1	Influence of oxygen flow rate and compost addition on reduction of organic matter
2	in aerated waste layer containing mainly incineration residue
3	
4	Hiroshi Asakura ^{1,*} , Kei Nakagawa ¹ , Kazuto Endo ² , Masato Yamada ² , Yusaku Ono ³ and
5	Yoshiro Ono ⁴
6	
7	
8	
9	1. Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan
10	2. National Institute for Environmental Studies, Onogawa 16-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki
11	305-8506, Japan
12	3. Nippon Institute of Technology, 4-1 Gakuendai, Miyashiro-machi,
13	Minamisaitama-gun, Saitama 345-8501, Japan
14	4. Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan
15	
16	Corresponding author:
17	Hiroshi Asakura
18	E-mail: asakura@nagasaki-u.ac.jp
19	Tel: +81-95-819-2760
20	Fax: +81-95-819-2760
21	
22	

1 Abstract

 $\mathbf{2}$ Landfilling municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residue alkalizes the waste layer, causing a subsequent decrease in microbial activity and a delay in the decomposition of 3 4 organic matter. In this study, efficiencies of neutralization of the leachate and organic matter decomposition in the waste layer in a column filled with MSWI residue using $\mathbf{5}$ aeration and compost addition were evaluated. Total organic carbon (TOC) reduction in 6 7the waste layer is large at high oxygen flow rate (OFR). To effectively accelerate TOC 8 reduction in the waste layer to which compost was added, a high OFR exceeding that by natural ventilation was required. At day 65, the pH of the leachate when OFR was above 9 10^2 mol-O₂/(day·m³) was lower than that when OFR was below 10^1 mol-O₂/(day·m³). 10 At the same OFR, the pH of waste sample was lower than that of waste sample with 11 12compost. Although leachate neutralization could be effected by compost addition, TOC 13reduction in the waste layer became rather small. It is possible that humic substances in compost prevent the decomposition of TOC in MSWI residue. 14

15

16 Key Words

17 waste, landfill, aeration, neutralization, acceleration of stabilization

1 1. Introduction

 $\mathbf{2}$ Landfilling municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residue alkalizes the waste layer, causing a subsequent decrease in microbial activity and a delay in the 3 decomposition of organic matter. Landfilling MSWI ratios (incineration/generation) 4 $\mathbf{5}$ were higher than 50% in Japan, Switzerland, Sweden, and Denmark from 2003 to 2005 6 (OECD, 2008). However, the waste layer of MSWI residue or incombustible waste still 7contains organic matter in the form of unburned organic matter (Matsufuji et al., 1980) or wood as contaminant (Sekito et al., 1997). Actually, leachate from the waste layer 8 9 (MSWI residue and/or incombustible waste) contains organic matter (Hjelmar, 1996; 10 Tanaka et al., 1987; Inanc et al., 2007). The leachate alkalizes the waste layer, causing a 11 subsequent decrease in microbial activity (Matsufuji et al., 1980; Asakura et al., 2007a).

12Therefore, from the viewpoint of accelerating landfill stabilization (Stegmann et al., 132003), the waste layer must be neutralized to accelerate the decomposition of organic matter. The authors propose two ways to neutralize the waste layer, i.e., aeration and 1415addition of organic matter. Aeration supplies CO₂ to the waste layer, causing the subsequent neutralization of the alkalized layer and accelerating microbial activity in 1617the aerobic condition (CO_2 should be regenerated by microbial activity.). Organic matter can play the role of a buffer (Cory and McKnight, 2005) and generate CO₂ during 18decomposition. 19

In the aerobic condition, neutralization of the waste layer containing mainly MSWI residue (Inanc et al., 2007) and acceleration of organic matter decomposition (Asakura et al., 2007b) can be expected. Many researchers have reported that aeration accelerated organic matter decomposition in landfill that contained much organic waste (Hanashima, 1999; Heyer et al., 1999; O'Keefe and Chynoweth, 2000; Cossu et al., 2001; Read et al., 2001; Heyer et al., 2005; Ritzkowski and Stegmann, 2005; Tesar et al., 2005; Prantl et
al., 2006; Ritzkowski et al., 2006; Bilgili et al., 2008; Erses et al., 2008). However, the
oxygen flow rate (OFR) required to accelerate the decomposition is not clear.

4 Organic matter in the waste layer generates CO_2 after decomposition in the aerobic condition, subsequently acidifying the layer. Therefore, the addition of organic matter $\mathbf{5}$ 6 and aeration can produce buffer (added organic matter in itself) and acid (CO_2). 7Through this, we can control the pH of the alkalized waste layer in order to increase microbial activity. It was reported that a mixture of MSWI residue and compost had a 8 9 lower pH (Ono et al., 2008) and a larger bacterial population (Watanabe et al., 2006) 10 than MSWI residue alone. Therefore, the addition of compost to the MSWI residue can 11 increase microbial activity. The purpose of using compost and not fresh organic matter, 12such as food waste, is to avoid the drastic generation of landfill gas and organic leachate. 13In addition, compost contains humic substances, providing pH buffering (Garcia-Gil et al., 2004; Mackowiak et al., 2001). The addition of compost increases organic matter 1415content in the waste layer. Nevertheless, if the addition of compost could neutralize pH 16in the waste layer to promote microbial activity and accelerate the decomposition of 17organic matter, the addition would be beneficial.

In this study, efficiencies of neutralization and organic matter decomposition in
MSWI residue using aeration and compost addition were evaluated.

- 20
- 21

22 **2. Materials and methods**

1 2.1. Waste samples

 $\mathbf{2}$ MSWI residue (bottom ash from an incinerator with a grate firing system), 3 shredded municipal bulky solid waste (SBW), shredded industrial incombustible solid 4 waste (SIW), and compost were collected as waste samples from solid waste treatment 5 facilities in Japan. SBW and SIW mainly contained plastic, rubber, metal, glass, and 6 ceramic. Shredded vending machine was used as SIW in this study. Compost was made from sludge of treated night soil and food waste. Samples for examination were 7 prepared by mixing the waste samples. MSWI residue, SBW, and SIW were mixed to 8 9 yield mixed inorganic waste (MIW). Mixed organic waste (MOW) was prepared by 10 mixing MIW (95 Vol%) and compost (5 Vol%). MIW and MOW are called "mixed samples" hereafter. The mixing ratio of compost was determined with reference to the 11 12report of Ono et al. (2008). Table 1 shows the mixing ratios of the waste samples.

13

14 Table 1 here

15

16

17 2.2. Experimental method

A column was filled with the mixed samples and known quantities of water (simulating rainfall) and air were introduced into it. Then, in order to evaluate the effect of aeration and compost addition on the acceleration of organic matter decomposition, the characteristics of exhaust gas, leachate, and filled sample were measured (see Section 2.3). The experimental procedure is described as follows. The column dimensions and the filling conditions are shown in Table 2. The mixed samples (<1 cm) were prepared in the wet state by adding water and mixed to obtain the desired field capacity as determined in a preliminary examination (volumetric moisture content: 0.3). Then, using a tamper, the samples were packed densely and piled to 34.8 cm height in seven steps of approximately 5 cm each in the column of 10 cm inner diameter ($V_{waste} = 2733$ cm³). The total number of columns was eight; one set of four columns were filled with MOW and another set of four columns were filled with MIW.

The filled columns were put in a chamber thermostated at 35 °C on the assumption of a mesophile to simulate the high temperature of an actual waste layer, as it is known that waste layer temperatures are often higher than the surrounding temperature (Collins and Muennich, 1993; Houi et al., 1997; Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 1996). The temperatures of the waste layer were reported to be from 33 ± 4 to 55 ± 5 °C in France and from 30 to 65 °C in Japan.

Air was introduced into the columns from the bottom by a pump or a syringe. The 1415syringe was used for low air flow rate because it was difficult for the pump to maintain the low air flow rate. Air flow rates for one set of MOW or MIW columns were set at 16four stages, i.e., 5.0×10^1 or 5.0×10^2 mL-air/day by the syringe, and 7.2×10^4 or 4.3×10^5 17mL-air/day by the pump at 1.0 kg/cm² pressure. These rates corresponded to OFRs of 18 1.7×10^{-1} , 1.7×10^{0} , 2.5×10^{2} , and 1.5×10^{3} mol-O₂/(day·m³) (Table 3) ("m³" indicates 19waste volume: the unit of OFR is mol- $O_2/(day m^3)$, hereafter). Exhaust gas was released 2021from the top of the column.

When syringe aeration was adopted, the bottom and top of the column were kept closed. Two syringes were connected to the bottom (for airflushing; filled with air) and the top (for gas collection; empty) of the column. Air corresponding to the daily volume was introduced for one minute from the column bottom every day. The volume of
exhaust gas in the top syringe was measured and the gas was analyzed by gas
chromatography.

In regard to the column set with the pump, the top of the column was always open. Air was humidified by forcing the air flow to pass through a bottle containing distilled water and then introduced into the columns from bottom to top continuously to prevent drying of the filled sample (in the case of syringe pumping, humidification of air flow was not required due to the low air flow rate adopted). Exhaust gas was collected with the syringe that was inserted into the exhaust gas outlet and analyzed by gas chromatography.

When the pump was stopped for a few minutes or immediately after aeration by the syringe, distilled water was injected by the syringe from the top of the column every day and the leachate was collected from the column bottom. The volume of injected water was 35 mL/day, which corresponded to the annual precipitation in Japan, i.e., approximately 1600 mm/yr. The aeration test period was 65 days. A schematic diagram of the aeration apparatus is shown in Fig. 1.

The following were measured: physical and chemical properties of the mixed samples before and after aeration, and chemical properties of the exhaust (and injection) gas and the leachate during the aeration test period.

20

Table 2 and 3 here

Fig. 1 here

23

1 2.3. Analytical procedure

In regard to the physical and chemical properties of the mixed samples, moisture content (105°C, 24 hr), particle density (pycnometer method, JIS A 1202), LOI (600°C, 3 hr), total carbon (TC_{solid}), inorganic carbon (IC_{solid}), and TOC (TOC_{solid} = TC_{solid} – IC_{solid}; SHIMADZU TOC-5000A and SSM-5000A) were measured. The detection limit of TOC_{solid} was 50 mg-C/g.

7 In regard to the chemical properties of the leachate and the exhaust gas, pH (electrode method), TC, IC, and TOC (SHIMADZU TOC-5000A) of the filtrate (0.45 8 9 µm pore size) from the leachate, and oxygen [GC-TCD GC-8A (Shimadzu); molecular 10 sieve 5A; carrier gas, He; oven temperature, 50°C; injector temperature, 110°C], and CH₄ and CO₂ [GC-FID GC 390B; Methanizer MT221 (GL Sciences) and H₂ gas; 11 12Porapak Q; He for carrier gas; 50°C for oven, 70°C for injector, 150°C for detector, and 385°C for methanizer] of the exhaust (and injection) gas from the column were 13measured. The detection limits of TOC, oxygen, CH₄, and CO₂ were 5 mg-C/L, 0.1 14 15Vol%, 1 ppmv, and 5 ppmv, respectively.

16

17 **3. Results**

18 *3.1. Changes in physical and chemical properties*

Table 3 shows the characteristic changes of the mixed samples by aeration. After the aeration test, LOI decreased, ranging from 68 to 94% of the original contents. In addition, TOC_{solid} of the mixed samples decreased to approximately 77 to 98% of the original while IC_{solid} increased to 104 to 198% of the original.

Changes in the concentrations of oxygen and CO₂ in the exhaust gas are shown in 1 Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Oxygen concentrations in the exhaust gas were $\mathbf{2}$ approximately equal to that in the outside air when OFR was above 10^2 . Below 10^1 OFR, 3 4 oxygen concentrations decreased around days 10 to 30 and increased thereafter. The oxygen concentrations of MIW were low compared with those of MOW during the $\mathbf{5}$ aeration test below 10^1 OFR. Meanwhile, the CO₂ concentrations of MIW were higher 6 7 than those of MOW. The maximum concentrations of CH₄ were 25 ppmv for MOW and 35 ppmv for MIW at 1.7×10^{-1} OFR (data not shown). In regard to injection gas, the 8 average concentrations of oxygen, CO₂, and CH₄ were 20.9 Vol%, 380 ppmv, and 2 9 10 ppmv, respectively (n = 13).

Changes in pH and TOC of the leachate are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. At 11 day 65, the pH of the leachate when OFR was above 10^2 was lower than that when OFR 12was below 10^1 . At the same OFR, the pH of MOW was lower than that of MIW at day 1365. When OFR was below 10^1 , TOC increased up to day 20 and decreased thereafter. 14The maximum values of TOC were 880 mg-C/L for MOW and 370 mg-C/L for MIW 15when OFR was above 10², and 2700 mg-C/L for MOW and 2030 mg-C/L for MIW 16 when OFR was below 10^1 . Therefore, the maximum TOC in the leachate when OFR is 17above 10^2 could be reduced to less than half of the maximum TOC when OFR is below 18 10^{1} . 19

20

21 Fig. 2, 3, 4, and 5 here

22

23

24 *3.2. Carbon balance*

1 Carbon balance around the column before and after the aeration test, i.e., input 2 (carbon in the original sample and inflow as CO_2 in air) and output (carbon in the 3 aerated residue and outflow as exhaust gas and leachate), was determined by measuring 4 TOC_{solid} , IC_{solid} , and weight of filled sample; CH_4 and CO_2 concentrations in inflow and 5 outflow gas and the mixed gas volume; and TOC and IC in leachate and the leachate 6 volume. The carbon balances are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 6.

7The ratio of carbon output to input ranged from 98 to 101%. Therefore, the authors 8 considered that the measured items and the obtained values for carbon were reasonable, 9 and gas containing carbons other than CH₄ and CO₂ in the exhaust gas, i.e., volatile 10 fatty acid, was negligible in this study. The difference in TOC_{solid} (14.5 kg-C/m³) 11 between the original filled MOW and MIW samples was a result of the addition of compost. The amount of CO_2 in the outflow gas was smaller than that in the inflow gas. 12Especially in regard to MIW, ICsolid increased as TOCsolid decreased. The amount of 13increased IC_{solid} was larger than that of carbon in the outflow gas and the leachate. 14

15

16 Fig. 6 here

17

18

19 4. Discussion

In this section, two problems in the acceleration of the decomposition of organic matter in the alkalized waste layer containing mainly MSWI residue, i.e., the relationship between OFR and organic matter reduction, and the effect of compost addition on the acceleration of organic matter reduction, are discussed. Figure 7 shows

the relationship between OFR and TOC_{solid} reduction, with pH of leachate (at day 65) as 1 $\mathbf{2}$ parameter. The range of OFR by natural ventilation (amount of oxygen introduced to a 3 semi-aerobic landfill by convection and diffusion flow) previously reported by the authors (Asakura et al., 2010) in the same experiment is also shown in Fig. 7. TOC_{solid} 4 $\mathbf{5}$ reduction of MOW and MIW was large at high OFR. Ritzkowski and Stegmann (2003) 6 also reported that TOC_{solid} can be reduced by aeration. As the plot for MIW is a convex, 7the acceleration of TOC_{solid} reduction could be effected at an OFR that is lower than the 8 OFR by natural ventilation. On the other hand, as the plot for MOW is a concave, a 9 higher OFR than that by natural ventilation is required.

10 The addition of compost decreased the pH of the leachate. One of the reasons is 11 that organic matter in the added compost acted as a buffer (Garcia-Gil et al., 2004). However, in this study, we found that the effect of increasing OFR on decreasing pH 12was larger than that of compost addition. The increased IC_{solid} probably neutralized the 13filled samples. Furthermore, as regards the amount of compost added in this study, 1415TOC_{solid} reduction in the run of compost addition (MOW) was less than that of MIW. 16Therefore, although leachate neutralization could be effected by compost addition, 17TOC_{solid} reduction became rather small. TOC_{solid} reduction could be accelerated by 18 increasing OFR, rather than by adding compost.

Furthermore, the oxygen concentrations of MIW were relatively low compared with those of MOW during the aeration test below 10¹ OFR. Therefore, compost addition reduced oxygen consumption. The results indicate that TOC in MSWI residue and incombustible waste could be decomposed more easily than that in compost. Compost contains stable organic matter, such as humic substances (Garcia et al., 1991). Hamamura et al. (2009) pointed out that a larger amount of stable organic matter was

1	contained in compost made from sewage sludge than in compost made from food waste.
2	Piccolo et al. (1999) have shown that hydrophobic domains in humic substances prevent
3	the microbial activity associated with water. Therefore, it is possible that humic
4	substances in compost prevent the decomposition of TOC in MSWI residue and
5	incombustible waste. When organic matter is used to neutralize waste layer containing
6	mainly MSWI residue and increase microbial activity, the use of compost having a high
7	content of humic substances should be avoided.
8	
9	Fig. 7 here
10	
11	
12	5. Conclusions
13	In this study, efficiencies of neutralization and organic matter decomposition in
14	MSWI residue using aeration and compost addition were evaluated. The main findings
15	are as follows.
16	1. Total organic carbon (TOC) reduction in the waste layer is large at high oxygen
17	flow rate (OFR).
18	2. To effectively accelerate TOC reduction in waste layer to which compost is
19	added, high OFR exceeding that by natural ventilation is required.
20	3. Although leachate neutralization could be effected by compost addition, TOC
21	reduction in the waste layer became rather small.
22	From the above, TOC reduction in the waste layer could be accelerated by
23	increasing OFR, rather than by adding compost. It is possible that humic substances in

1	compost in MSWI residue and incombustible waste prevent the decomposition of TOC
2	in MSWI residue and incombustible waste. When organic matter is used to neutralize
3	waste layer containing mainly MSWI residue and increase microbial activity, the use of
4	compost having a high content of humic substances should be avoided.
5	
6	
7	Acknowledgements
8	This study was conducted under the project "Guarantee of Safety and Security for
9	Toxic Wastes in Landfills (FY 2004 to 2006)" supported by the Special Coordination
10	Funds for Promoting Science and Technology of the Ministry of Education, Culture,
11	Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References 1

2	Asakura, H., Inoue, Y., Yamada, M., Endo, K., Ono, Y.S., Ono, Y.R., 2007a. Evaluation
3	of inhibition factor of stabilization of waste layer. Proc. 28th Annual Conference of the
4	Japan Waste Manage. Association, 203–205 (in Japanese).
5	Asakura, H., Inoue, Y., Yamada, M., Endo, K., Ono, Y.S., 2007b. Effect of hydraulic
6	conductivity on stabilization of landfill layer of industrial solid waste. Sardinia 2007
7	(CD–ROM).
8	Asakura, H., Endo, K., Yamada, M., Inoue, Y., Ono, Y.S., Ono, Y.R., 2010. Influence of
9	oxygen flow rate on reaction rate of organic matter in leachate from aerated waste
10	layer containing mainly incineration ash. Waste Management 30, 2185–2193.
11	Bilgili, M.S., Demir, A., Akkaya, E., Ozkaya, B., 2008. COD fractions of leachate from
12	aerobic and anaerobic pilot scale landfill reactors. J. Hazardous Materials 158,
13	157–163.
14	Collins, H.J., Muennich, K., 1993. Temperaturen in Siedlungsabfalldeponien und daren
15	Auswirkung auf die Basisabdichtung. Muell Abfall 25 (4), 275–282.
16	Cory, R.M., McKnight, D.M., 2005. Fluorescence spectroscopy reveals ubiquitous
17	presence of oxidized and reduced quinones in dissolved organic matter. Environ. Sci.
18	Technol. 39 (21), 8142–8149.
19	Cossu R., Raga R., Rossetti D., 2001. Experimental reduction of landfill emissions
20	based on different concepts. The PAF model. Sardinia 2001 I, 219–230.
21	Erses, A.S., Onay, T.T., Yenigun, O., 2008. Comparison of aerobic and anaerobic
22	degradation of municipal solid waste in bioreactor landfills. Bioresource Technology
23	99, 5418–5426.

Garcia, C., Hernandez, T., Costa, F., Polo, A., 1991. Humic substances in composted 24

sewage sludge. Waste Management & Research 9 (1), 189–194.

 $\mathbf{2}$ Garcia-Gil, J.C., Ceppi, S.B., Velasco, M.I., Polo, A., Senesi, N., 2004. Long-term effects of amendment with municipal solid waste compost on the elemental and 3 4 acidic functional group composition and pH-buffer capacity of soil humic acids. $\mathbf{5}$ Geoderma 121, 135–142. 6 Hamamura, K., Shimizu, N., Tsuchida, D., Nagase, M., Toba, M., Kurokawa, Y., 7 Takahashi, K., Kobuchi, Y., Suenaga, T., Naruoka, T., Eto, J., Shimaoka, T., 2009. A study of the dissolution behavior of chlorine from incinerator residue mixed with 8 organic composts for cement raw material. Journal of Japanese Society of Waste 9 10 Management Experts 20 (1), 52–60 (in Japanese). 11 Hanashima, M., 1999. Pollution control and stabilization process by semi-aerobic 12landfill type: the Fukuoka method. Sardinia 1999 I, 313–326. 13Heyer, K.-U., Hupe, K., Heerenklage, J., Ritzkowski, M., Dalheimer, F., Stegmann, R., 1999. Aeration of old landfills as an innovative method of process enhancement and 1415remediation. Sardinia 99 IV, 563-571. Heyer, K.-U., Hupe, K., Ritzkowski, M., Stegmann, R., 2005. Pollutant release and 1617pollutant reduction - Impact of the aeration of landfills. Waste Management 25,

18 353–359.

19 Hjelmar, O., 1996. Disposal strategies for municipal solid waste incineration residues.

20 Journal of Hazardous Materials 47, 345–368.

- Houi, D., Paul, E., Couturier, C., 1997. Heat and mass transfer in landfills and biogas
- 22 recovery. Sardinia 97 I, 101–108.
- 23 Inanc, B., Inoue, Y., Yamada, M., Ono, Y., Nagamori, M., 2007. Heavy metal leaching
- from aerobic and anaerobic landfill bioreactors of co-disposed municipal solid waste

1	incineration bottom ash and shredded low-organic residues. Journal of Hazardous
2	Materials 141, 793-802.
3	Japan ME (Ministry of Environment in Japan), 2008. Annual report of waste
4	management in Japan, Tokyo, Japan (in Japanese).
5	Mackowiak, C.L., Grossl, P.R., Bugbee, B.G., 2001. Beneficial Effects of Humic Acid
6	on Micronutrient Availability to Wheat. Soil sci. soc. Am. J. 65, 1744–1750.
7	Matsufuji, Y., Hanashima, M., Yamasaki, K., 1980. Characteristic of landfilled ash of
8	different LOI. Proc. 35 th Annual Conference of the Japan Society of Civil Engineers
9	35 (2), 826–827 (in Japanese).
10	OECD, 2008. Environmental data compendium. Organization for Economic
11	Cooperation and Development, Paris.
12	O'Keefe, D.M., Chynoweth, D.P., 2000. Influence of phase separation, leachate recycle
13	and aeration on treatment of municipal solid waste in simulated landfill cells.
14	Bioresource Technology 72, 55–66.
15	Ono, Y.S., Kawasaki, M., Watanabe, Y., Yamada, M., Endo, K., Ono, Y.R., 2008.
16	Horizontal permeable reactive barrier for improving the water quality within landfills.
17	Journal of Japanese Society of Waste Management Experts 19 (3), 197-211 (in
18	Japanese).
19	Piccolo, A., Mbagwu, J.S.C., 1999. Role of hydrophobic components of soil organic
20	matter in soil aggregate stability. Soil Science Society of America Journal 63,
21	1801–1810.
22	Prantl, R., Tesar, M., Huber-Humer, M., Lechner, P., 2006. Changes in carbon and
23	nitrogen pool during in-situ aeration of old landfills under varying conditions. Waste

24 Management 26, 373–380.

1	Read, A.D., Hudgins, M., Phillips, P., 2001. Perpetual landfilling through aeration of the
2	waste mass; lessons from test cells in Georgia (USA). Waste Management 21,
3	617–629.
4	Ritzkowski, M., Stegmann, R., 2003. Emission behaviour of aerated landfills: results of
5	laboratory scale investigations. Sardinia 2003 (CD-ROM).
6	Ritzkowski, M., Stegmann, R., 2005. Estimation of operation periods for in situ aerated
7	landfills. Sardinia 2005 (CD-ROM).
8	Ritzkowski, M., Heyer, KU., Stegmann, R., 2006. Fundamental processes and
9	implications during in situ aeration of old landfills. Waste Management 26, 356–372.
10	Sekito, T., Tanaka, N., Matsuto, T., Matsuo, T., 1997. Characteristics of solid waste
11	processing residues from municipal resource recovery facilities. Journal of Japanese
12	Society of Waste Management Experts 8 (5), 190-199 (in Japanese).
13	Stegmann, R., Heyer, KU., Hupe, K., Ritzkowski, M., 2003. Discussion of criteria for
14	the completion of landfill aftercare. Sardinia 2003 (CD-ROM).
15	Tanaka, N., Koyama, K., 1987. Study on production process of soluble pollutants in
16	anaerobic landfill layer of municipal solid waste. Journal of the Japan Society of Civil
17	Engineers 381 (II-7), 217–225 (in Japanese).
18	Tesar, M., Prantl, R., Heiss-Ziegler, C., 2005. Applicability of selected parameters for
19	characterizing organic matter of municipal solid waste after in-situ aeration. Sardinia
20	2005 (CD–ROM).
21	Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 1996. Report of the survey in Tokyo Port Landfill (in
22	Japanese).
23	Watanabe, Y., Tanimura, T., Tachifuji, A., Matsufuji, Y., 2006. Adoption of organic
24	matter for soilification of incinerated residue. Proc. 17th Annual Conference of

1 Japanese Society of Waste Management Experts, 977–979 (in Japanese).

2 Table 1. Properties of waste samples

	Unit	MOW ^a	MIW ^b
MSWI residue	Vol %	47.6	50.0
Shredded municipal bulky solid waste	Vol %	19.0	20.0
Shredded industrial incombustible solid waste	Vol %	28.6	30.0
Compost	Vol %	4.8	0.0
^a Mixed organic waste, ^b Mixed inorganic waste			

9 Table 2. Properties of filled mixed samples in columns

			MOW	MIW		
	Inner diameter	cm	10.0			
Column	Height	cm	34.8			
size	Volume for	3	2733			
	waste layer (V_{waste})	cm				
Filling	Dry weight	g-dry	2873	2912		
rining	Dry bulk density	g-dry/mL	1.1	1.1		
condition	Porosity	_	0.50	0.51		

Mixed organic waste (MOW)							Mixed inorganic waste (MIW)							
Aeration by				syringe	syringe	pump	pump		syringe	syringe	pump	pump		
			mL-air/d	_	5.0×10 ¹	5.0×10 ²	7.2×10^4	4.3×10 ⁵	_	5.0×10 ¹	5.0×10 ²	7.2×10 ⁴	4.3×10 ⁵	
Air/oxygen flow rate			m^3 -air/(d·m ³)		3.8×10 ⁻³	3.8×10 ⁻²	5.5×10^{0}	3.3×10 ¹	_	3.8×10 ⁻³	3.8×10 ⁻²	5.5×10^{0}	3.3×10 ¹	
				$mol-O_2/(d \cdot m^3)$		1.7×10^{-1}	1.7×10^{0}	2.5×10^{2}	1.5×10^{3}	_	1.7×10^{-1}	1.7×10^{0}	2.5×10^{2}	1.5×10^{3}
				2 ()	Original		After a	eration		Original		After ac	eration	
	Mo	isture conte	ent	%	15.2	24.9	19.6	19.8	18.5	13.4	18.6	22.3	21.4	20.9
	Par	ticle densit	у	kg/L	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.2
	Los	s on ignitio	on	%	9.3	6.7	8.7	7.4	7.7	7.2	5.3	6.2	4.9	6.2
Ca	rhon	TO	C _{solid}	%-C	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.7	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.0
	100II _{solid}	IC	solid	%-C	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.9	2.4
		Solid	TOC _{solid}			42.6	42.6	42.6	42.6		28.1	28.1	28.1	28.1
	Original	50110	IC _{solid}			11.9	11.9	11.9	11.9		12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8
	& inflow	Gas	CH_4	kg-C/m ³	_	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
		Gas	CO ₂	_		< 0.1	< 0.1	1.3	7.6		< 0.1	< 0.1	1.3	7.6
		Subtotal (A)				54.5	54.5	55.7	62.0		40.9	40.9	42.2	48.5
		Calid	TOC _{solid}			41.3	41.9	40.3	38.5		25.4	23.1	21.9	21.8
		50110	IC _{solid}			12.4	12.3	14.9	20.2		14.8	17.2	19.8	25.3
ce		Gas	CH_4			< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1		< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
ılan	Residue	Gas	CO_2			< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3	2.0		< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3	1.1
ı ba	&	Laashata	TOC	kg-C/m ³	_	0.9	0.9	0.2	0.2	_	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.1
tboı	outflow	Leachate	IC	0		< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	<0.1		< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Са		Subtotal (B)				54.7	55.1	55.8	61.0		40.7	40.8	42.1	48.4
		Total (gas & le	outflow achate) (C)			1.0	0.9	0.6	2.3		0.6	0.6	0.4	1.3
	TOC _{solid} reduction		kg-C/m ³		1.3	0.8	2.3	4.1		2.7	5.0	6.2	6.3	
	Outflow rate $(C) / (A)$		%		1.8	1.7	1.1	3.7	—	1.4	1.4	1.0	2.6	
Balance (B) / (A)		%		100	101	100	98		100	100	100	100		



2 Figure 1. Schematic diagram of aeration apparatus



2 Figure 2. Changes in concentration of oxygen in exhaust gas





9 Figure 3. Changes in concentration of CO₂ in exhaust gas





2 Figure 4. Changes in pH of leachate



 $\mathbf{5}$

6 Figure 5. Changes in TOC in leachate





2 Figure 7. Relationship between oxygen flow rate and TOC_{solid} reduction, with pH of leachate as

