

Extension of Holomorphic Functions from Subvarieties to Convex Domains

Kenzō ADACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education

Nagasaki University, Nagasaki

(Received Oct. 31, 1987)

Abstract

In this paper we shall prove that any holomorphic L^p function on V , ($1 \leq p < \infty$), can be extended to a holomorphic L^p function on D when D is the real ellipsoid and M is a submanifold in general position in D . We also study the H^∞ case.

1. Introduction. Let D be the domain such that

$$D = \left\{ x + iy \in C^N : \sum_{j=1}^N (x_j^{2n_j} + y_j^{2m_j}) < 1 \right\}$$

where n_j, m_j are positive integers. We set

$$\rho(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N (x_j^{2n_j} + y_j^{2m_j}) - 1 \quad \text{for } z = x + iy.$$

Let \tilde{V} be a subvariety in a neighborhood \tilde{D} of \bar{D} which intersects ∂D transversally. Suppose that \tilde{V} is written in the form

$$\tilde{V} = \{ z \in \tilde{D} : h_1(z) = \dots = h_m(z) = 0 \} \quad (m < n)$$

where $h_1(z), \dots, h_m(z)$ are holomorphic in \tilde{D} which satisfy $\partial h_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial h_m \wedge \partial \rho \neq 0$ on $\tilde{V} \cap \partial D$. Let $V = \tilde{V} \cap D$. Under the above assumption concerning V , we shall show that

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that f is a bounded holomorphic function in V and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exist a holomorphic function F in D such that $F|_V = f$, $\rho(z)^\varepsilon F(z) \in \Lambda_\alpha(D)$ for any $0 < \alpha < \varepsilon$.*

Let \tilde{W} be a submanifold of dimension k in a neighborhood of \bar{D} which intersects ∂D transversally. Let $W = \tilde{W} \cap D$. Then we have

THEOREM 2. *Let f be a holomorphic function in W satisfying $\int_W |f|^p d\sigma < \infty$, ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Then there exists a holomorphic function F in D satisfying*

$$\int_D |F|^p dm \leq C(D) \int_W |f|^p d\sigma,$$

where dm and $d\sigma$ are Lebesgue measures on D and W , respectively.

To prove the above theorems, we use the techniques of Diederich, Fornæss and Wiegnerinck [2]. They constructed the support function $\Phi(\zeta, z)$, holomorphic in z , and proved the Hölder estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ equation on D . Finally, we will adopt the convention of denoting by c any positive constant which does not depend on the relevant parameters in the estimate.

2. Preliminaries. Let $f^*(z)$ be the boundary value of $f \in H^\infty(V)$, where $H^\infty(V)$ is the space of all bounded holomorphic functions in V . Since D is convex, $f^*(z)$ exists almost everywhere on ∂V . Let

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N) : \partial D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$$

be a smooth function such that

$$(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z)) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\zeta_j - z_j) \gamma_j(\zeta, z) \neq 0 \text{ for } (\zeta, z) \in \partial D \times D.$$

Using the theorem of Hatziafratis [3], we have

PROPOSITION 1. For $f \in H^\infty(V)$, and $z \in V$, we have

$$f(z) = \int_{\partial V} f^*(\zeta) K(\zeta, z)$$

where (i) $K(\zeta, z)$ is written as a sum of terms

$$\frac{\alpha(\zeta, z) \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \bar{\partial}_\zeta \gamma_{k_j} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n-m} d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^{n-m}}$$

(ii) $\alpha(\zeta, z)$ is smooth on $\partial D \times \bar{D}$

(iii) if $\gamma_j(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic in z , then $\alpha(\zeta, z)$ is also holomorphic in z .

Definition. We denote by $\Lambda_\alpha(D)$, ($0 < \alpha < 1$), the space of all functions on \bar{D} which satisfy

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq c_\alpha |z - w|^\alpha \quad \text{for any } z, w \in \bar{D}.$$

Now we shall state some results proved by Diederich, Fornæss and Wiegnerinck [2]. Let $z = x + iy \in \bar{D}$, $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \bar{D}$. We set

$$\gamma_j(\zeta, z) = \rho_j(\zeta) - c_1 [(\eta_j^{2m_j-2} - \xi_j^{2n_j-2})(z_j - \zeta_j) + (z_j - \zeta_j)^{2m_j-1}]$$

where we have used the notation $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} = \rho_j$ and $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \rho_j^-$. We may assume $n_j \geq m_j$. Then if we choose $c_1 > 0$ small enough, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad 2\operatorname{Re}(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z)) \geq -\rho(z) + \rho(\zeta)$$

$$+ c_2 \sum_{k=1}^N [(\xi_k^{2n_k-2} + \eta_k^{2m_k-2}) |z_k - \zeta_k|^2 + |z_k - \zeta_k|^{2m_k}]$$

for $(\zeta, z) \in \bar{D} \times \bar{D}$. Moreover, they obtained the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. For $q > 0$, $s = 0$ or 1 , $j = s, s+1, \dots$, and A positive, close to 0 ,

$$\int_{|z| < R} \frac{|t+x|^{j-s} |x|^s dx dy}{(A + |t+x|^{j(x^2+y^2)})^q} = \begin{cases} O(A^{1-q}) & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ O(\log A) & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases}$$

independent of $t \in (-R, R)$.

LEMMA 2. For $q > 0$, $j \geq 1$, and A positive, close to 0 ,

$$\int_{|z| < R} \frac{|t+x|^{j-1} |y| dx dy}{(A + |t+x|^{j(r^2+r^{j+2})})^q} = \begin{cases} O(A^{1-q}) & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ O(\log A) & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases}$$

independent of $t \in (-R, R)$, where $r = |z| = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$.

We set

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\gamma_j(\xi, z)}{\rho(\xi)} d\xi_j.$$

Then by Berndtsson [1], we have the following:

PROPOSITION 2. Let f be a holomorphic function in W satisfying $\int_W |f| d\sigma < \infty$. Then

$$F(z) = c_{N,k} \int_W \frac{f(\xi) \rho(\xi)^{1+k} (\bar{\partial} Q)^k \wedge \mu}{(\langle \gamma(\xi, z), z - \xi \rangle + \rho(\xi))^{k+1}}$$

is holomorphic in D and satisfies $F|_W = f$, where μ is a $(N-k, N-k)$ current in ξ whose coefficients are smooth functions in $\xi \in \bar{D}$, depending holomorphically on $z \in D$, and k is the dimension of W .

3. Proof of theorem 1. Let k be the dimension of V . Let $B_i (i=0, 1, \dots, N_0)$ be balls with centers on ∂V and radius r_0 which form a cover of ∂V . Let \tilde{B}_i be the ball with the same center as B_i and radius $2r_0$. Since

$$\partial h_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial h_m \wedge \partial \rho \neq 0 \text{ on } \partial V,$$

we may assume that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \geq c > 0 \text{ in } \tilde{B}_0.$$

Then

$$L_j = \rho_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} - \rho_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1)$$

form a base for the $(0, 1)$ tangential vector fields on $\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0$. For $i \neq k$

$$(2) \quad |L_j \gamma_i| \leq \delta_{ji} c \{ |\xi_i|^{2n_i-2} + |\eta_i|^{2m_i-2} + |z_i - \zeta_i| (\mu(n_i) |\xi_i|^{2n_i-3} + \mu(m_i) |\eta_i|^{2m_i-3}) \},$$

$$|L_j \gamma_k| \leq c (|\xi_j|^{2n_j-1} + |\eta_j|^{2m_j-1}),$$

where $\mu(j) = 0$ for $j=1$, $\mu(j) = 1$ for $j=2, 3, \dots$

We can introduce new real coordinates on \tilde{B}_0 as follows: For $\zeta \in \tilde{B}_0 \cap D$ fixed, if we set $\tau_j = \text{Re}(z_j - \zeta_j)$, $\sigma_j = \text{Im}(z_j - \zeta_j)$, $\lambda = \text{Im } \Phi(\zeta, z)$, $\rho = \rho(\zeta) - \rho(z)$, then $\tau_j, \sigma_j (j=1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, N)$, λ, ρ form coordinates on \tilde{B}_0 in such a way that $\tau_j, \sigma_j (j=1, \dots, k-1)$, form coordinates of $\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$F(z) = \int_{\partial V} f^*(\zeta) K(\zeta, z) \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Then $F(z)$ is holomorphic in D . Let $z = x + iy \in B_0$. Then

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho(z)^\varepsilon F(z)) = \varepsilon \rho(z)^{\varepsilon-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j}(z) F(z) + \rho(z)^\varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(z).$$

Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}$ is a sum of terms

$$\int_{\partial V} \frac{f^*(\zeta) \beta_1(\zeta, z) \wedge_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \gamma_{k_j} \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^k}, \int_{\partial V} \frac{f^*(\zeta) \beta_2(\zeta, z) \wedge_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \gamma_{k_j} \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^{k+1}}$$

where $\beta_1(\zeta, z)$ is a smooth $(0, 1)$, form and $\beta_2(\zeta, z)$ is a smooth function. Since $L_j (j=1, \dots, k-1)$, form a base for the $(0, 1)$ tangential vector fields, we have to estimate the following integrals:

$$\int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j \gamma_j \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^k} \right|, \int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-2} L_j \gamma_j \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_t \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^k} \right|,$$

$$\int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j \gamma_j \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^{k+1}} \right|.$$

By applying lemmas 1, 2, and inequalities (1), (2), we have

$$\int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-2} L_j \gamma_j \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_t}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^k} \right| \leq c \int_{\substack{|\tau_{k-1}| < R \\ |\sigma_{k-1}| < R}} \frac{d\tau_{k-1} d\sigma_{k-1}}{|\rho(z)| + (\tau_{k-1}^2 + \sigma_{k-1}^2)^{m_{k-1}}} \leq \frac{c}{|\rho(z)|}.$$

$$\int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j \gamma_j \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^{k+1}} \right| \leq \frac{c}{|\rho(z)|},$$

$$\int_{\partial V \cap \tilde{B}_0} \left| \frac{\wedge_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j \gamma_j \wedge_{j=1}^k d\zeta_{s_j}}{(\zeta - z, \gamma(\zeta, z))^k} \right| \leq c |\log |\rho(z)||.$$

From the equality (3), we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho(z)^\varepsilon F(z)) \right| \leq c \{ |\rho(z)|^{\varepsilon-1} |\log |\rho(z)|| + |\rho(z)|^\varepsilon |\rho(z)|^{-1} \}$$

$$\leq c |\rho(z)|^{\alpha-1}, \quad (0 < \alpha < \varepsilon).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(4) \quad |\nabla(\rho(z)^\varepsilon F(z))| \leq c [\text{dist}(z, \partial D)]^{\alpha-1},$$

where ∇ denotes the real gradient. From (4), we have

$$|\rho(z) \circ F(z) - \rho(w) \circ F(w)| \leq c \|z - w\| \quad \text{for } z, w \in D.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.

4. Proof of theorem 2. Since

$$\bar{\partial}Q = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \gamma_j}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} d\bar{\zeta}_j \wedge d\zeta_j - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j d\zeta_j \right),$$

and $\bar{\partial}\rho \wedge \partial\bar{\rho} = 0$, coefficients of $(\bar{\partial}Q)^k$ consist of the following:

$$\frac{1}{\rho^k} \frac{\partial \gamma_{j_1}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{j_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \gamma_{j_k}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{j_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\rho^{k+1}} \frac{\partial \gamma_{j_1}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{j_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \gamma_{j_{k-1}}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{j_{k-1}}} \gamma_{j_k} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_t}$$

where j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k are integers such that $j_s \neq j_t$ if $s \neq t$. We may assume that $j_1 = 1, \dots, j_k = k$. Now we shall show that

$$(5) \quad I_1 = \int_D \left| \frac{\frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_1} \dots \frac{\partial \gamma_k}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} \rho(\zeta)}{\langle \gamma, z - \zeta \rangle \rho(\zeta)} \right|^{k+1} dm(z) \leq c$$

$$(6) \quad I_2 = \int_D \left| \frac{\frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_1} \dots \frac{\partial \gamma_{k-1}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{k-1}} \gamma_k}{\langle \gamma, z - \zeta \rangle \rho(\zeta)} \right|^{k+1} dm(z) \leq c.$$

Since the integrand of I_1 is less singular than that of I_2 , we shall show that $I_2 \leq c$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we set $U_\varepsilon = \{\zeta \in D : |\rho(\zeta)| < \varepsilon\}$. Let $\zeta \in U_\varepsilon$. To prove the inequality (6), it is sufficient to show that

$$I_2 = \int_{U_\varepsilon \cap B(\zeta, \varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_1} \dots \frac{\partial \gamma_{k-1}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{k-1}} \gamma_k}{\langle \gamma, z - \zeta \rangle \rho(\zeta)} \right|^{k+1} dm(z) \leq c.$$

By the same method as the proof of theorem 1, we obtain

$$I_2' \leq c \int_{\substack{|t_{2k+1}| \leq R \\ \dots \\ |t_{2N}| \leq R}} |\log(|\rho(\zeta)| + \sum_{j=k+1}^N (\xi_j^{2n_j-2} + \eta_j^{2m_j-2}) |z_j - \zeta_j|^2 + |z_j - \zeta_j|^{2m_j})| dt_{2k+1} \dots dt_{2N}.$$

we set $\lambda = \max m_i$, and we introduce polar coordinates. Then we have

$$I_2' \leq c \int_0^R |\log(|\rho(\zeta)| + r^\lambda)| r dr \leq c |\rho(\zeta)|^{1/2\lambda} \leq c.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_D |F(z)| dm(z) \leq c \int_W |f(\zeta)| d\sigma(\zeta).$$

In case $p > 1$, we write $F(z)$ in the following form

$$F(z) = \int_W f(z) T(\zeta, z) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Let q be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then, by applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$|F(z)|^p \leq \left(\int_W |f(\zeta)|^p |T(\zeta, z)| d\sigma(\zeta) \right) \left(\int_W |T(\zeta, z)| d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{p/q}$$

By the same method as the case $p=1$, we obtain

$$\int_D |F|^p dm \leq c \int_w |f|^p d\sigma,$$

which completes the proof of theorem 2.

References

- [1] B. Berndtsson, *A formula for interpolation and division in C^n* , Math. Ann., 263 (1983), 399—418.
- [2] K. Diederich, J. E. Fornæss and J. Wiergerinck, *Sharp Hölder estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ on ellipsoids*, Manuscripta Math., 56 (1986), 399—417.
- [3] T. E. Hatziafratis, *Integral representation formulas on analytic varieties*, Pacific J. Math., 123 (1986), 71—91.