

On the Multiplicative Cousin Problem for $A(D)$

Kenzō ADACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,
Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

(Received Oct. 1, 1978)

Abstract. Let D be a strictly convex domain in C^n with C^2 -class boundary. Let $A(D)$ be the space of functions holomorphic in D that are continuous on \bar{D} . The purpose of this paper is to show that the multiplicative Cousin problem for $A(D)$ is solvable.

1. Introduction. Let S_n be the class of bounded domains D in C^n with the properties that there exists a real function ρ of class C^2 defined on a neighborhood W of ∂D such that $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂D , $D \cap W = \{z \in W : \rho(z) < 1\}$ and the real Hessian of ρ is positive definite on W . E. L. Stout [4] proved that the domain $D \in S_n$ is strictly convex and that if $0 \in D$, then D can be defined by a globally defined function which has a positive definite real Hessian on $C^n - \{0\}$. From now on, when we consider $D \in S_n$, we assume that the defining function of D is globally defined.

Let $F(D)$ be the sheaf of germs of continuous functions on \bar{D} that are holomorphic in D . I. Lieb [2] proved that $H^q(\bar{D}, F(D)) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$, provided D is a strictly pseudoconvex domain with C^5 -boundary. Let $D \in S_n$ and let D have a C^5 -boundary. Then, from the above Lieb's result and $H^2(D, Z) = 0$, by applying the standard exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow F(D) \xrightarrow{\exp} F(D)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$$

one can solve Cousin II problems with data from the sheaf $F(D)$.

In this paper, without using the above cohomology theory, we can prove directly that the multiplicative Cousin problem for $A(D)$ is solvable. Explicitly, our result is the following :

THEOREM. *Let $D \in S_n$. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an open covering of \bar{D} , and for each α , $f_\alpha \in A(V_\alpha \cap D)$. If for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$, $f_\alpha f_\beta^{-1}$ is an invertible element of $A(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \cap D)$, then there exists a function $F \in A(D)$ such that for all $\alpha \in I$, $F f_\alpha^{-1}$ is an invertible element of $A(V_\alpha \cap D)$.*

In the case when D is an open unit polydisc in C^n , the theorem has been proved by E. L. Stout [3].

2. Proof of theorem. Let $D \in S_n$. By the Cauchy-Fantappiè integral formula, if $f \in$

$A(D)$, then for $w \in D$,

$$f(w) = \int_{\partial D} f(z) \frac{k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle^n}$$

where k is a continuous function, dS is the element of surface area on ∂D , ρ is a defining function of D and $\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n (w_j - z_j) \frac{\partial \rho(z)}{\partial z_j}$.

We have the following lemma proved by G. M. Henkin [1] for the Ramírez-Henkin integral. The proof of the lemma is essentially the same as the proof of G. M. Henkin [1], so we omit the proof.

LEMMA 1. *Let $D \in S_n$ and let $f \in A(D)$. If ϕ is defined and satisfies a Lipschitz condition on C^n , then $f\phi$ defined by*

$$f\phi(w) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(z)\phi(z)k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \rho(z) \rangle^n}$$

belongs to $A(D)$.

Let $D \in S_n$. Let

$M = \max \{x_{2n} : \text{for some } z \in \bar{D}, z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), x_{2n} = \text{Im } z_n\}$, and let m be the corresponding minimum. Let ε_0 satisfy $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{12}(M-m)$. Let $\eta_i, i=1,2$, be real valued functions of a real variable such that

- (1) η_i is of class $C^2, i=1,2$.
- (2) $\eta_1(t) = 0$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$,
 $\eta_2(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$,
- (3) $\eta_1(t) \geq 2$ if $t \geq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + 3\varepsilon_0$,
 $\eta_2(t) \geq 2$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - 3\varepsilon_0$,
- (4) $\eta_1''(t) > 0$ if $t > \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$,
 $\eta_2''(t) > 0$ if $t < \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon_0$,

Let ρ be a defining function of D , and let $D_1 = \{z : \rho(z) + \eta_1(x_{2n}) < 1\}$, $D_2 = \{z : \rho(z) + \eta_2(x_{2n}) < 1\}$. Then it is easily verified that D_1, D_2 and $D_1 \cap D_2$ are elements of S_n .

LEMMA 2. *Let D, D_1, D_2 be as above. If $f \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$, then there exist functions $f_1 \in A(D_1)$ and $f_2 \in A(D_2)$ satisfying $f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)$ for $z \in D_1 \cap D_2$.*

PROOF. Let ψ be a function on C^n which satisfies a Lipschitz condition and which has the properties that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= 0 \text{ on } \{z \in \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) : x_{2n} < \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \varepsilon_0\}, \\ \psi &= 1 \text{ on } \{z \in \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) : x_{2n} > \frac{1}{2}(M+m) + \varepsilon_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be a defining function of $D_1 \cap D_2$, Write f as a Cauchy-Fantappiè integral. For $w \in D_1 \cap D_2$, we have

$$f(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)k(z) dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla \tilde{\rho}(z) \rangle^n} = f_1(w) + f_2(w)$$

where

$$f_1(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)\psi(z)k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}$$

$$f_2(w) = \int_{\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)} \frac{f(z)(1-\psi(z))k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}.$$

By lemma 1, $f_1 \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$, $f_2 \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$. Moreover we can write

$$f_1(w) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)\psi(z)k(z)dS(z)}{\langle w-z, \nabla\bar{\rho}(z) \rangle^n}$$

where $\Gamma = \partial(D_1 \cap D_2) \cap \{x_{2n} \geq \frac{M+m}{2} - \varepsilon_0\}$. If $E = \{z \in D : x_{2n} \leq \frac{M+m}{2} - 2\varepsilon_0\}$, then the distance between E and the tangent plane of $\partial(D_1 \cap D_2)$ at z is positive, where z is contained in Γ . Therefore $f_1 \in A(D_1)$. Similarly $f_2 \in A(D_2)$. Therefore lemma 2 is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose that no F with the stated properties exists. Suppose there exist $F_1 \in 0(D_1)$ and $F_2 \in 0(D_2)$ such that for all α , $F_1 f_\alpha^{-1}$ and $F_2 f_\alpha^{-1}$ are invertible elements of $A(V_\alpha \cap D_1)$ and $A(V_\alpha \cap D_2)$, respectively. Then $f_0 = F_1 F_2^{-1}$ is an invertible element of $A(D_1 \cap D_2)$. If $f_0 = \exp(f)$, then $f \in A(D_1 \cap D_2)$. By lemma 2, we can write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in A(D_1)$ and $f_2 \in A(D_2)$. Define G on D by $G = F_1 \exp(-f_1)$ on D_1 , $G = F_2 \exp(f_2)$ on D_2 . Then $G f_\alpha^{-1}$ is an invertible element of $A(V_\alpha \cap D)$. We have supposed that no such function G exists, so either F_1 or F_2 does not exist. Say F_1 . The x_{2n} -width of D_1 , i. e., the number $\max |x'_{2n} - x''_{2n}|$, the maximum taken over all pairs of points z', z'' in D_1 , is not more than three fourths of the x_{2n} -width of D . We now treat D_1 as we treated D , using the coordinate x_{2n-1} rather than x_{2n} , and we find a smaller set $D_{11} \subset D_1$ on which the problem is not solvable and which has the property that the x_{2n-1} -width of D_{11} is not more than three fourths that of D_1 . We iterate this process, running cyclically through the real coordinate of \mathbb{C}^n , and we obtain a shrinking sequence of sets on which our problem is not solvable. The sets we obtain eventually lie in some element V_α , and on V_α , the function f_α is a solution to the induced problem. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore theorem is proved.

References

- 1) G. M. Henkin, *Integral representations of functions holomorphic in strictly pseudoconvex domains and some applications*, Math. USSR Sb., 7(1969), 597-616.
- 2) I. Lieb, *Die Cauchy-Riemannschen Differentialgleichungen auf streng pseudokonvexen Gebieten: Stetige Randwerte*, Math. Ann., 199(1972), 241-256.
- 3) E. L. Stout, *The second Cousin problem with bounded data*, Pacific J. Math., 26(1968), 379-387.
- 4) E. L. Stout, *On the multiplicative Cousin problem with bounded data*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup., XXVII(1973), 1-17.