration in the body for a long time to reach the expected effect.

Regarding the mechanism, all included studies revealed that CK caused cell death via arresting cell cycles (at phase G1 or G2), resulting in autophagy, necrosis, or apoptosis. CK-induced cell cycle arrest seemed to be different between types of cells. While most cancer cell lines were arrested at G0/G1, the arrest at phase G2/M was observed in human gastric carcinoma cell line [19, 53]. The weak effect on cycle arrest was concordant with the weak growth inhibition in human glioblastoma cell lines, which seemingly indicate that the cell cycle effect could be the main mode of action of CK on this cell line. In general, CK regulated the cell cycle via its effect on CDK-cyclin complexes that are necessary for the activation of cell cycle phases [76]. Cyclin D1/3-CDK4/6 and cyclin E-CKD2 complexes involved in the cell progression at phase G1 were mainly implicated [76]. Inhibition of the binding between both proteins caused by the increase in p21 expression and the activation JNK/c-Jun/AP-1 pathway could induce cycle arrest [76, 77]. Although the cyclin-CKD complexes suppression may be mediated via the phosphorylation of Rb, all included studies in our review did not exhibit clear evidence of whether the induction of phosphorylated-Rb resulted in the suppression of cyclin-CDK complexes in the presence of CK [57, 78]. On the other hand, CK induced arrest at phase G2 in gastric cancer cells was associated with the inhibition of cyclin B1, which mainly plays a role in phase M [19]. Consequently, these cells passed through the phase G2 but could not start the mitosis. This mode of action was also supported by the suppression of cdc2 expression, which is critical for cells moving towards mitosis [79]. Although Zhang et al. [35] suggested that FoxO3a-mediated p27/p15 and Smad3



**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

contributed to cell cycle arrest in colon cancer cell line, no direct relationship elucidated the role of these factors in cell cycle arrest. Nevertheless, FoxO3a ~~which~~ that is involved in the PI3K/Akt pathway possibly affected the expression of p27, which plays a critical role in cycle arrest at phase G2/M [57, 80]. Meanwhile, Smad3 was thought to regulate the expression of cyclin D1 that participates in the G1 phase arrest as known before [76, 81]. These are the probable suggestions explaining the roles of FoxO3a-mediated p27/p15 and Smad3; however, further investigations are recommended to obtain a conclusion.

Most of our included studies indicated that the inhibitory effect of CK on cancer cells was attributed to promotion of apoptosis. Only two studies revealed the involvement of autophagy, and one study suggested the role of necrosis [32, 37, 59]. In general, the induction of apoptotic caspases and the ratio between BAX and Bcl-2 were reported in many of these studies as the main mechanisms of anticancer activity. Besides, Chen et al. [37] also realized that the increase in DR5 expression via the activation of (ROS)-JNK-autophagy pathway had a relationship with autophagy. The DR5-induced apoptosis has recently been reported in other studies as well [82, 83]. Thus, the inhibitory mechanism of CK on colon cancer cells in some cases was possibly a combination of apoptosis and autophagy. In addition, no effect on JNK pathway was seen in astrocytoma cells and bladder cancer cells although p38 expression was raised in the presence of CK [15, 47]. It seemed that CK did not induce autophagy in astrocytoma cells and bladder cancer cells since JNK pathway was not activated, and the onset of apoptosis was just caused by p38 MAPK only.

There was also a disagreement about the mechanism of action of CK in breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) [59, 60]. While Kim et al. [59] suggested that CK resulted in apoptosis in this cell line, Kwak et al. [59] demonstrated that necrosis played the main role instead of apoptosis. These two articles used the flow cytometry method to detect apoptotic cells but obtained different results. Therefore, we suggest that more experiments should be performed to confirm that mechanism.

EGFR and Ras family proteins play crucial roles in the survival, proliferation, and differentiation of cells [84, 85]. Several EGFR inhibitors were approved for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer decades ago [86]. In our review, we recorded a report that revealed the downregulation of mRNA of EGFR and h-Ras genes via the strong binding with EGFR and h-Ras at Met769 and

**Commented [RA4]:** AUTHOR suggested that CK resulted in necrosis instead of apoptosis." The sentence are the same (with that belongs to Kwak et al.).

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Thr35, respectively [44]. This binding was even stronger than the erlotinib (positive control) [44]. Thus, this could be the rationale why CK had a promising effect on A549 cell line in in vitro studies reported in our review. Additionally, the mode of the inactivation of NF- $\kappa$ B caused by CK on Hep-G2 cells was also clarified by in silico study [51]. CK had a strong binding with Annexin A2 at Lys302. Annexin A2 protein regulates the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B by the interaction with subunit p50 of NF- $\kappa$ B, thus, activates NF- $\kappa$ B boosting cell survival [87, 88]. Nevertheless, the antiproliferative activity of CK on Hep-G2 cells was also varied between studies in our review, which needs more experiments to confirm [51, 52, 56]. Especially, the anticancer activity of CK on Hep-G2 may demand a long time of exposure (72—92 hours) to show its highest effect.

In vivo studies' results confirmed the in vitro anticancer activity of CK on various cancer cell lines through the decrease in volume or size of tumors. In mice implanted with human lung cancer cell lines (A549, NCI-H460), CK was used at moderate doses (15—37.5 mg/kg/day) [21, 22, 25, 29, 41]. The compound caused a significant effect after at least 12 days after treatment, which implicated the need for prolonged exposure in these types of cells. The effect of CK in in vivo studies for liver cancer cell lines (SMMC-7721 and BEL-7402) or nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line (Hk-1) also seemed to be concordant with the in vitro experiments, which exhibited a promising effect at low doses (5—20 mg/kg/day) following a long time of treatment; 11—35 days in case of liver cancer cells or short treatment duration (3—5 days) in case of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line [30, 56, 58]. Meanwhile, the weak effect of CK on MHCC97-H (HCC hepatocellular carcinoma) was also consistent between in vitro and in vivo studies as it demanded high doses (100  $\mu$ g/m/day via gastric perfusion) for 35 days to result in a significant decrease in metastases in mice model [53, 54]. Briefly, the in vitro anticancer activity of CK on some human lung cancer cell lines, liver cancer cell lines, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line was supported by in vivo experiments. For mice with CT-26 cell line (human colon carcinoma), CK gave a considerable decrease in the volume of tumor at low doses (0.5—1.0 mg/kg/d, i.p.) from week two, whereas its in vitro efficacy was shown at moderate-high doses [38]. Meanwhile, mice with HCT-116 (another colon carcinoma cell line) required a modest dose (30 mg/kg/d. i.p.) to diminish tumor size from day 10 [64]. The efficacy of CK on

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

colon carcinoma cell lines was not noteworthy in in vitro studies. Thus, the potential of CK on colon cancer cell lines should be deeply investigated in further studies.

The in vivo experiments also revealed that the dose of 15 mg/kg/day via intravenous injections was possibly safe in mice, as no abnormalities were recorded during the treatment [25].

However, the side effect of CK must be studied further. Previously, Kim et al. [70] reported the effect of CK on CAMK-IV that resulted in AMPK activation playing an important role in the anticancer activity of CK. This also implied an effect on the intracellular concentration of calcium. Despite the presence of no report addressing the disorder of intracellular concentration of calcium relating to activators of CAMK-IV, future studies should be performed to clarify and confirm the safety of CK. Similarly, the increase in COX-2 and PEG2 on MDA-MB-231 cells (human breast cancer cell lines) by CK might intimate side effects relating to inflammation or cardiovascular diseases [57, 89].

One of the limitations in this review was the high heterogeneity among the results. Many different cancer cell lines were used in different papers, and the efficacy of CK was inconsistent and hard to be concluded. It is still hard to specify an appropriate concentration of CK for clinical studies via the current in vitro and in vivo results. Nonetheless, our review included a large number of related studies that comprehensively studied the anticancer activity of CK on different cancer cell lines as well as its mechanism of action.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our review revealed that CK had a promising anticancer activity on some human lung cancer cell lines (A549, PC-9), nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line (Hk-1), liver cancer cell line (BEL 7402), and pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines (Kasumi-1, MV4-11). The efficacy of CK could be improved using micelle forms that enhanced its solubility and the possibility of membrane delivery. CK could be used as a supplement in chemotherapies as it promoted the efficacy of such medicines. The mechanism of CK inhibition of cell growth varied but mostly related to the cell cycle arrest and the regulation of apoptotic proteins via different pathways. The safety of CK was not confirmed and required further investigations.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

[Nguyen Tien Huy](#)~~NTH~~ proposed the idea, designed, and supervised the study. All authors contributed to screening, extracting data, writing manuscript, and making figures and tables. [Dao Ngoc Hien Tam](#)~~DNHT~~ and [Nguyen Hai Nam](#)~~NHN~~ revised the manuscript. [Nguyen Tien Huy](#)~~NTH~~ checked and made the final version.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to give a special thanks to Thuan Minh Tieu (Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, CAN) because of the aid for language revision.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

All authors declared that ~~we~~[they](#) have no [interest](#) to conduct this study.

Commented [RA5]: AUTH interest statement is correct.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO). [Cancer](#) 2018. Available from: <https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer>.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). [Cancer](#) 2018. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer>.
3. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Model Lists of Essential Medicines 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/essentialmedicines/en/>.
4. [Pereyra CE](#), [Dantas RF](#), [Ferreira SB](#), [Gomes LP](#), [Silva-Jr FP](#). The diverse mechanisms and anticancer potential of naphthoquinones. [Cancer Cell Int](#)~~Cancer Cell International~~. 2019;19(1):207. doi: 10.1186/s12935-019-0925-8.
5. [Macdonald JS](#). Toxicity of 5-fluorouracil. [Oncology \(Williston Park\)](#)~~Oncology (Williston Park, NY)~~. 1999;13(7 Suppl 3):33-34.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

6. Kilickap S, Akgul E, Aksoy S, Aytemir K, Barista I. Doxorubicin-induced second degree and complete atrioventricular block. *EP Europace* 2005;7(3):227–230. doi: [10.1016/j.eupc.2004.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eupc.2004.12.012).
7. Manil L, Couvreur P, Mahieu P. Acute renal toxicity of doxorubicin (adriamycin)-loaded cyanoacrylate nanoparticles. *Pharm Res: Pharmaceutical research*. 1995;12(1):85–87. Epub 1995/01/01. doi: [10.1023/a:1016290704772](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1016290704772).
8. Cohen IS, Mosher MB, O'Keefe EJ, Klaus SN, de Conti RC. Cutaneous toxicity of bleomycin therapy. *Arch Dermatol/Archives of dermatology*. 1973;107(4):553–555. DOI: [10.1001/archderm.1973.01620190029007](https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.1973.01620190029007).
9. Desai AG, Qazi GN, Ganju RK, el-Tamer M, Singh J, Saxena AK, Bedi Y, Taneja S, Bhat H Medicinal plants and cancer chemoprevention. *Curr Drug Metab/Curr Drug-Metab*. 2008;9(7):581–591. doi: [10.2174/138920008785821657](https://doi.org/10.2174/138920008785821657).
10. Qiao Y-J, Shang J-H, Wang D, Zhu H-T, Yang C-R, Zhang Y-J. Research of Panax spp. in Kunming Institute of Botany, CAS. *Nat Prod Bioprospect*. 2018;8(4):245–263. doi: [10.1007/s13659-018-0176-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13659-018-0176-8).
11. Park HJ, Kim DH, Park SJ, Kim JM, Ryu JH. Ginseng in traditional herbal prescriptions. *J Ginseng Res*. 2012;36(3):225–41. doi: [10.5142/jgr.2012.36.3.225](https://doi.org/10.5142/jgr.2012.36.3.225).
12. Tam DNH, Truong DH, Nguyen TTH, Quynh LN, Tran L, Nguyen HD, Shamandy B, le T, Tran D, Sayed D, Vu V, Mizukami S, Hirayama K, Huy N et al. Ginsenoside R<sub>h1</sub>: a Systematic Review of its Pharmacological Properties. *Planta Med/Planta medica*. 2018;84(3):139–152. doi: [10.1055/s-0043-124087](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-124087).
13. Guo S, Xi X, Li J. Notoginsenoside R<sub>1</sub>: A systematic review of its pharmacological properties. *Pharmazie/Die Pharmazie*. 2019;74(11):641–647. Epub 2019/11/20. doi: [10.1691/ph.2019.9534](https://doi.org/10.1691/ph.2019.9534).
14. Elshafay A, Tinh NX, Salman S, Shaheen YS, Othman EB, Elhady MT, Kansakar AR, Tran L, van L, Hirayama K, Huy NT Ginsenoside R<sub>k1</sub> bioactivity: a systematic review. *PeerJ*. 2017;5:e3993. doi: [10.7717/peerj.3993](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3993).

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

15. Choi K, Choi C. Proapoptotic ginsenosides compound K and Rh2 enhance Fas-induced cell death of human astrocytoma cells through distinct apoptotic signaling pathways. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2009;41(1):36–44. Epub 2009/03/31. doi: [10.4143/crt.2009.41.1.36](https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2009.41.1.36).
16. Chen S, Wang Z, Huang Y, O'Barr SA, Wong RA, Yeung S, Chow MS Ginseng and anticancer drug combination to improve cancer chemotherapy: a critical review. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2014;2014:168940. doi: [10.1155/2014/168940](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/168940).
17. Li X, Chu S, Lin M, Gao Y, Liu Y, Yang S, Zhou X, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Wang H, Chen N et al. Anticancer property of ginsenoside Rh2 from ginseng. *Eur J Med Chem* ~~European journal of medicinal chemistry~~. 2020;203:112627. Epub 2020/07/24. doi: [10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112627](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112627).
18. Cho S-H, Chung K-S, Choi J-H, Kim D-H, Lee K-T. Compound K, a metabolite of ginseng saponin, induces apoptosis via caspase-8-dependent pathway in HL-60 human leukemia cells. *BMC Cancer* ~~BMC Cancer~~. 2009;9:449-. doi: [10.1186/1471-2407-9-449](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-9-449). 20017956, 1.
19. Hu C, Song G, Zhang B, Liu Z, Chen R, Zhang H, Hu T Intestinal metabolite compound K of panaxoside inhibits the growth of gastric carcinoma by augmenting apoptosis via Bid-mediated mitochondrial pathway. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2012;16(1):96–106. doi: [10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01278.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01278.x).
20. Yao H, Wan JY, Zeng J, Huang WH, Sava-Segal C, Li L, Niu X, Wang Q, Wang C-Z, Yuan C-S Effects of compound K, an enteric microbiome metabolite of ginseng, in the treatment of inflammation associated colon cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2018;15(6):8339–48. doi: [10.3892/ol.2018.8414](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8414).
21. Wei J, Meng L, Hou X, Qu C, Wang B, Xin Y, Jiang X et al. Radiation-induced skin reactions: mechanism and treatment. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2018;11:167–77. doi: [10.2147/CMAR.S188655](https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S188655).
22. Zhang Y, Tong D, Che D, Pei B, Xia X, Yuan G, Jin X Ascorbyl palmitate/d- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate monoester mixed micelles for prolonged circulation and targeted delivery of compound K for antitumor cancer therapy in vitro and in vivo. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2017;12:605–14. doi: [10.2147/IJN.S119226](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S119226)

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

23. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2700. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b2700\\_jul211](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700_jul211).
24. Schneider K, Schwarz M, Burkholder I, Kopp-Schneider A, Edler L, Kinsner-Ovaskainen A, Hartung T, Hoffmann S et al. "ToxRTool", a new tool to assess the reliability of toxicological data. *Toxicol Lett Toxicology letters*. 2009;189(2):138--144. Epub 2009/05/30. doi: [10.1016/j.toxlet.2009.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2009.05.013).
25. Yang L, Xin J, Zhang Z, Yan H, Wang J, Sun E, Hou J, Jia X, Lv H et al. TPGS-modified liposomes for the delivery of ginsenoside compound K against non-small cell lung cancer: formulation design and its evaluation in vitro and in vivo. *J Pharm Pharmacol The Journal of pharmacy and pharmacology*. 2016;68(9):1109--1118. Epub 2016/07/02. doi: [10.1111/jphp.12590](https://doi.org/10.1111/jphp.12590).
26. Yang L, Zhang Z, Hou J, Jin X, Ke Z, Liu D, du M, Jia X, Lv H et al. Targeted delivery of ginsenoside compound K using TPGS/PEG-PCL mixed micelles for effective treatment of lung cancer. *Int J Nanomedicine International journal of nanomedicine*. 2017;12:7653--7667. doi: [10.2147/IJN.S144305](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S144305).
27. Singh P, Singh H, Castro-Aceituno V, et al. Engineering of mesoporous silica nanoparticles for release of ginsenoside CK and Rh2 to enhance their anticancer and anti-inflammatory efficacy: in vitro studies. *J Nanopart Res*. 2017;19(7):1--14.
28. Cai BX, Luo D, Lin XF, Gao J. Compound K suppresses ultraviolet radiation-induced apoptosis by inducing DNA repair in human keratinocytes. *Arch Pharm Res Archives of pharmaceutical research*. 2008;31(11):1483--1488. Epub 2008/11/22. doi: [10.1007/s12272-001-2134-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-001-2134-x).
29. Chae S, Kang KA, Chang WY, Kim MJ, Lee SJ, Lee YS, Kim HS, Kim DH, Hyun JW et al. Effect of compound K, a metabolite of ginseng saponin, combined with gamma-ray radiation in human lung cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. *J Agric Food Chem Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2009;57(13):5777--5782. Epub 2009/06/17. doi: [10.1021/jf900331g](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf900331g).

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

30. Law CK, Kwok HH, Poon PY, Lau CC, Jiang ZH, Tai WC, Hsiao WWL, Mak NK, Yue PYK, Wong RNS Ginsenoside compound K induces apoptosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells via activation of apoptosis-inducing factor. *Chinese Medicine*. 2014;9(1):11. doi: 10.1186/1749-8546-9-11.
31. Kang KA, Piao MJ, Kim KC, et al. Compound K, a metabolite of ginseng saponin, inhibits colorectal cancer cell growth and induces apoptosis through inhibition of histone deacetylase activity. *Int J Oncol International journal of oncology*. 2013;43(6):1907-14. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2013.2129.
32. Kim AD, Kang KA, Kim HS, Kim DH, Choi YH, Lee SJ, Kim HS, Hyun JW A ginseng metabolite, compound K, induces autophagy and apoptosis via generation of reactive oxygen species and activation of JNK in human colon cancer cells. *Cell Death Dis*. 2013;4(8):e750. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.273.
33. Lee IK, Kang KA, Lim CM, Kim KC, Kim HS, Kim DH, Kim BJ, Chang WY, Choi JH, Hyun JW Compound K, a metabolite of ginseng saponin, induces mitochondria-dependent and caspase-dependent apoptosis via the generation of reactive oxygen species in human colon cancer cells. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2010;11(12):4916-31. doi: 10.3390/ijms11124916.
34. Zheng Y, Nan H, Hao M, Song C, Zhou Y, Gao Y. Antiproliferative effects of protopanaxadiol ginsenosides on human colorectal cancer cells. *Biomed Rep*. 2013;1(4):555-8. doi: 10.3892/br.2013.104.
35. Zhang Z, du GJ, Wang CZ, Wen XD, Calway T, Li Z, He TC, du W, Bissonnette M, Musch M, Chang E, Yuan CS Compound K, a Ginsenoside Metabolite, Inhibits Colon Cancer Growth via Multiple Pathways Including p53-p21 Interactions. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2013;14(2):2980-95. doi: 10.3390/ijms14022980.
36. Wang CZ, Du GJ, Zhang Z, et al. Ginsenoside compound K, not Rb1, possesses potential chemopreventive activities in human colorectal cancer. *Int J Oncol*. 2012;40(6):1970-6. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2012.1399.
37. Chen L, Meng Y, Sun Q, Zhang Z, Guo X, Sheng X, Tai G, Cheng H, Zhou Y Ginsenoside compound K sensitizes human colon cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis via autophagy-



This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

- dependent and-independent DR5 upregulation. *Cell Death Dis*, 2016;7, 8, DOI: 10.1038/cddis.2016.234, e2334.
38. Hwang JA, Hwang MK, Jang Y, Lee EJ, Kim JE, Oh MH, Shin DJ, Lim S, Ji G, Oh U, Bode AM, Dong Z, Lee KW, Lee HJ et al. 20-O-β-d-glucopyranosyl-20(S)-protopanaxadiol, a metabolite of ginseng, inhibits colon cancer growth by targeting TRPC channel-mediated calcium influx. *J Nutr Biochem: The Journal of nutritional biochemistry*. 2013;24(6):1096–1104. Epub 2013/01/16. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2012.08.008.
39. Lee SJ, Ko WG, Kim JH, Sung JH, Moon CK, Lee BH. Induction of apoptosis by a novel intestinal metabolite of ginseng saponin via cytochrome c-mediated activation of caspase-3 protease. *Biochem Pharmacol: Biochemical pharmacology*. 2000;60(5):677–685. doi: 10.1016/s0006-2952(00)00362-2.
40. Lee SJ, Sung JH, Lee SJ, Moon CK, Lee BH. Antitumor activity of a novel ginseng saponin metabolite in human pulmonary adenocarcinoma cells resistant to cisplatin. *Cancer Lett: Cancer letters*. 1999;144(1):39–43. Epub 1999/09/30. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3835(99)00188-3.
41. Hasegawa H, Sung JH, Huh JH. Ginseng intestinal bacterial metabolite IH901 as a new anti-metastatic agent. *Arch Pharm Res: Archives of pharmacal research*. 1997;20(6):539–544. Epub 2008/11/05. doi: 10.1007/bf02975208.
42. Liao LM, Zhang Y, Lin SF, Hong SB, Lin Y, eds. Enzymatic Transformation from protopanaxadiol ginsenoside Rb1 into rare ginsenoside CK and its anti-cancer activity. *Advanced Materials Research*; 2013: Trans Tech Publ.
43. Li C, Dong Y, Wang L, Xu G, Yang Q, Tang X, Qiao Y, Cong Z et al. Ginsenoside metabolite compound K induces apoptosis and autophagy in non-small cell lung cancer cells via AMPK-mTOR and JNK pathways *Biochem Cell Biol=Biochimie et Biologie Cellulaire*. 2019;97(4):406–414. doi: 10.1139/bcb-2018-0226.
44. Veronica CA, Siddiqi MH, Ahn S, Sathishkumar N, Noh HY, Simu SY, Jimenez Perez ZE, Yang DC The inhibitory mechanism of compound K on A549 lung cancer cells through EGF pathway: an in silico and in vitro approach. *Curr Sci* 2016:1071–7, 111, 6, DOI: 10.18520/cs/v111/i6/1071-1077.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

45. Li Y, Zhou T, Ma C, Song W, Zhang J, Yu Z. Ginsenoside metabolite compound K enhances the efficacy of cisplatin in lung cancer cells. *J Thorac Dis.* 2015;7(1):400–6. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.01.03.
46. Jung SH, Woo MS, Kim SY, Kim WK, Hyun JW, Kim EJ, Kim DH, Kim HS et al. Ginseng saponin metabolite suppresses phorbol ester-induced matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression through inhibition of activator protein-1 and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways in human astrogloma cells. *Int J Cancer International journal of cancer.* 2006;118(2):490–497. Epub 2005/07/29. doi: 10.1002/ijc.21356.
47. Wang H, Jiang D, Liu J, et al. Compound K induces apoptosis of bladder cancer T24 cells via reactive oxygen species-mediated p38 MAPK pathway. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm.* 2013;28(6):607–14. doi: 10.1089/cbr.2012.1468.
48. Wakabayashi C, Murakami K, Hasegawa H, Murata J, Saiki I. An intestinal bacterial metabolite of ginseng protopanaxadiol saponins has the ability to induce apoptosis in tumor cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun Biochemical and biophysical research communications.* 1998;246(3):725–730. Epub 1998/06/10. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1998.8690.
49. Chen Y, Xu Y, Zhu Y, Li X. Anti-cancer effects of ginsenoside compound k on pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Cancer Cell Int Cancer cell international.* 2013;13. doi: 10.1186/1475-2867-13-24, 1, 24.
50. Park S, Lee HJ, Jeong SJ, Song HS, Kim M, Lee HJ, Lee EO, Kim DH, Ahn KS, Kim SH et al. Inhibition of JAK1/STAT3 signaling mediates compound K-induced apoptosis in human multiple myeloma U266 cells. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2011;49(6):1367–1372. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2011.03.021.
51. Wang YS, Zhu H, Li H, Li Y, Zhao B, Jin YH. Ginsenoside compound K inhibits nuclear factor-kappa B by targeting Annexin A2. *J Ginseng Res.* 2019;43(3):452–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jgr.2018.04.002.
52. Shin J-E, Park E-K, Kim E-J, Hong Y-H, Lee K-T, Kim D-H JoGR. Cytotoxicity of Compound K (IH-901) and Ginsenoside Rh2, main biotransformants of Ginseng Saponins by Bifidobacteria, against Some Tumor Cells. *J Ginseng Res* 2003;27(3):129–134.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

53. Zheng ZZ, Ming YL, Chen LH, Zheng GH, Liu SS, Chen QX. Compound K-induced apoptosis of human hepatocellular carcinoma MHCC97-H cells in vitro. *Oncol Rep* *Oncology reports*. 2014;32(1):325--331. Epub 2014/05/09. doi: 10.3892/or.2014.3171.
54. Ming Y, Chen Z, Chen L, Lin D, Tong Q, Zheng Z, Song G et al. Ginsenoside compound K attenuates metastatic growth of hepatocellular carcinoma, which is associated with the translocation of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B p65 and reduction of matrix metalloproteinase-2/9. *Planta Med* *Planta medica*. 2011;77(5):428--433. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1250454.
55. Ming YL, Song G, Chen LH, Zheng ZZ, Chen ZY, Ouyang GL, Tong QX et al. Anti-proliferation and apoptosis induced by a novel intestinal metabolite of ginseng saponin in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Cell Biol Int* *Cell biology international*. 2007;31(10):1265--1273. Epub 2007/06/26. doi: 10.1016/j.cellbi.2007.05.005.
56. Zhang X, Zhang S, Sun Q, Jiao W, Yan Y, Zhang X. Compound K induces Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Apoptosis in Human Liver Cancer Cells by Regulating STAT3. *Molecules* (Basel, Switzerland). 2018;23(6). doi: 10.3390/molecules23061482, 1482.
57. Yim HW, Jong HS, Kim TY, Choi HH, Kim SG, Song SH, Kim J, Ko SG, Lee JW, Kim TY, Bang YJ et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibits novel ginseng metabolite-mediated apoptosis. *Cancer Res* *Cancer research*. 2005;65(5):1952--1960. Epub 2005/03/09. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-04-1740.
58. Song G, Guo S, Wang W, Hu C, Mao Y, Zhang B, Zhang H, Hu T et al. Intestinal metabolite compound K of ginseng saponin potently attenuates metastatic growth of hepatocellular carcinoma by augmenting apoptosis via a Bid-mediated mitochondrial pathway. *J Agric Food Chem* *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2010;58(24):12753--12760. Epub 2010/12/03. doi: 10.1021/jf103814f.
59. Kwak CW, Son YM, Gu MJ, Kim G, Lee IK, Kye YC, Kim HW, Song KD, Chu H, Park BC, Lee HK, Yang DC, Sprent J, Yun CH et al. A Bacterial Metabolite, Compound K, Induces Programmed Necrosis in MCF-7 Cells via GSK3 $\beta$ . *J Microbiol Biotechnol* *Journal of microbiology and biotechnology*. 2015;25(7):1170--1176. Epub 2015/06/03. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1505.05057.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

60. Kim AD, Kang KA, Zhang R, Lim CM, Kim HS, Kim DH, Jeon YJ, Lee CH, Park J, Chang WY, Hyun JW et al. Ginseng saponin metabolite induces apoptosis in MCF-7 breast cancer cells through the modulation of AMP-activated protein kinase. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2010;30(2):134-140. Epub 2011/07/27. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2010.04.008.
61. Lee SJ, Lee JS, Lee E, Lim T-G, Byun SJ. The ginsenoside metabolite compound K inhibits hormone-independent breast cancer through downregulation of cyclin D1. *J Funct Foods*. 2018;46:159-166.
62. Zhang K, Li Y. Effects of ginsenoside compound K combined with cisplatin on the proliferation, apoptosis and epithelial mesenchymal transition in MCF-7 cells of human breast cancer. *Pharm Biol*. 2016;54(4):561-568. Epub 2015/10/30. doi: 10.3109/13880209.2015.1101142.
63. Lee S, Kwon MC, Jang JP, Sohng JK, Jung HJ. The ginsenoside metabolite compound K inhibits growth, migration and stemness of glioblastoma cells. *Int J Oncol*. 2017;51(2):414-424. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2017.4054.
64. Dougherty U, Mustafi R, Wang Y, Musch MW, Wang C-Z, Konda VJ, Kulkarni A, Hart J, Dawson G, Kim KE, Yuan CS, Chang EB, Bissonnette M et al. American ginseng suppresses Western diet-promoted tumorigenesis in model of inflammation-associated colon cancer: role of EGFR. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2011;11:111. doi: 10.1186/1472-6882-11-111. 22070864. 1.
65. Kang KA, Kim YW, Kim SU, Chae S, Koh YS, Kim HS, Choo MK, Kim DH, Hyun JW et al. G1 phase arrest of the cell cycle by a ginseng metabolite, compound K, in U937 human monocytic leukemia cells. *Arch Pharm Res*. 2005;28(6):685-690. Epub 2005/07/27. doi: 10.1007/bf02969359.
66. Dong X, Han HJ, Shi H-Z, Wang T-R, Wang B, Zhao J, Compound K-, a metabolite of ginseng saponin, induces apoptosis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells through the mitochondria-mediated caspase-dependent pathway. 2017.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

67. Zhang R, Chung Y, Kim HS, et al. 20-O-( $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-20(S)-protopanaxadiol induces apoptosis via induction of endoplasmic reticulum stress in human colon cancer cells. *Oncol Rep Oncology reports*. 2013;29(11):1365–1370. doi: 10.3892/or.2013.2270.
68. Choi HH, Jong HS, Park JH, Choi S, Lee JW, Kim TY, Otsuki T, Namba M, Bang YJ A novel ginseng saponin metabolite induces apoptosis and down-regulates fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 in myeloma cells. *Int J Oncol International journal of oncology*. 2003;23(4):1087–1093. DOI: 10.3892/ijo.23.4.1087.
69. Kang KA, Lim HK, Kim SU, et al. Induction of apoptosis by ginseng saponin metabolite in U937 human monocytic leukemia cells- *J Food Biochem* 2005;29(1):27–40, DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4514.2005.00001.x.
70. Kim DY, Park MW, Yuan HD, Lee HJ, Kim SH, Chung SH. Compound K induces apoptosis via CAMK-IV/AMPK pathways in HT-29 colon cancer cells. *J Agric Food Chem Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2009;57(22):10573–10578. Epub 2009/10/23. doi: 10.1021/jf902700h.
71. Shin DH, Leem DG, Shin JS, Kim JI, Kim KT, Choi SY, Lee MH, Choi JH, Lee KT Compound K induced apoptosis via endoplasmic reticulum Ca(2+) release through ryanodine receptor in human lung cancer cells. *J Ginseng Res*. 2018;42(2):165–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jgr.2017.01.015.
72. Kim H, Roh HS, Kim JE, Park SD, Park WH, Moon JY. Compound K attenuates stromal cell-derived growth factor 1 (SDF-1)-induced migration of C6 glioma cells. *Nutr Res Pract*. 2016;10(3):259–64. doi: 10.4162/nrp.2016.10.3.259.
73. Choo MK, Sakurai H, Kim DH, Saiki I. A ginseng saponin metabolite suppresses tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ -promoted metastasis by suppressing nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B signaling in murine colon cancer cells. *Oncol Rep Oncology reports*. 2008;19(3):595–600.
74. Kamiloglu S, Sari G, Ozdal T, Capanoglu EJFF. Guidelines for cell viability assays- *Food Front* 2020;1(3):332–349.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

75. Yang XD, Yang YY, Ouyang DS, Yang GP. A review of biotransformation and pharmacology of ginsenoside compound K. *Fitoterapia*. 2015;100:208–220. Epub 2014/12/03. doi: 10.1016/j.fitote.2014.11.019.
76. Bai J, Li Y, Zhang G. Cell cycle regulation and anticancer drug discovery. *Cancer Biol Med*. 2017;14(4):348–362. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2017.0033.
77. Yang Y, Ikezoe T, Saito T, Kobayashi M, Koeffler HP, Taguchi H. Proteasome inhibitor PS-341 induces growth arrest and apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer cells via the JNK/c-Jun/AP-1 signaling. *Cancer Sci*. 2004;95(2):176–180. Epub 2004/02/18. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2004.tb03200.x.
78. Blain SW. Switching cyclin D-Cdk4 kinase activity on and off. *Cell Cycle*. 2008;7(7):892–8. doi: 10.4161/cc.7.7.5637.
79. Guadagno TM, Newport JW. Cdk2 kinase is required for entry into mitosis as a positive regulator of Cdc2-cyclin B kinase activity. *Cell*. 1996;84(1):73–82. Epub 1996/01/12. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80994-0.
80. Serres MP, Kossatz U, Chi Y, Roberts JM, Malek NP, Besson A. p27(Kip1) controls cytokinesis via the regulation of citron kinase activation. *J Clin Invest*. 2012;122(8):844–58. doi: 10.1172/jci60376.
81. Bailey KL, Agarwal E, Chowdhury S, Luo J, Brattain MG, Black JD, Wang J. TGFβ/Smad3 regulates proliferation and apoptosis through IRS-1 inhibition in colon cancer cells. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(4):e0176096. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176096.
82. Shlyakhtina Y, Pavet V, Gronemeyer H. Dual role of DR5 in death and survival signaling leads to TRAIL resistance in cancer cells. *Cell Death Dis*. 2017;8(8):e3025. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2017.423.
83. Zhang P, Wang H, Chen Y, Lodhi AF, Sun C, Sun F, Yan L, Deng Y, Ma H et al. DR5 related autophagy can promote apoptosis in gliomas after irradiation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2020;522(4):910–916. Epub 2019/12/07. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.11.161.

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

84. Aran V, Omerovic J. Current Approaches in NSCLC Targeting K-RAS and EGFR. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20(22):5701. doi: 10.3390/ijms20225701. 31739412.
85. Hsu PC, Jablons DM, Yang CT, You L. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) Pathway, Yes-Associated Protein (YAP) and the Regulation of Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(15). doi: 10.3390/ijms20153821, 3821.
86. Modjtahedi H, Essapen S. Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in cancer treatment: advances, challenges and opportunities. *Anticancer Drugs* 2009;20(10):851-855. doi: 10.1097/CAD.0b013e3283330590.
87. Jung H, Kim JS, Kim WK, Oh KJ, Kim JM, Lee HJ, Han BS, Kim DS, Seo YS, Lee SC, Park SG, Bae KH. Intracellular annexin A2 regulates NF- $\kappa$ B signaling by binding to the p50 subunit: implications for gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Cell Death Dis*. 2015;6(1):e1606. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.558.
88. Luedde T, Trautwein C. Intracellular survival pathways in the liver. *Liver Int* 2006;26(10):1163-1174. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2006.01366.x.
89. Wang Y, Streicher J. The role of COX-2 in heart pathology. *Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med Chem* 2008;6(1):69-79. doi: 10.2174/187152508783329948.

FIGURE 1. The PRISMA flow diagram.

FIGURE 2. The structure of CK.

FIGURE 3. Compound K caused cell cycle arrest at phase G1 and phase G2 via signal pathways.

FIGURE 4. Compound K caused cell death via apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy.

TABLE 1. IC<sub>50</sub> values showing the inhibitory effect of compound K on the growth of varied cancer cell lines.

Commented [RA6]: AUTH captions are correct.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

		Design			Outcomes
Ref	Intervention (pPurity)	Duration of experiment (hours)	Method of detection (density of cell, volume of MTT, wavelength of absorption)	Cell Lines	IC <sub>50</sub> of compound K (positive control) ( $\mu$ M)
Colon cancer cells					
<a href="#">Kang et al. and Lee et al. [31, 33]</a>	Compound K (N/R)	48	MTT assay ( $10^4$ cells/well, 50- $\mu$ L, 570-nm)	HT-29 (human colon cancer)	32.1-51.4- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Kim et al. [32]</a>	Compound K (N/R)	24	MTT assay ( $10^4$ cells/well, 50- $\mu$ L, 570-nm)	HCT-116 (human colon cancer)	32.1- $\mu$ M
Human lung carcinoma					
<a href="#">Yang et al. [26]</a>	Compound K (> 98%)	24	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, 100- $\mu$ L, 490-nm)	A549 (human lung cancer)	35.3- $\pm$ -2.4- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Liao et al. [42]</a>	Compound K (> 95%)	72	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well, 20- $\mu$ L, 490-nm)		52.9- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Zhnag et al. and Yang et al. [22, 25]</a>	Compound K (> 98%)	24	MTT assay ( $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well, 10- $\mu$ L MTT, 570-nm)		25.9-40.0- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Yang et al. [25]</a>	Compound K-liposomes	24	MTT assay ( $10^5$ cells/well, 10- $\mu$ L MTT, 570-nm)		26.2- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Yang et al. [26]</a>	Compound K mixed micelles (TPGS/PEG-PCL)	24	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, 100- $\mu$ L, 490-nm)		40.8- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Zhang et al. [22]</a>	Compound K mixed micelles (AP/TPGS)	24	MTT assay (570-nm)		16.5- $\mu$ M

FOR REFERENCE ONLY



**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

<a href="#">Hasegawa et al. [41]</a>	Compound K (N/R)	48	MTT assay (N/R)	LLC (Lewis lung carcinoma)	39.00- $\mu$ M (13) <sup>a</sup>
[26]	Compound K (> 98%)	24	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, 100- $\mu$ L, 490-nm)	PC-9 (human non-small cell lung cancer)	23.2- $\mu$ M
	Compound K Mixed Micelles (TPGS/PEG-PCL)				29.5- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Lee et al. [39, 40]</a>	Compound K (> 99%)	24-96	MTT assay ( $1 \times 10^4$ - $8 \times 10^4$ cells/well, 50- $\mu$ L, 550-nm)	PC-14 (human pulmonary adenocarcinoma cells)	40.1-41.6- $\mu$ M
Hepatocellular carcinoma cell line					
<a href="#">Liao et al. [42]</a>	Compound K (> 95%)	72	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well, 20- $\mu$ L, $\lambda = 490$ )	Hep-G2 (human liver cancer)	101.762- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Zhang et al. [56]</a>	Compound K ( $\geq 98\%$ )	48	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, 20- $\mu$ L, 490-nm)		40.45- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Lee et al. [39, 40]</a>	Compound K (> 99%)	72-96	MTT assay ( $10^4$ - $8 \times 10^4$ cells/mL, 50- $\mu$ L, 540-nm)		24.3-24.9- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Zheng et al. [53]</a>	Compound K (> 98%)	48	MTT assay ( $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, 200- $\mu$ L of MTT, 570-nm)	MHCC97-H (human liver cancer)	49.8- $\pm$ 2.5 (71.3- $\pm$ 3.7- $\mu$ M) <sup>b</sup>
<a href="#">Yim et al. [57]</a>	Compound K (N/R)	72	MTT assay ( $10^4$ - $10^6$ , 50- $\mu$ L, 570-nm)	Hep3B (human liver cancer)	36.1- $\mu$ M
Metastatic human fibrosarcoma					
<a href="#">Hasegawa et al. [41]</a>	Compound K (N/R)	48 h	-MTT assay (N/R)	HT1080 (human fibrosarcoma)	55- $\mu$ M
Gastric carcinoma cell lines					
<a href="#">Lee et al. [39]</a>	Compound K	72	MTT assay ( $10^4$ cells/well, 50- $\mu$ L of MTT, 550-nm)	MKN-45 (human gastric cancer)	56.6- $\mu$ M
<a href="#">Yim et al. [57]</a>	Compound K	72	MTT assay ( $10^4$ - $10^6$ , 50- $\mu$ L of MTT, 570-nm)	MKN28 (human gastric cancer)	33.1- $\mu$ M

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

Blood cancer cell lines					
Kang et al. [69]	Compound K (N/R)	96	MTT assay (10 <sup>4</sup> -10 <sup>5</sup> cells/well, 50-μL, 540-nm)	H9 (human T lymphoblastic leukemia cell lines)	102.7-μM
				CEM-C3 (human T lymphoblastic leukemia cell lines)	57.8-μM
				Molt4 (human T lymphoblastic leukemia cell lines)	70.6-μM
				Jurkat (human T lymphoblastic leukemia cell lines)	41.7-μM
				U937 (human monocytic leukemia cell line)	32.1-μM
Lee et al. [39, 40]	Compound K (> 99%)	72-96	MTT assay (1- $\times$ -10 <sup>4</sup> -8- $\times$ -10 <sup>4</sup> cells/well, 50-μL, 550-nm)	HL-60 (human myeloid leukemia cell line)	24.3-μM
Choi et al. [68]	Compound K (N/R)	24	MTT assay (10 <sup>4</sup> -10 <sup>6</sup> cells/well, 50-μL, 570-nm)	KMS-11 (mMultiple myeloma)	75.56-μM
				ARH-77 (mMultiple myeloma)	39.37-μM
				HS-Sultan (mMultiple myeloma)	39.67-μM
				IM-9 (mMultiple myeloma)	38.58-μM
				MC/CAR (mMultiple myeloma)	38.22-μM
				NCI-H929 (mMultiple myeloma)	37.46-μM
				KMS-18 (mMultiple myeloma)	42.5-μM
				U266 (mMultiple myeloma)	46.72-μM

<sup>a</sup>Positive control: 5-FU

<sup>b</sup>Normal cell lines

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

**TABLE 2.** The inhibition of cell survival of compound K on varied cancer cell lines.

Design					Outcomes		Ref
Intervention (Purity of compound K)	Cell Lines	Cell survival method	Duration of Experiment	Concentration of compound K	Cell death (%)	Apoptosis (%)	
Colon cancer cell lines							
Compound K (NR)	Fetal human colon cells	MTT (570-nm)	24 hours	20-μM	1.3-10.3		<a href="#">Kim et al. and Lee et al. [32, 33]</a>
Compound K (>98%)	HT-29	MTT (490-570-nm)	6h	60-70-μM	87.14-99.31 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al. [20]</a>
			24 hours	20-μM	70.92		<a href="#">Singh et al. [27]</a>
			24 hours	100-μM	86.47 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al. [20]</a>
			48 hours	20-30-μM	46.41-63.18		<a href="#">Lee et al. [33]</a>
			48 hours	32-64-μM	49.54-57.39		<a href="#">Kang et al. [31]</a>
			48 hours	40-μM	74.89		<a href="#">Lee et al. [33]</a>
			48 hours	70-μM		54.37	<a href="#">Yao et al. [20]</a>
			48-72 hours	80-100-μM	93.56-100 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al. [20]</a>
			72 hours	20-μM	67		<a href="#">Lee et al. [33]</a>
			72 hours	50-250-μM	6.53-22.20 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Zheng et al. [34]</a>
	WST-1 (450-nm)	24 hours	50-μM	26.5		<a href="#">Chen et al. [37]</a>	
	N/A	24 hours	10-50-μM		1.93-4.96	<a href="#">Zhang et al. and Kim et al. [35, 70]</a>	
	N/A	72 hours	30-50-μM		0.73-4.81	<a href="#">Zhang et al. [35]</a>	
	N/A	48 hours	70-80-μM		27.0-50.6 (early stage); 17.5-26.8 (late stage)	<a href="#">Yao et al. [20]</a>	

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

Compound K (>95%)	HCT-116	MTT (490, 540, 570-nm)	6-hours	60-70- $\mu$ M	65.83- 99.65 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al.</a> [20]
			24-hours	20- $\mu$ g/mL	6.5-47.25		<a href="#">Kim et al. and Zhang et al.</a> [32, 35]
			24-hours	40-50- $\mu$ M	60.3-85.9		<a href="#">Kim et al. and Zhang et al.</a> [32, 35]
			24-hours	60-100- $\mu$ M	75.8-100.0 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al.</a> [20]
			48-hours	40-50- $\mu$ M	96.0-99.5		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [35]
			48-hours	40-50- $\mu$ M	40.0-53.3 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Wang et al.</a> [36]
			48-hours	60-100- $\mu$ M	98.2-100.0 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Yao et al. and Wang et al.</a> [20, 36]
			72-hours	20- $\mu$ g/mL	60.2-78.8		<a href="#">Kim et al.</a> [32]
			72-hours	30-50- $\mu$ M	94-100		<a href="#">Yao et al. and Zhang et al.</a> [20, 35]
		72-hours	50-250- $\mu$ M	3.0-14.7 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Zheng et al.</a> [34]	
Compound K (NR)	WST-1 (450-nm)	24-hours	50- $\mu$ M	19	2.4-98.05	<a href="#">Chen et al.</a> [37]	
		DLD-1 (450-nm)	24-hours	25-50- $\mu$ M	61		<a href="#">Chen et al.</a> [37]
Compound K (>95%)	SW480	MTT (490-570-nm)	24-hours	40-50- $\mu$ M	90.7-100		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [35]
			48-hours	40-50- $\mu$ M	75-100 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Wang et al.</a> [36]
			72-hours	30-50- $\mu$ M	45-100	4.9-20.7 (early stage); 13.1-59.5 (late stage)	<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [35]
		WST-1 (450-nm)	24-hours	50- $\mu$ M	20		<a href="#">Chen et al.</a> [37]
Compound K	CT-26	MTT (570-nm)	24-hours	10-40- $\mu$ M	79.52-80.48		<a href="#">Hwang et al.</a> [38]
MSNPs-CK	HT-29	MTT (570-nm)	24-hours	1-20	30.26-97.46		<a href="#">Singh et al.</a> [27]

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

Human lung carcinoma							
Compound K (>98%)	T24	MTT (450.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> 25. <del>–</del> μM	78.2. <del>–</del> 84.3		<a href="#">Wang et al.</a> [47]
	B16-B16	NR	48. <del>hours</del>	40. <del>–</del> μM	86.6. <del>–</del> 100 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Wakabayashi et al.</a> [48]
			120. <del>hours</del>	10. <del>–</del> 20. <del>–</del> μM	61.9. <del>–</del> 79.5 <sup>a</sup>		
	PC-14	MTT (540.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del>	100. <del>–</del> μM	99.5		<a href="#">Lee et al.</a> [40]
	A549	MTT (570.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> 25. <del>–</del> μM	43.0. <del>–</del> 60.0		<a href="#">Previous studies</a> [22, 43, 44]
				40. <del>–</del> 60. <del>–</del> μM	55.3. <del>–</del> 89.6		<a href="#">Previous studies</a> [22, 43, 44]
				80. <del>–</del> μM	72.6. <del>–</del> 93		<a href="#">Zhang et al. and Li et al.</a> [22, 43]
				100. <del>–</del> μM	97.2		<a href="#">Veronica et al.</a> [44]
				48. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> μM	58.7	
			72. <del>hours</del>	125. <del>–</del> 249.-mg/L	81.0. <del>–</del> 94.2		<a href="#">Wei et al.</a> [21]
	H1975	MTT (570.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> 80.-mg/L	53.7. <del>–</del> 61.9	40.15. <del>–</del> 59.07	<a href="#">Li et al.</a> [43]
	NCI-H460	MTT (540.-nm)	48. <del>hours</del>	30.-mg/L	37.0		<a href="#">Chae et al.</a> [29]
	H460	MTT (450.-nm)	72. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> 40. <del>–</del> μM	24.2. <del>–</del> 35.6 (43.7 <sup>b</sup> )		<a href="#">Li et al.</a> [45]
	CRT-MG	MTT (450 or 550.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del> 48. <del>hours</del>	5. <del>–</del> 50.-mg/L	4.3. <del>–</del> 58.6		<a href="#">Choi et al. and Jung et al.</a> [15, 46]
	H1299	MTT (450.-nm)	24. <del>hours</del> 72. <del>hours</del>	20. <del>–</del> μm	10	3	<a href="#">Li et al.</a> [45]
A549	N/A	24. <del>hours</del>	10. <del>–</del> μg/ml <del>L</del>		7.34	<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [22]	
			20. <del>–</del>		44.62. <del>–</del>	<a href="#">Yang et al. and Li et al.</a> [26, 43]	
			80. <del>–</del> mg/ml <del>L</del>		64.31		
		48. <del>hours</del>	15. <del>–</del> μM		42.71	<a href="#">Shin et al.</a> [71]	
Sk-Mes-1		48. <del>hours</del>	15. <del>–</del> μM		59.85	<a href="#">Shin et al.</a> [71]	

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

	Pc-9		24_hours	14.46_μg/mL		31.79	<a href="#">Yang et al.</a> [26]
	H460		24_hours	20_μM		4.28	<a href="#">Li et al.</a> [45]
MSNPs-CK	A549	MTT (570_nm)	48_hours	10 15_20_μM	61.7 95.7_97.5		<a href="#">Singh et al.</a> [27]
CK-M (AP/TPGS)	Pc-9	N/A	24_hours	10_μg/mL		16.59	<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [22]
CK-M (TPGS/PEG-PCL)	Pc-9	N/A	24_hours	21.97_mg/mL 18.35_μg/mL		40.6 43.48	<a href="#">Yang et al.</a> [26]
Compound K+_Gy	NCI-H460	MTT (540_nm)	48_hours	30_mg/L	45 (32')		<a href="#">Chae et al.</a> [29]
Compound K+_cisplatin (2.5_μM)	H460	N/A	72_hours	20_40_μM		13.46	<a href="#">Li et al.</a> [45]
Compound K+_cisplatin (5_μM)		MTT (450_nm)	24_hours	20_μM	78.2_84.9 (43.7 <sup>b</sup> )		
Liver cancer cells							
Compound K (>98%)	L02 (normal liver cell line)	MTT (490_nm)	48_hours	20_40_μM 60_μM	3.95_4.69 25.33		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [56]
Compound K (>95%)	Hep-G2	MTT (540 or 570_nm)	24_hours	50_μM	74.06_91.81		<a href="#">Shin et al.</a> [52]
			96_hours	20_μM	46.47		<a href="#">Singh et al.</a> [27]
			24_hours	10_50_μM	96_97		<a href="#">Wang et al.</a> [51]
			48_hours	40_60_μM	45.24_81.84		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [56]
			72_hours	5_μM	59.94		<a href="#">Shin et al.</a> [52]
			96_hours	5_100_μM	90.85_96		<a href="#">Lee et al. and Shin et al.</a> [40, 52]
Compound K (>98%)	BEL 7402	MTT (570_nm)	24_hours	15_μM	73		<a href="#">Song et al.</a>
			72_hours	5_15_μM	57_90		[58]
	MHCC97-H	MTT (570_nm)	6_hours	75_100_μM	96_99.72		<a href="#">Zheng et al.</a> [53]
			12_hours	25_75_μM	62.5_92.6 <sup>a</sup>		<a href="#">Ming et al.</a> [54]
			24_hours	75_100_μM	90.5_100		<a href="#">Zheng et al.</a> [53]
SMMC7721	MTT (570_nm)	6_hours	100_μM	96.92_97.12		<a href="#">Ming et al.</a> [55]	

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

		MTT (570.-nm)	24_hours	7.5_—15_μM	56_—75		<a href="#">Song et al.</a> [58]
		MTT (490.-nm)	24_hours	40_—60_μM	37.34_— 64.57	23.98_— 52.18	<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [56]
		MTT (570.-nm)	24_hours	75_—100_μM	56.73_—97.5		<a href="#">Ming et al.</a> [55]
		MTT (570.-nm)	48_hours	75_—100_μM	75.96_— 97.12		<a href="#">Ming et al.</a> [55]
		MTT (570.-nm)	72_hours	75_—100_μM	93.65		<a href="#">Ming et al.</a> [55]
		MTT (570.-nm)	76_hours	7.5_—15_μM	73_—96		<a href="#">Song et al.</a> [58]
	Hep3B	MTT (490.-nm)	48_hours	40_—60_μM	41.87_— 68.08		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [56]
		MTT (570.-nm)	72_hours	50_—100_μM	93.31_—100		<a href="#">Yim et al.</a> [57]
	Huh7	MTT (490.-nm)	24_hours	20_—60_μM	16.54_— 73.79		<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [56]
_MSNPs-CK	Hep-G2	MTT (570.-nm)	24_hours	10_—20_μM	61.5_—88.27		<a href="#">Singh et al.</a> [27]
Nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells							
_Compound K (>-98%)	Hk-1	MTT (540.-nm)	24_hours	10_—20_μM	41.1_—88.0		<a href="#">Law et al.</a> [30]
Gastric carcinoma cell lines							
_Compound K (NR)	BCG823	MTT (550.-nm)	24_hours	5_μM	64.5_—69.9	16.9_—29	<a href="#">Hu et al.</a> [19]
			24_hours	7.5_—10_μM	86.5	95.5	
			36_— 48_hours	5_μM	93.7_—97.2	44.8_—68.6	
	SGC7901	24_hours	7.5_μM	76.0	18		
		24_— 48_hours	10_μM	87_—98.6	37.2_—88.8		
_Compound K (>-99%)	MKN-45	MTT (540.-nm)	24_hours	100_μM	93		<a href="#">Lee et al.</a> [40]
_Compound K (NR)	MKN28	MTT (570.-nm)	72_hours	50_—100	100		<a href="#">Yim et al.</a> [57]
Breast cancer cell lines							
_Compound K (NR)	MCF-7	MTT (540 or 570.-nm)	24_hours	70_μg/mL	59		<a href="#">Kwak et al.</a> [59]
			48_hours	40_— 70_μg/mL	73.1_—96.1		<a href="#">Kwak et al. and Kim et al.</a> [59, 60]
			72_hours	35_μg/mL	78.3		<a href="#">Kim et al.</a> [60]
		MTT	72_hours	50_μg/mL	37.9		

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

		(492-nm)	96 <del>_</del> hours	50 <del>_</del> µg/mL	50.0		<a href="#">Zhang and Li</a> [62]
	MCF-10A	MTT (540-nm)	24 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	35 <del>_</del> µg/mL	7.7 <del>_</del> –20		<a href="#">Kim et al.</a> [60]
	MCF-10A1a	MTT (570-nm)	24 <del>_</del> hours 48 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	10 <del>_</del> –20 µM 10 <del>_</del> –20 µM	45 <del>_</del> –55 55 <del>_</del> –70		<a href="#">Lee et al.</a> [61]
	MDA-MB-468	MTT (570-nm)	72 <del>_</del> hours	50 <del>_</del> –100	100		<a href="#">Yim et al.</a> [57]
	Hs578T	MTT (570-nm)	72 <del>_</del> hours	50 <del>_</del> –100	100		
	MDA-MB-231	MTT (570-nm)	72 <del>_</del> hours	50 <del>_</del> –100	100		
	MCF10DCIS	MTT (570-nm)	24 <del>_</del> hours 48 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	20 µM 20 µM	40 70 <del>_</del> –80		<a href="#">Lee et al.</a> [61]
<del>_</del> Compound K (98%) <del>_</del> + <del>_</del> cisplatin (10-mg/L)	MCF-7	MTT (492-nm)	72 <del>_</del> hours 96 <del>_</del> hours	50 µg/mL	55.9 <del>_</del> –65.1		<a href="#">Zhang and Li</a> [62]
Blood cancer cell lines							
<del>_</del> Compound K (99%)	Kasumi <del>_</del> -1 (pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines)	MTT (550 or 570-nm)	48 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	10 µM	41.7 <del>_</del> –52.6		<a href="#">Chen et al.</a> [49]
			48 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	20 µM	60 <del>_</del> –85		
			20 <del>_</del> hours	20 µM	65.8 <sup>a</sup>		
	MV4-11 (pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell lines)		48 <del>_</del> hours 72 <del>_</del> hours	10 <del>_</del> –20 µM	50.19 <del>_</del> – 70.57		
<del>_</del> Compound K (>99%)	HL-60 (human myeloid leukemia cell line)		24 <del>_</del> hours 96 <del>_</del> hours	50 <del>_</del> –100	83 <del>_</del> –100		<a href="#">Lee et al.</a> [39, 40]
<del>_</del> Compound K	U266 (multiple myeloma)		24 <del>_</del> hours	100 µM	71		<a href="#">Park et al.</a> [50]

Abbreviations: CK-M (AP/TPGS); ~~\_~~Compound K mix micelles using ascorbyl palmitate (AP)/D-α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate monoester; MSNPs-CK; mesoporous silica nanoparticles-compound K

<sup>a</sup>Anti-proliferation activity.



**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

<sup>b</sup>Positive control (cisplatin at 5  $\mu$ M).

<sup>c</sup>Positive control (gamma ray).

**TABLE 3.** The anticancer activity of CK via in vivo studies.

Ref	Treatment	Dose (method of drug delivery)	Duration of treatment	Animal used	Cancer cell used	Volume of tumor (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Tumor size (mg)	Number of metastases
<a href="#">Yang et al.</a> [25]	CK	15 mg/kg/day (s.c.)	15-days	Athymic nude mice	A549 (human lung cancer)	↓ 40.8%** compared to negative control (on day 15)		
	CK-liposomes					↓ 67.3%** compared to negative control (on day 15)		
<a href="#">Yang et al.</a> [26]	CK	15 mg/kg/day (s.c.)	15-days	Athymic nude mice	A549 (human lung cancer)	↓ 28.9%** compared to negative control (on day 15)		
	CK-M (TPGS/PEG-PCL)					↓ 48.9%** compared to negative control		

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

	Cisplatin (positive control)	2.-mg/kg				(on day 15) ↓ 62.2%** compared to negative control (on day 15)	
<a href="#">Zhang et al. [22]</a>	CK	30.-mg/kg/day (tail vein injection)	12.-days	Nude mice	A549 (human lung cancer)	↓ 35.0—44.3% compared to negative control (on days 9—15)	
	CK-M (AP/TPGS)					↓ 50.4—66.7% compared to negative control (on days 9—15)	
<a href="#">Wei et al. [21]</a>	CK	37.5.-mg/kg/day (i.v.)	15.-days	Nude mice	A549 (human lung cancer)	↓ 29.3% compared to negative control (on day 15)	
	CK and parthenolide	37.5.-mg/kg/d and 7.5.-mg/kg/day (i.v.)				↓ 43.5%** compared to negative control	

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

	CK/parthenolide PEG liposomes					(on day 15) ↓ 45.7%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	
	CK/parthenolide tLyp-1 liposomes					↓ 52.4%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	
	Cisplatin	1_mg/kg (i.v.)				↓ 52.9%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	
<a href="#">Hasegawa et al. [41]</a>	CK	10_mg/kg/day (p.o.)	14_days	C57BL/6	LLC (Lewis lung carcinoma)	↓ 55.3%** compare d to negative control (on day 14)	
	FU (Positive control)					↓ 48.2%** compare d to negative control	

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

						(on day 14)		
<a href="#">Chae et al. [29]</a>	γ-ray (positive control)	30_mg/kg/day (s.c.)	40_days	BALB/cathymic nude mice	NCI-H460 cells (hHuman lung carcinoma)	↓ 37.0_— —46.0% compared to negative control (on days 26_— 40)		
	CK					↓ 36.9_— —54.8% compared to negative control (on days 26_— 40)		
	CK_+_γ-ray					↓ 67.2_— —83.9%* compared to negative control (on days 26_— 40)		
<a href="#">Hwang et al. [38]</a>	CK	0.2_mg/kg/d <sub>a</sub> γ (i.p.)	28_days	BALB/c mice	CT-26 (hHuman colon carcinoma)	↓ 32.1%** compared to negative control (on week 4)		
		0.5_mg/kg/d <sub>a</sub> γ (i.p.)				↓ 28.0_— —54.8%**		

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

						compare d to negative control (on week <del>s</del> 2 - <del>week</del> 4)		
		1.0-mg/kg/day (i.p.)				↓ 39.1% - 60.9%** compare d to negative control (on week <del>s</del> 2 - <del>week</del> 4)		
<a href="#">Dougherty et al.</a> [64]	CK	30-mg/kg/day (i.p.)	20-days	Nu/nu mice	HCT-116 ( <a href="#">h</a> Human colon carcinoma)		↓ 57.7% - 75.7%** compare d to negative control (on days 10- 20)	
<a href="#">Song et al.</a> [58]	Cis-diaminodichloroplatinum (DDP) (positive control)	10-mg/kg/day (i.p.)	35-days	Balb/c nude mice	BEL-7402 cells (2 × 10 <sup>6</sup> /mice) ( <a href="#">h</a> Human hepatocellular carcinoma)			↓ 44.2%** compare d to negative control (on day 35)
		20-mg/kg/day (i.p.)						↓ 69.5%** compare d to negative

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

								control (on day 35)
	CK	5_mg/kg/day (i.p.)						↓ 69.2%** compare d to negative control (on day 35)
		10_mg/kg/day (i.p.)						↓ 84.6%** compare d to negative control (on day 35)
<a href="#">Zhang et al. [56]</a>	CK	5_mg/kg/day (i.v.)	15_days	BALB/c mice	SMMC-7721 (Liver cancer cell)	↓ 26.2_ - 35.6%** compare d to negative control (on days 11_ - 15)	↓ 37.7%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	
	CK	10_mg/kg/day (i.v.)				↓ 39.3_ - 47.5%** compare d to negative control (on days 11_ - 15)	↓ 43.5%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

	CK	20_mg/kg/day (i.v.)				↓ 39.3% — 43.6%** compare d to negative control (on days 11— 15)	↓ 50.7%** compare d to negative control (on day 15)	
<a href="#">Hu et al. [19]</a>	CK	2.5_mg/kg/day (s.c.)	27_days	Balb/c nude mice	SGC7901 ( <del>g</del> Gastric carcinoma)	↓ 30.7% —52.7% compare d to negative control (on days 12— 21)	↓ 60.5% compare d to negative control (on day 27)	
		5.0_mg/kg/day (s.c.)				↓ 60.1% —73.3% compare d to negative control (on days 12— 21)	↓ 73.0% compare d to negative control (on day 27)	
		10.0_mg/kg/day (s.c.)				↓ 73.3% —96.4% compare d to negative control (on days 12— 21)	↓ 89.2% compare d to negative control (on day 27)	
<a href="#">Law et al. [30]</a>	CK	10_mg/kg/day (s.c.)	5_days	BALB/c nude mice	HK-1 ( <del>n</del> Nasopharynge al carcinoma)	↓ 18.2% —26.2% compare		

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

						d to negative control (on days 3-5)	
Ming et al. [54]	CK	50-µg/m/day (p.o.)	35-days	BALB/c athymic nude mice	MHCC97-H (Hepatocellular carcinoma)		↓ 87%** compared to negative control (on day 35)
		100-µg/m/day (p.o.)				↓ 95.2%** compared to negative control (on day 35)	
Lee et al. [61]	CK	0.2-mg/kg/day (i.p.)	21-days	Nude mice	MCF10DCIS.com cells (Human breast cancer)	↓ 63.8-66.7% compared to negative control (on days 14-21)	
		1-mg/kg/day (i.p.)				↓ 66.7-69.0% compared to negative control (on days 14-21)	

\*\*Significant difference compared to γ-ray and compound K groups.

\*Significant difference.



This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

TABLE 4. The interference of CK on the cell cycle.

Ref	Design				Outcomes		Probable mechanism
	Intervention (purity)	Dose/Administration route (Duration of treatment)	Type of cancer cells	Cell lines	Cell cycle phase		
Leukemia cell lines							
<a href="#">Kang et al. [65]</a>	Compound K (N/A)	30- $\mu$ M (12-72 hours)	Human monocytic leukemia cell line	U937 cells	G1	$\uparrow$ 41-68%	$\uparrow$ p21 expression Inactivating cyclin D, the cdk4 protein, and cyclin E  Activating JNK and the transcription factor AP-1
<a href="#">Chen et al. [49]</a>	Compound K (99%)	20- $\mu$ M (12-20 hours)	Pediatric acute myeloid leukemia cell line	Kasumi-1	G1	$\uparrow$ 6.1-11.6%*	
					S	$\downarrow$ 33.9-52.2%*	
					G2	$\downarrow$ 3.5-7.2%	
Human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines							
<a href="#">Dong et al. [66]</a>	Compound K (N/A)	5-10- $\mu$ M (48 hours)	Human liver hepatocellular carcinoma cell line	Hep-G2	G0/G1	$\uparrow$ 36.1-55.3%	$\uparrow$ expression levels of the proapoptotic proteins cleaved-caspase-9, cleaved-caspase-3 and Bax.  $\downarrow$ the antiapoptotic protein, Bcl-2, and of the inactive form of PARP.
					S	$\downarrow$ 56.7-69.4%	
					G2/M	$\downarrow$ 51.2-66.7%	
<a href="#">Zheng et al. [53]</a>	Compound K (>98%)	25-75- $\mu$ M	Human hepatocellular carcinoma	MHCC97-H	G0/G1	$\downarrow$ 12.1-37.7%	$\uparrow$ the expression of Fas/FasL and cleaved-caspase-8
					S	$\downarrow$ 29.9-75.0%	

Commented [RA7]: AUTHOR table notes have been present

Commented [RA8]: AUTHOR "a" in Table 4.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

					G2/M	↑ 7.42% 16.1%	↓ downstream proteins pro-caspase-9, pro-caspase-3  Inhibited Akt phosphorylation  ↑Bax/Bcl-2 ratio.  These results indicated that compound K-induced apoptosis may occur through Fas- and mitochondria-mediated caspase-dependent pathways.
<a href="#">Yim et al. [57]</a>	Compound K	40-μM (24-48 hours)	Hepatocellular carcinoma cells	Hep3B	G1	↑ 12.8% 16.8%	↑ expression of p27Kip1
					S	↓ 44.8% 56.4%	↓ CDK2 kinase activity
<a href="#">Ming et al. [55]</a>	Compound K	20-75 μM (24 hours)	Hepatocellular carcinoma cells	SMMC7721	G0/G1	↑ 2.9% 18.4%	↑ cytochrome c, p53, and Bax expression
					S	↓ 9.2% 73.5%	↓ pro-caspase-3 and pro-caspase-9 expressions  Unchanged levels of Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL
Human gastric carcinoma cell lines							
<a href="#">Hu et al. [19]</a>	Compound K (N/A)	5-μM	Human gastric carcinoma cell lines	BCG823	G0/G1	↓ 3.5%	↑ expression of p21 and ↓ expression of cdc2 and cyclin B1
					S	↓ 62.7%	
					G2	↑ 3-fold	
				SGC7901	G0/G1	↓ 9.1%	
					S	↓ 40%	
					G2	↑ 2.9-fold	
Colon cancer cell lines							

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

<a href="#">Kim et al.</a> [32]	Compound K (N/A)	20 <del>μg/mL</del>	Human colon cancer	HCT-116	G1	↑ 5.7-fold*		
<a href="#">Wang et al.</a> [36]	Compound K (N/A)	20 <del>μM</del> (48 <del>hours</del> )	Human colon cancer	HCT-116	G1	↑ 9.6 <del>—</del> 23.2%		
					S	↑ 5.9 <del>—</del> 18.1%		
					G2/M	↓ 36.8 <del>—</del> 52.2%		
					SW480	G1		↑ 18.2 <del>—</del> 42.2%
					S	↓ 53.6 <del>—</del> 31.9%		
					G2/M	↓ 3.1 <del>—</del> 45%		
<a href="#">Zhang et al.</a> [35]	Compound K (N/A)	30 <del>—</del> 50 <del>μM</del> (72 <del>hours</del> )	Human colon cancer	HCT-116	G1	↑ 1.7 <del>—</del> 3.3-fold		
					S	↓ 27.2 <del>—</del> 88.4%		
					G2/M	↓ 18.2 <del>—</del> 72.0%		
<a href="#">Yao et al.</a> [20]	Compound K	40 <del>—</del> 50 <del>μM</del> (48 <del>hours</del> )	Human colon cancer	HCT-116	G1	↑ 25.7 <del>—</del> 35.8		
					S	↓ 0.4 <del>—</del> 2.8%		
					G2/M	↓ 34.3 <del>—</del> 57.9%		
					HT-29	G1		↑ 28.9 <del>—</del> 43.2%
					S	↑ 6.5% (40 <del>μM</del> )  ↓ 14.2% (50 <del>μM</del> )		
					G2/M	↓ 51.1 <del>—</del> 63.2%		

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

<a href="#">Kang et al. [31]</a>	Compound K (N/A)	32- $\mu$ M (6-12- <del>hours</del> )	Human colon cancer		G0/G1	↑ 25.5-32.8%	Induced the transcription of RUNX3 and p21 transcription factors  Reduced DNA methylation of RUNX3
					S	↓ 39.4% (6-)	
					G2/M	↑ 19.6% (6-h)  ↓ 36.7% (12-h)	
Breast cancer cell lines							
<a href="#">Lee et al. [61]</a>	Compound K (N/A)	10-20- $\mu$ M (48-h)	Breast cancer cells	MCF10CA1a cells	G1	↑ 20.0-23.3%	
					S	↓ 25-35%	
					M	↓ 35-50%	
<a href="#">Yim et al. [57]</a>	Compound K	40- $\mu$ M (24-48- <del>hours</del> )	Breast Cancer cells	MDA-MB-231	G1	↑ 63.0-76.0%	↑ p27Kip1 mRNA and protein expression  ↓ CDK2 kinase activity.
					S	↓ 56.2-74.2%	
Nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell lines							
<a href="#">Law et al. [30]</a>	Compound K (>98%)	15- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	HK-1	G0/G1	↓ 41.7%	
					S	↑ 5.3-fold	
					G2/M	↓ 72.7%	
Human glioblastoma cell lines							
<a href="#">Lee et al. [63]</a>	Compound K	50- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )	Human glioblastoma	U87MG	G0/G1	↑ 17.63%	
					S	↓ 47.9%	
					G2/M	↓ 51.4%	
				U373MG	G0/G1	↑ 17.1%	

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

FOR REFERENCE ONLY

					S	↓ 13.2%			
					G2/M	↓ 42.5%			
Lung cancer cell lines									
<a href="#">Yang et al. [26]</a>	Compound K (>98%)	21.97- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )	Non-small cell lung cancer	A549	G1	↑ 13.1%			
					G2	↑ 61.3%			
					S	↓ 47.3%			
	G1	↑ 27.4%							
	G2	↑ 34.0%							
	S	↓ 59.2%							
	CK-M (TPGS/PEG-PCL)	21.97- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )	Non-small cell lung cancer	A549	G1	↑ 27.4%			
					G2	↑ 34.0%			
					S	↓ 59.2%			
	Compound K (>98%)	14.46- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )			Non-small cell lung cancer	PC-9		G1	↑ 17.2%
								G2	↓ 11.5%
								S	↓ 15.8%
CK-M (TPGS/PEG-PCL)	14.46- $\mu$ M (24- <del>hours</del> )	Non-small cell lung cancer	PC-9	G1			↑ 29.7%		
				G2			↓ 24.4%		
				S			↓ 20.6%		
<a href="#">Li et al. [43]</a>	Compound K			20- <del>80</del> - $\mu$ g/mL (24- <del>hours</del> )	Non-small cell lung cancer	A549	G1	↑ 37.7- <del>57.6</del> %	
							S	↓ 41.8- <del>58.2</del> %	
							G2/M	↓ 16.9- <del>32.7</del> %	
		H1975	G1				↑ 29.8- <del>58.4</del> %		
			S			↓ 37.4- <del>56.0</del> %			
			G2/M			↓ 16.0- <del>51.0</del> %			

<sup>a</sup>Compared to negative control.

**This redlined PDF shows all copy edited changes made to your manuscript. They are for your reference only. Please make all edits in the HTML version of the proofs.**

\*Significant differences.

FOR REFERENCE ONLY